	Flog		
5			
:	(916) 263-6070 (916) 263-8684 (Direct)		
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-12269 SF		
12) SAXE REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT)		
13	SERVICES, INC. and) LE STEVEN ZHANG		
14 15	Respondents.		
16	The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, acting in her official capacity as a		
17	Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against		
18	SAXE REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("SAXE") and LE STEVEN		
19	ZHANG ("ZHANG") (collectively referred to herein as "Respondents"), is informed and alleges		
20	as follows:		
21	1		
22	Respondent SAXE is presently licensed by the California Department of Real		
23	Estate ("the Department") and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of		
24	Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code ("the Code"), as a restricted real		
25	estate broker corporation, and from March 14, 2015, through the present day, is acting by and		
26	through ZHANG as its designated officer broker.		
27			

- 1 -

2 Respondent ZHANG is presently licensed by the Department and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code ("The Real Estate Law"), as a 3 real estate broker. From March 14, 2015, through the present day, ZHANG has been licensed by 4 the Department as the designated officer of SAXE. As the designated officer, ZHANG was 5 responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code, for the supervision of the activities of the 6 officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of SAXE for which a real estate license is 7 8 required. 9 3 10 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or 11 omission of SAXE, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the employees, agents, real estate licensees, and others employed by or associated with SAXE committed such act or 12 omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operations of SAXE and while acting 13 within the course and scope of their authority and employment. 14 15 4 16 At all times mentioned herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in 17 the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a 18 property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or 19 20 in expectation of compensation, Respondents leased or rented and offered to lease or rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents 21 from real property or improvements thereon. 22 23 /// 24 Π 25 /// 26 111 27 ///

2

1

1	COUNT ONE AUDIT VIOLATIONS			
2	(As to Respondents SAXE and ZHANG)			
3		5		
4	Each and ever	y allegation in paragraphs 1 through 4, inclusive, above, is		
5	incorporated by this reference			
6		6		
7				
	Beginning on or about November 1, 2017, and continuing intermittently through			
8	December 19, 2017, an audit	was conducted of Respondent's records. The auditor examined the		
9	records for the period of September 1, 2016, through September 30, 2017.			
10	7			
11	While acting as a real estate licensee, as described above in Paragraph 4,			
12	Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of owners and			
13	tenants in connection with the leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or			
14	improvements thereon, as alleged herein, and thereafter from time-to-time made disbursements			
15	of said trust funds.			
16		8		
17	The trust funds	accepted or received by Respondents, as described above in		
18	Paragraph 7, were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondents into a trust account			
19				
20		r the handling of trust funds, and thereafter from time-to-time		
	Respondents made disburseme	ents of said trust funds, from the following trust accounts:		
21		TRUST ACCOUNT #1		
22	Bank Name and Location:	First Republic Bank		
23		2001 Van Ness Avenue		
	Account No.:	San Francisco, CA 94109 XXX-XX-XXX-788		
24	Entitled:	Saxe Real Estate Management Services, Inc.		
25		(Real Estate Trust Account)		
	Signatories:	Le Steven Zhang (REB)		
26		Severino D. Etorma (RES)		
27	No. of Signatures Required:	One		

- 3 -

	TRUST ACCOUNT #2		
1	Bank Name and Location: First Republic Bank		
2	2001 Van Ness Avenue		
	San Francisco, CA 94109		
3	Account No.: XXX-XX-770		
4	Entitled: Saxe Real Estate Management Services, Inc.		
_	(Real Estate Trust Account)		
5	(Leasing Account) Signatories: Le Steven Zhang (REB)		
6	Signatories: Le Steven Zhang (REB) Severino D. Etorma (RES)		
7	No. of Signatures Required: One		
<i>'</i>			
8	9		
9	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 4, Respondent:		
0	(a) caused, suffered of permitted the balance of funds in the Trust Account #1		
1	to contain as shortage of \$7,650.00 without the prior written consent of each and every owner of		
2	such funds, in violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of Chapter 6, Title 10,		
3	California Code of Regulations ("the Regulations");		
4	(b) caused, suffered or permitted the balance of funds in the Trust Account #2		
5	to contain as shortage of \$8,600.70 without the prior written consent of each and every owner of		
6	such funds, in violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;		
7	(c) failed to maintain separate records for each beneficiary or property of trust		
	funds accepted or received for Trust Account #2, in violation of Section 10145(g) of the Code		
,	and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations; and		
,	(d) failed to reconcile at least once a month, the balance of all separate		
	beneficiary or transaction records with the balance of the control records for Trust Account #2, ir		
2	violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.		
3	10		
I	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as alleged above in Paragraph 9,		
	constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of		
5	Respondents pursuant to the following provisions of the Code and Regulations:		

- 4 -

1	As to Paragraph 9(a), under Section 10177(d) of the Code, in conjunction with
2	Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;
3	As to Paragraph 9(b), under Section 10177(d) of the Code, in conjunction with
4	Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;
5	As to Paragraph 9(c), under Section 10177(d) of the Code, in conjunction with
6	Section 10145(g) of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations; and
7	As to Paragraph 9(d), under Section 10177(d) of the Code, in conjunction with
8	Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.
9	COUNT TWO
10	FAILURE TO SUPERVISE (As to ZHANG only)
11	11
12	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 10, inclusive, above, is
13	incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.
14	12
15	ZHANG, as the designated officer of SAXE, was required to exercise reasonable
16	supervision and control over the activities of SAXE, its employees, and the real estate activities
17	being conducted by SAXE.
18	13
19	ZHANG failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts and/or omissions
20	of SAXE and its employees, in such a manner as to allow the acts and/or omissions as described
21	above in the First Cause of Action to occur, which constitutes cause for the suspension or
22	revocation of the license(s) and license rights of ZHANG under Sections 10177(d), 10177(g),
23	and/or 10177(h) and 10159.2 of the Code, in conjunction with Section 2725 of the Regulations.
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
	- 5 ~
- 11	

.

		1
4 ¹		
	1	PRIOR DISCIPLINE
	2	14
	3	Effective March 14, 2003, in Case No. H-8186 SF, the Real Estate Commissioner
	4	revoked with leave to issue SAXE a restricted corporate real estate broker license for violating
	5	Sections 10145, 10148(a) and 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code, and Sections 2832, 2832.1
	6	and 2834 of the Regulations.
	7	15
	8	Effective November 3, 2016, in Case No. H-11967 SF, the Real Estate
	9	Commissioner suspended the real estate licenses of Respondents for violating Sections 10145
	10	and 10177(d) of the Code, and Sections 2726, 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, 2832 and 2832.1 of the
	11	Regulations.
	12	COST RECOVERY
	13	16
	14	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as alleged above in First Cause of
	15	Action entitle the Department to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section
	16	10148(b) of the Code.
	17	17
	18	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
	19	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the
	20	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
	21	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
	22	///
	23	///
	24	
	25	///
	26	
	27	
		- 6 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Code, for the cost of
investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit, and for such other
and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

this 75

Dated at Oakland, California,

day of

ROBIN S. TANNER Supervising Special Investigator

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, *et seq.* of the *Administrative Procedure Act*, the Department hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the *Administrative Procedure Act*. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.

- 7 -