v	
	FILED
1	Bureau of Real Estate
2	P. O. Box 137007 By Manbert
3	Sacramento, CA 95815-7007
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12) NO. H-12055 SF
13	EILEEN YOLANDA PASSANISI,
14	Respondent.) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
15)
16	The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
17	State of California, for cause of Accusation against EILEEN YOLANDA PASSANISI
18	(PASSANISI), is informed and alleges as follows:
19	1
20	The Complainant makes this Accusation in her official capacity.
21	2
22	At all times herein mentioned, PASSANISI was and is presently licensed and/or
23	has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and
24	Professions Code (the Code) by the Bureau of Real Estate (the Bureau) as a real estate broker.
25	3
26	At all times mentioned, PASSANISI engaged in the business of, acted in the
27	capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California, within
	- 1

1 the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a 2 residential resale brokerage wherein PASSANISI bought, sold, or offered to buy or sell, 3 solicited or obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or 4 business opportunities, all for or in expectation of compensation. 5 4 6 On or about November 19, 2014, PASSANISI entered into a listing agreement 7 with Highwater Properties, LLC, (Sellers) for that certain real property commonly known as 500 8 Arkansas Street, Fairfield, California (Arkansas Property). 9 5 10 On or about December 19, 2014, Warner B. and Iris B. (Buyers) entered into an 11 Option Agreement with Sellers to purchase the Arkansas Property. Buyers agreed to pay 12 \$9,585.00 for the Option and gave PASSANISI a check for \$11,825.00, which included payment 13 for the Option and \$2,400.00 for one month's rent of the Arkansas Property. 14 6 15 On or about December 20, 2014, the check described in Paragraph 5, above, was 16 deposited into the personal checking account of PASSANISI and her son. PASSANISI's failure 17 to place the check into a trust account or into escrow was in violation of Section 2832 of the 18Regulations and Section 10145 of the Code. 19 7 20 On or about December 20, 2014, PASSANISI deposited \$7,925.00 of the 21 \$11,825.00 received from Buyers, into Sellers' account. PASSANISI kept \$1,660.00 as her 22 commission for acting as the listing agent for Sellers. 23 8 24 PASSANISI asserts that Sellers agreed to an advance fee as commission, which 25 amounted to 1.25%. However the Listing Agreement did not provide for an advance fee, nor was 26 permission to take an advance fee reduced to writing in a separate document. In addition, 27 Paragraph 12 of the Listing Agreement provides that it is the "entire agreement".

- 2 -

1	9
2	PASSANISI did not have an advance fee agreement that was approved by the
3	
4	10
5	The Arkansas Property was listed for \$319,500.00, but the appraisal came in at
6	
7	Property was sold to different buyers for \$281,500.00
8	11
9	The facts alleged in Paragraphs 4 through 10, above, violate Sections 10176(j)
10	(other conduct/fraud dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or
11	revocation of the licenses and license rights of PASSANISI under Section 10176(j) of the Code.
12	12
13	The facts alleged in Paragraphs 6 and 7, above, violate Section 2832 of the
14	Regulations and Section 10145 of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of
15	the licenses and license rights of PASSANISI under Section 10177 (d) (violation of real estate
16	law) and Section 10177 (g) (negligence of real estate licensee) of the Code.
17	13
18	The facts alleged in Paragraph 9, above, violate Section 10085 (advance fee
19	agreement) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and
20	license rights of PASSANISI under Section 10085 of the Code.
21	14
22	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
23	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the
24	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
25	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
26	
27	
	- 3 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, for the reasonable cost of investigation and agency attorney's fees in this matter, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

ROBIN S. TANNER Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this 10th day of telanan 2017.

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Bureau hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.

- 4 -