1.	
ι -	FILED
, 1 2 3	MARY F. CLARKE, Counsel (SBN 186744) Bureau of Real Estate P.O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 By Alw
4	Telephone: (916) 263-8670 (916) 263-7303 (Direct) (916) 263-3767 (Fax)
6 7	
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of
12	) NO. H-11967 SF
13	SAXE REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT )   SERVICES, INC. and )   ACCUSATION
14	LE STEVEN ZHANG, )
15	Respondents)
16	The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
17	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity against SAXE REAL ESTATE
18	MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. (herein "SREMS") and LE STEVEN ZHANG (herein
19	"ZHANG") (collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:
20	1
21	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now are licensed and/or
22	have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and
23	Professions Code) (herein "the Code").
24	. 2
25	At all times herein mentioned, SREMS was and now is licensed by the State of
26	California Bureau of Real Estate (herein "the Bureau") as a restricted corporate real estate broker,
27	which restriction was effective March 3, 2003, by and through ZHANG as designated officer-
	- 1 -

1 broker of SREMS to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate 2 broker. 3 3 4 At all times herein mentioned, ZHANG was and now is licensed by the Bureau as 5 the designated officer-broker of SREMS. As said designated officer-broker, ZHANG was at all 6 times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision 7 of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of SREMS for which 8 a license is required. 9 4 10 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or 11 omission of SREMS, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, 12 employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with SREMS 13 committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of 14 such corporate respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and 15 employment. 16 5 17 At all times herein mentioned Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in 18 the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers wherein, on behalf of others, 19 for compensation or in expectation of compensation within the State of California within the 20 meaning of Sections: 21 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate (a) 22 brokerage with the public wherein Respondents sold or offered to sell, 23 bought or offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers or purchasers of. 24 solicited or obtained listings of, or negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange 25 of real property or a business opportunity; and 26 (b) 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a property 27management business with the public wherein, Respondents leased or rented

- 2 -

1	or offered to lease or rent, or placed for rent, or solicited listings or places		
2	for rent, or solicited for prospective tenants, or negotiated the sale, purchase		
3	or exchanges of leases on real property or on a business opportunity, or		
4	collected rents from real property, or improvements thereon, or from		
5	business opportunities.		
6	6		
7	In so acting as real estate brokers as described in Paragraph 5, above,		
8	Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of		
9	sellers, purchasers, tenants, owners, and others in connection with real estate sales, leasing,		
10	renting, and collection of rents on real property or improvements thereon, and thereafter from		
11	time to time made disbursements of said funds.		
12	7		
13	The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited		
14	or caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (herein "trust fund		
15	accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds at the following financial		
16	institutions:		
17	(a) First Republic Bank, San Francisco, CA 94109, account name "Saxe		
18	Real Estate Management," account number xxx-xx5-788 (herein		
19	"Bank Account #1"); and		
20	(b) Wells Fargo Bank, San Francisco, CA 94109, account name "Saxe Real		
21	Estate Management RETA ("Real Estate Trust Account")," account		
22	number xxxx6492 (herein "Trust Account #1").		
23	8		
24	Between about December 9, 2015 and about December 10, 2015, an audit was		
25	conducted of the records of Respondents in connection with the activities described in		
26	Paragraphs 5, 6 and 7, above. The auditor herein examined the records for the period between		
27	about November 1, 2014 and about November 30, 2015, and found Respondents:		
1			

{

.

(

Ш

- 3 -

1	(a)	caused, suffered or permitted the balance of funds in Bank
2		Account #1 and Trust Account #1, combined, to be reduced to
3		amounts, which as of October 31, 2015, were approximately
4		\$49,710.01, less than the aggregate liability of SREMS to all owners
5		of such funds, without the prior written consent of the owners of
6		such funds, in violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section
7		2832.1 of Chapter 6, Title 10, of the California Code of
8		Regulations (herein "the Regulations");
9	(b)	failed to place trust funds entrusted to Respondent into the hands of a
10		principal on whose behalf the funds were received, into a neutral
11		escrow depository, or into a trust fund account in the name of
12		Respondents as trustees at a bank or other financial institution, in
13		that trust funds were deposited into Bank Account #1, in violation of
14		Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 the Regulations;
15	(c)	failed to maintain an accurate columnar record in chronological sequence
16		of all trust funds received and disbursed for each trust fund account
17		containing all required information, in that separate columnar records were
18		not maintained for Bank Account #1 and for Trust Account #1, in
19		violation of Section 2831 of the Regulations;
20	(d)	failed to keep a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction for each
21		trust account containing all required information, in that separate records
22		were not maintained for each of the trust funds accounts, Bank Account #1
23		and Trust Account #1, in violation of Section 10145 of the Code and
24		Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;
25	(e)	failed to reconcile at least once a month, the balance of all
26		beneficiary or transaction records for each trust fund account
27		separately, in that reconciliations were not performed separately for

{

(

- 4 -

·		
1		Bank Account #1 and Trust Account #1, rather a single
2		reconciliation combined Bank Account #1 and Trust Account #1, in
3		violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the
4		Regulations; and
5	(f)	failed to maintain broker-salesperson relationship agreements
6		containing all required information, in that the agreements
7		maintained between SREMS and its salespersons failed to include a
8		broker supervision provision, in violation of Section 2726 of the
9		Regulations.
10		9
11	The	facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
12	licenses and license	e rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and
13	Regulations:	
14	(a)	as to Paragraph 8(a) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section
15		2832.1 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of
16		the Code;
17	(b)	as to Paragraph 8(b) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section
18		2832 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the
19		Code;
20	(c)	as to Paragraph 8(c) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section
21		2831 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the
22		Code
23	(d)	as to Paragraph 8(d) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section
24		2831.1 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of
25		the Code;
26	(e)	as to Paragraph 8(e) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section
27		2831.2 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of
		- 5 -

(

(

1	the Code; and	
2		
3		
4	conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.	
5	PRIOR DISCIPLINE 10	
6		
7	Effective March 14, 2003, in Case No. H-8186 SF, the Real Estate Commissioner	
	revoked with leave to issue SREMS a restricted corporate real estate broker license for violating	
8	Sections 10145, 10148(a) and 10177(d) of the Code and Sections 2832, 2832.1 and 2834 of the	
9	Regulations.	
10	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>	
11	11	
12	Audit Costs	
13	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above entitle the Bureau to	
14	reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) of the Code.	
15	12	
16	Investigation and Enforcement Costs	
17	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in	
18	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the	
19	Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to	
20	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.	
21	///	
22	///	
23	///	
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27		

- 6 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law. 

- 7 -

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California this  $5^{\text{H1}}$  day of May, 2016.