	II	Sleq,
		FILED
		al di dinakan kanana <b>kana</b>
1	Bureau of Real Estate	MAR 1 8 2016
2	P. O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
3	Telephone: (916) 263-8670	By S. Black
4	Telephone. (910) 203-8070	
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * *	
11	To:	No. H-11935 SF
12	OSCAR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ-LOPEZ	FINAL BAR ORDER
13		(B&P Code § 10087)
14	TO: OSCAR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ-LOPEZ	
15	5212 Country Club Drive	
16	Rohnert Park, CA 94928	
17	Pursuant to Section 10087(b) of the California Business and Professions Code	
18	(Code), OSCAR MANUEL RODRIGUEZ-LOPEZ (LOPEZ), was notified on February 3, 2016,	
19	of the intention of the California Real Estate Commissioner (Commissioner) to issue a Bar Order	
20	pursuant to Section 10087(a) (2) of the Code. Respondent failed to request a hearing in writing	
21	within fifteen (15) days of service of the Preliminary Bar Order and Notice of Intention to Issues	
22	Bar Order. Knowingly committed violations of the Real Estate Laws.	
23	Pursuant to the authority granted by Section 1087 of the Code, and after review	
24	and consideration of the following facts, the Commissioner makes the following:	
25	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>	
26	1. Effective July 19, 2010, in Case No. H-5253 SAC, the Commissioner issued	
27	an Order revoking the real estate salesperson license of LOPEZ for a violation of Sections 490	

ŧ

ł

- 1 -

and 10177(b) of the Code. Effective December 16, 2013, the Commissioner denied Lopez's
 Petition for Reinstatement.

2. On or about September 9, 2013, in Case No. H-11586 SF, the Commissioner
issued an Order against LOPEZ to immediately Desist and Refrain (D & R) from performing any
and all acts within the State of California for which a real estate salesperson or broker license is
required, unless and until he obtains a real estate salesperson or broker license. The D & R was
served on Lopez on September 27, 2013.

8 3. In or around April of 2014, LOPEZ formed a California Corporation named
9 SoCoPropMgt, Inc. (SCPM) to conduct property management activities. Christopher Sanchez
10 was the Designated Officer for SCPM. LOPEZ is the chief executive office and eighty (80)
11 percent owner of SCPM. The other twenty (20) percent of SCPM is owned by Natricia Aileen
12 Companey, who is also a friend of LOPEZ.

4. Beginning on or about March 21, 2014, the Commissioner caused an
investigation to be made of the activities of LOPEZ, and has determined that, during the period
of time set forth below, LOPEZ, has engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of,
advertised, or assumed to act, as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning
of Code Section 10131(b) (property management).

<sup>18</sup> 5. Beginning on or about April 1, 2014, and continuing until at least December
 <sup>19</sup> 31, 2015, LOPEZ, on behalf of SCPM, collected rents from tenants, including but not limited to
 <sup>20</sup> Michael W. at 8514 Lincoln Way, Rohnert Park, California, and Rodrigo O. at 904 Civic Center,
 <sup>21</sup> Rohnert Park, California.

6. Beginning on or about April 1, 2014, and continuing until at least December
31, 2015, LOPEZ, on behalf of SCPM, negotiated property management agreements and
solicited property owners to act as their property manager, including, but not limited to Linda L.,
who owned 824 Liana Court, Rohnert Park, California.

<sup>26</sup>
<sup>27</sup> 7. Beginning on or about April 1, 2014, and continuing until at least December
<sup>27</sup> 31, 2015, LOPEZ, on behalf of SCPM, solicited property owners who were clients of Pacific

Property to hire him to conduct property management activities. LOPEZ worked for Pacific
 Property immediately before he formed SCPM.

3 8. Edward Dorsaneo (Dorsaneo), a private investigator hired by John Boss, who 4 owned Pacific Property, conducted an investigation of LOPEZ's business activities. While 5 posing as a prospective property management client, Dorsaneo met with LOPEZ and Sanchez. 6 LOPEZ explained the terms and conditions under which his company, SCPM, would act as 7 property manager, including the fee of six (6) percent and that rents would be generally range 8 between \$1,900.00 and \$2,800.00 per month. 9 9. The activities of LOPEZ, as described in Paragraphs 1 through 8, above. 10 constituted property management activities which require a license under the provisions of Code 11 Sections 10131(b), during a period of time when LOPEZ was not licensed by the Bureau of Real 12 Estate in any capacity, in violation of Code Section 10130. -13 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 14 Based on the findings set forth above, the Commissioner has determined that: 15 1. A Bar Order is in the public interest; 16 2. Respondent knowingly committed violations of the Real Estate Law; and, 17 3. In Case No. H-5253 SAC, the Commissioner revoked the real estate license of 18 LOPEZ for offenses reasonably related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a person 19 engaged in the real estate business. 20 ORDER 21 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to the authority of Section 22 10087 of the Code, that MANUEL RODRIGUEZ-LOPEZ, IS hereby barred and prohibited for a 23 period of thirty-six (36) months form the effective date of the Bar Order, from engaging in any of 24 the following activities in the State of California: 25 (A) Holding any position of employment, management, or control in a real 26 estate business; 27

- 3 -

1	(B) Participating in any business activity of a real estate salesperson or a real	
2	estate broker;	
3	(C) Engaging in any real estate related business activity on the premises where a	
4	real estate salesperson or real estate broker is conducting business; and,	
5	(D) Participating in any real estate related business activity of a finance lender,	
6	residential mortgage lender, bank credit union, escrow company, title	
7	company, or underwritten title company.	
8	THIS BAR ORDER IS EFFETIVE IMMEDIATELY.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED ON $3/16/20/6$	
10	WAYNE S. BELL	
11	REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER	
12		
13	~ mm	
14	U	
15	NOTICE	
16	Pursuant to Section 10185 of the Code: Any person, including officers, directors,	
17	agents or employees of corporations, who willfully violates or knowingly participates in the violation of the Bar Order shall be guilty of a misdemeanor punishable by a fine not exceeding	
18	ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment in the county jail not exceeding six months,	
19	or by a fine and imprisonment.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	4	