	FI
1	JOHN W. BARRON, (SBN 171246) Counsel
2	Bureau of Real Estate
3	P. O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 DEC 1 5 2015
4	Telephone: (916) 263-8672
5	-or- (916) 263-8680 (Direct)
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	***
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of
12	PROPERTY UPSURGE INC., and) NO. H-11914 SF
13	ERIC ROBERT HUBER,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
14	Respondents.
15	
16	The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, in her official capacity as a Supervising
17	Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against PROPERTY
18	UPSURGE INC., individually and doing business as "Property Upsurge", ("PUI"), and
19	ERIC ROBERT HUBER ("HUBER"), (collectively "Respondents") is informed and alleges as
20	follows:
21	1
22	At all times relevant, PUI was licensed and/or had license rights by the Bureau of
23	Real Estate ("the Bureau") as a corporate real estate broker under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of
24	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code ("the Code").
25	2
26	At all times relevant, HUBER was licensed and/or had license rights by the
27	Bureau as a real estate broker under the Code and was licensed by the Bureau as the designated

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

broker/officer of PUI. As the designated broker/officer, HUBER was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 (responsibility of corporate broker in charge) of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of PUI for which a real estate license is required.

3

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(a) (broker defined – selling/buying real property) of the Code, including selling or offering to sell, buying or offer to buy, soliciting prospective sellers or purchasers of, soliciting or obtaining lists of, or negotiating the purchase, sale or exchange of real property; and Section 10131(b) of the Code (property management), including the operation and conduct of a property management business with the public, wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent leased or rented and offered to lease or rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents from real property or improvements thereon.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

On or about May 12, 2015, and continuing intermittently through May 29, 2015, an audit was conducted of Respondents at their main office located at 501 Railroad Avenue, Pittsburg, California, where the auditor examined the records for the period of May 1, 2014, through April 30, 2015 (the audit period).

5

While acting as real estate brokers as described in Paragraph 3, above, and within the audit period, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) in the course of the real estate activities described in Paragraph 3, above, and deposited or caused to be deposited those funds into a bank account maintained by Respondents, including, but not limited to:

	11	
1	Bank Account #1	
2	Wells Fargo	
3	3001 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565	
4		
5	Account No.: XXXXXX1030	
6	Client Trust Operation	
7 8	Signatories: Eric Robert Huber, D.O.	
9	Michael Jacob Winton, RES Yael Maital Benaroya, RES (expired 7/14/14)	
10		
11	Bank Account #2	
12	Wells Fargo 3001 Railroad Avenue	
13	Pittsburg, CA 94565	
14	Account No.: XXXXXX1048	
15 16	Account Name: Property Upsurge, Inc dba Property Upsurge Client Trust Security Deposit	
17	Signatories: Eric Robert Huber, D.O.	
18	Yaniv Benaroya, REB Michael Jacob Winton, RES	
19	Yael Maital Benaroya, RES (expired 7/14/14)	
20	Thereafter, Respondents from time-to-time made disbursement of said trust funds.	
21	6	
22	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 3, above, in connection wi	ith
23	the collection and disbursement of trust funds:	
24	(a) As of April 30, 2015, there was a shortage in Respondents' Bank Account	t
25	#1 of at least \$35,003.88. Respondents failed to obtain the prior written consent of every	
26	principal who is an owner of the funds in Bank Account #1 prior to any disbursement which	
27	would reduce the balance of the funds in Bank Account #1 to an amount less than the existing	

aggregate account liability of Respondents to all owners and/or principals of those funds. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 (handling of trust funds) of the Code and Section 2832.1 (trust fund handling for multiple beneficiaries) of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations ("the Regulations").

- (b) Bank Account #1 was an interest-bearing account in which Respondents held trust funds for more than a single beneficiary. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145(d) of the Code.
- (c) Respondents failed to properly designate Bank Accounts #1 and #2 as trust accounts. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations.
- (d) Respondents allowed non-licensee/non-employee Yael Maital Benaroya to be a signatory on Bank Accounts #1 and #2. In addition, Respondent failed to maintain adequate fidelity bond coverage for Yael Maital Benaroya. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2834 (trust account withdrawals) of the Regulations.
- (e) Respondents did not have a written broker-salesperson relationship agreement with Yaniv Benaroya and Michael Jacob Winter covering the material aspects of their relationship, including supervision of licensed activities, duties and compensation. Such acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Section 2726 (written broker-salesperson agreement requirements) of the Regulations.

The facts alleged in Paragraph 6, above, individually and collectively, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under Sections 10177(d) (willful disregard of Real Estate Law) and/or 10177(g) (negligence/incompetence) of the Code. In addition, the Bureau is entitled to reimbursement from Respondents for the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) (cost of audit in final decision following disciplinary hearing) of the Code.

COST RECOVERY

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Code, for the costs of the audit, investigation and enforcement, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under the provisions of law.

ROBIN S. TANNER

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California

this 11th day of <u>December</u>, 2015.