Flag

1 TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel (SBN 223266) Bureau of Real Estate 2 P.O. Box 137007 FILED Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 3 4 DEC 09 2016 Telephone: (916) 263-8676 (Direct) BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE -or-(916) 263-8670 (Main) 5 (916) 263-3767 (Fax) -or-B. Micholas 6 7 8 BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 12 REDWOOD REALTY, INC., NO. H-11823 SF DAVID GEORGE DANIEL, and 13 ALI HASNAIN ALI, FIRST AMENDED 14 **ACCUSATION** Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, in her official capacity as a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against REDWOOD 17 REALTY, INC. ("REDWOOD"), DAVID GEORGE DANIEL ("DANIEL"), and ALI 18 19 HASNAIN ALI ("ALI"), (collectively "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows: 20 21 At all times relevant, REDWOOD was licensed and/or had license rights by the Bureau of Real Estate ("the Bureau") as a corporate real estate broker under the Real Estate Law, 22 23 Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code ("the Code"). REDWOOD has an approved Mortgage Loan Originator License Endorsement No. 313361. 24 25 2 26 At all times relevant, DANIEL was licensed and/or had license rights by the Bureau as a real estate broker, and was licensed by the Bureau as the designated broker/officer of 27

REDWOOD for the period from December 17, 2010 through March 25, 2012. As the designated broker/officer, DANIEL was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 (responsibility of corporate broker in charge) of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of REDWOOD for which a real estate license is required.

At all times relevant, ALI was licensed and/or had license rights by the Bureau as a real estate broker, and was licensed by the Bureau as the designated broker/officer of REDWOOD for the period from March 26, 2012, to the present. As the designated broker/officer, ALI was responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of REDWOOD for which a real estate license is required. ALI has an approved Mortgage Loan Originator License Endorsement No. 323217.

At no time has Owais Jeewanjee ("JEEWANJEE") been licensed by the Bureau to conduct real estate activity in the State of California.

During the period of November 11, 2010 through December 16, 2010, there was no designated officer/broker affiliated with REDWOOD. Prior to November 11, 2010, Abdul Latif ("LATIF"), licensed as a real estate broker, was the designated officer/broker for REDWOOD. LATIF died on November 11, 2010.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act and/or omission of REDWOOD, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that REDWOOD, its employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with REDWOOD and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment with REDWOOD committed such acts and/ or omissions in the furtherance of the business or operations of REDWOOD.

At all times mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate licensee, in the State of California, within the meaning of:

Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or a business opportunity, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated by Respondents on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of a compensation; and

Section 10131.2 of the Code, including the claiming, demanding, charging, receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of an advance fee in connection with any employment undertaken to promote the sale or lease of real property or of a business opportunity by advance fee listing, advertisement or other offering to sell, lease, exchange or rent property or a business opportunity, or to obtain a loan or loans thereon.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

On or about August 12, 2012, and continuing intermittently through August 16, 2012, an audit was conducted of Respondents at their main office located at 611 Veterans Boulevard, Suite 201, Redwood City, California, and at the Bureau's District Office located at 1515 Clay Street, Suite 702, Oakland, California, where the auditor examined the records for the period of August 1, 2009, through July 31, 2012 (the audit period).

While acting as real estate brokers as described in Paragraph 7, above, and within the audit period, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) in the course of the real estate activities described in Paragraph 7, above, and deposited or caused to be deposited those funds into a bank account maintained by Respondents, including, but not limited to:

	Bank Account #1
	Comerica Bank
	800 Oakgrove Avenue
•	Menlo Park, CA 94025-4360
:	Account No.: XXXXXX7259
(Account Name: Redwood Realty Inc
	Signatories: Ali Hasnain Ali, D.O. (REB)
8	Owars Jeewanjee, (unlicensed)
9	Therearter, respondents from time-to-time made disbursement of said trust funds.
10	\parallel
11	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 7, above, in connection with
12	the collection and disbursement of trust funds:
13	(a) Respondents failed to maintain control records for Bank Account #1, which
14	resulted in an inability by the auditor to determine the adjusted bank balance of Bank Account
15	#1. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 (trust fund handling) of
16	the Code.
17	(b) Respondents failed to deposit the advance fees they collected from clients
18 19	into a trust account. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10146
20	(advance fees) of the Code.
21	(c) Respondents failed to designate Bank Account #1 as a trust account. Such
22	acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832
23	(trust fund handling) of Title 10, California Code of Regulations ("Regulations").
24	(d) Respondents failed to maintain control records for Bank Account #1. Such
25	acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831
26	(trust funds records maintenance) of the Regulations.
27	
-1	

(e)	Respondents failed to maintain separate records for each beneficiary of
Bank Account #1.	Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Sections 10145 of the
Code and Section 2	2831.1 (separate record for each beneficiary or transaction) of the Regulations.

- (f) Respondents failed to perform and maintain records of required monthly reconciliations for Bank Account #1. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 (trust account reconciliation) of the Regulations.
- (g) Respondents failed to furnish a verified accounting with respect to advance fees to the principals of Bank Account #1 at the end of each quarter and when each contract was completely performed. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2972 (verified accounting) of the Regulations.
- (h) Respondents allowed non-licensee JEEWANJEE to be a signatory on Bank Account #1. In addition, ALI was a signatory on Bank Account #1 prior to being licensed by the Bureau to conduct real estate activity in California. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2834 (trust account withdrawals) of the Regulations.
- (i) Respondents co-mingled trust funds with non-trust funds in Bank Account #1. Such acts and/or omissions by Respondents violate Sections 10176(e) (commingling) of the Code and Section 2835 (commingling) of the Regulations.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as set forth in the First Cause of Action, above, are grounds for the discipline of Respondents' licenses and license rights under Sections 10176(e), and 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 11, above, and incorporates the same, herein by reference.

 Respondents claimed, demanded, charged, collected, and/or received advance fees in connection with loan modification services after October 10, 2009, in violation of Section 10085.6 (unlawful collection of advance fees related to loan modifications) of the Code and Section 2945.4 (unlawful collection of advance fees related to loan modifications) of the California Civil Code (Civil Code), for transactions, including but not limited to the following:

Property Owner	Property	Advance
		Fee
Fred S.	228 Northwood Drive, S. San Francisco, CA	\$1,150
Victor De L.	2170 Crestmoor Drive, San Bruno, CA	\$1,000
Danette & John D.	1245 Glacier Avenue, Pacifica, CA	\$1,150
Denias M.	25807 Bel Aire Drive, Hayward, CA	\$950
Yusuf M. & Jeneba D.	3447 Duchess Court, San Jose, CA	\$900

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as set forth in the Second Cause of Action, above, are grounds for the discipline of Respondents' licenses and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 14, above, and incorporates the same, herein by reference.

Beginning, January 1, 2011, REDWOOD performed loan modification activities, including but not limited to those loan modification activities described in Paragraph 13, without first obtaining a real estate license endorsement as a Mortgage Loan Originator ("MLO").

REDWOOD obtained an MLO endorsement on July 26, 2011, which expired on January 1,

2012. However, REDWOOD continued performing mortgage loan activities until it obtained an MLO endorsement on August 2, 2012. Such acts and/or omissions by REDWOOD violate Section 10166.02(b) of the Code.

The acts and/or omissions of REDWOOD as set forth in Paragraph 19, above, are grounds for the discipline of REDWOOD's license and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 17, above, and incorporates the same, herein by reference.

As the designated broker officer for REDWOOD, DANIEL was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of REDWOOD by its officers and employees for the period from February 2, 2011, through April 12, 2012. DANIEL failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the property management activities of REDWOOD. In particular, DANIEL permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct described in the First through Fourth Causes of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including, but not limited to, the handling of trust funds, supervision of employees and the implementation of policies, rules, procedures and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate Law and the Regulations.

The acts and/or omissions of DANIEL as set forth in the Fourth Cause of Action, violate Section 10159.2 of the Code and Section 2725 (broker supervision) of the Regulations, and are grounds for the discipline of DANIEL's license and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), and 10177(h) (reasonable broker supervision) of the Code.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 23, above, and incorporates the same, herein by reference.

As the designated broker officer for REDWOOD, ALI was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of REDWOOD by its officers and employees for the period from April 12, 2012, through the present. ALI failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the real estate activities of REDWOOD. In particular, ALI permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct described in the First through Fourth Causes of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including, but not limited to, the handling of trust funds, supervision of employees and the implementation of policies, rules, procedures and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate Law and the Regulations.

The acts and/or omissions of ALI as set forth in the Fifth Cause of Action, violate Section 10159.2 of the Code and Section 2725 (broker supervision) of the Regulations, and are grounds for the discipline of ALI's license and license rights under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), and 10177(h) (reasonable broker supervision) of the Code.

COST RECOVERY

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Real Estate Commissioner may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above, entitle the Bureau to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) (audit costs for trust fund handling violation) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing discipline on all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement of this case as permitted by law, for the cost of the Bureau's audit as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under the provisions of law.

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Oakland, California

this 30 day of November, 2016.