FILED

JASON D. LAZARK, Counsel (SBN 263714) 1 Bureau of Real Estate FEB 1 1 2015 2 P. O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE 3 Telephone: (916) 263-8670 4 (916) 263-8684 (Direct) 5 6 7 BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of: 11 NO. H-11812 SF 12 FERDINAND MANGABAT PIANO **ACCUSATION** 13 Respondent. 14 The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, acting in her official capacity as a 15 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against 16 FERDINAND MANGABAT PIANO ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows: 17 1. 18 Respondent is presently licensed and/or had license rights under the Real Estate 19 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code (herein "the Real Estate Law") has an individual real 20 estate broker. 21 2. 22 At all times herein mentioned, Respondent engaged in the business of, 23 acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as an individual real estate broker within 24 the State of California on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation 25

within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a

property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation,

26

27

1 leased or rented or offered to lease or rent, or placed for rent, or solicited listings of places for 2 rent, or solicited for prospective tenants, or negotiated for sale, purchase or exchanges of leases 3 on real property, or on a business opportunity, or collected rent from real property, or improvements thereon, or from business opportunities. 4 5 AUDIT VIOLATIONS 6 3. 7 Each and every allegation contained above in Paragraphs 1 and 2, inclusive, are 8 incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein. 9 4. 10 Beginning on April 16, 2014 and continuing intermittently through May 13, 11 2014, the California Bureau of Real Estate ("the Bureau") conducted an audit of the books and records related to the real estate activities of Respondent at Respondent's main office located at 12 13 1199 De Haro Street, San Francisco, CA 94107, where the auditor examined records for the 14 period of February 1, 2012 to March 31, 2014 ("audit period"). 15 5. 16 While doing business within the audit period, Respondent accepted or received funds in trust ("trust funds") from or on behalf of owners and tenants in connection with the 17 18 leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or improvements thereon, as alleged 19 herein, and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said trust funds. 20 6. 21 The trust funds accepted or received by Respondent, as described above in 22 Paragraph 5, were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondent into trust accounts which 23 were maintained by Respondent for the handling of trust funds, and thereafter from time-to-time 24 Respondent made disbursements of said trust funds, identified as follows: 25 /// 26 ///

27

///

BANK ACCOUNT #1		
Bank Name and Location:	Wells Fargo, P.O. Box 6995 Portland, OR 97228-6995	
Account No.:	XXXXXX0963	
Entitled:	Ferdinand M. Piano dba Golden Gate Properties	
Signatories:	Ferdinand M. Piano (REB)	
No. of Signatures Required:		

BANK ACCOUNT #2	
Bank Name and Location:	Wells Fargo, P.O. Box 6995 Portland, OR 97228-6995
Account No.:	XXXXXX6763
Entitled:	Ferdinand M. Piano dba Golden Gate Properties
Signatories:	Ferdinand M. Piano (REB)
No. of Signatures Required:	One

7.

In the course of the activities described above in Paragraph 2, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, Respondent:

- (a) caused, suffered, or permitted the balance of funds in Bank Account #1 to be reduced to an amount which, as of March 31, 2014, was approximately \$14,843.15 less than the aggregate liability of Bank Account #1 to all owners of such funds, without the prior written consent of each and every owner of such funds, in violation of section 10145 (trust fund handling) of the Code and section 2832.1 (written authorization of all beneficiaries required to reduce funds to below liability) of title 10 of the California Code of Regulations ("the Regulations");
- (b) caused, suffered, or permitted the balance of funds in Bank Account #2 to be reduced to an amount which, as of March 31, 2014, was approximately \$3,929.11 less than the aggregate liability of Bank Account #2 to all owners of such funds, without the prior written consent of each and every owner of such funds, in violation of section 10145 (trust fund handling) of the Code and section 2832.1 (written authorization of all beneficiaries required to reduce funds to below liability) of the Regulations;
- (c) failed to ensure that the funds in Bank Account #1 were kept separate, distinct, and apart from funds belonging to Respondent or any other person for whom the broker holds funds in trust, in violation of section 10145(d) (trust fund handling) of the Code;

- (d) failed to ensure that the funds in Bank Account #2 were kept separate, distinct, and apart from funds belonging to Respondent or any other person for whom the broker holds funds in trust, in violation of section 10145(d) (trust fund handling) of the Code;
- (e) failed to reconcile at least once per month, the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records to the balance of the control records for Bank Account #1, in violation of section 2831.2 (monthly trust account reconciliation) of the Regulations;
- (f) failed to reconcile at least once per month, the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records to the balance of the control records for Bank Account #2, in violation of section 2831.2 (monthly trust account reconciliation) of the Regulations;
- (g) failed to properly designate Bank Account #1 as a trust account in the name of Respondent or his fictitious business name, as trustee, in violation of section 10145 (trust fund handling) of the Code and section 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations;
- (h) failed to properly designate Bank Account #2 as a trust account in the name of Respondent or his fictitious business name, as trustee, in violation of section 10145 (trust fund handling) of the Code and section 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations;

8.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent, as alleged in Paragraph 7, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent pursuant to the following provisions of the Code and Regulations:

As to Paragraph 7(a), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 10145 of the Code and section 2832.1 of the Regulations;

As to Paragraph 7(b), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 10145 of the Code and section 2832.1 of the Regulations;

As to Paragraph 7(c), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 10145(d) of the Code;

As to Paragraph 7(d), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 10145(d) of the Code;

As to Paragraph 7(e), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 2831.2 of the Regulations;

As to Paragraph 7(f), under Section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 2831.2 of the Regulations;

As to Paragraph 7(g), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 10145 of the Code and section 2832 of the Regulations;

As to Paragraph 7(h), under section 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code, in conjunction with section 10145 of the Code and section 2832 of the Regulations;

9.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent, as alleged above in Paragraphs 7 and 8, entitle the Bureau to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to section 10148(b) of the Code.

10.

COST RECOVERY

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

ROBIN S. TANNER

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 2014 day of Januar, 2015

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Bureau hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.