FILED

1	1 RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel (SBN 98275) Octobe	er 17, 2012	
2	Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007	. OF BEAL CON	
3	USA CA 05919 7007	OF REAL ESTATE	
4	4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 (916) 227-2380 (Direct)		
5	5 (310) ZZ1 Z300 (D1001)		
6	1		
7	7		
8	8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATI	MENT OF REAL ESTATE	
9	9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	10 ***		
11	ll ,	r	
12	TONY DE VOLO BAYARD,) H-11471 S	r	
13	13 Respondent.	<u>rion</u>	
14	14		
15	The Complainant, ROBIN S. TANNER, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of		
16	the State of California, for cause of Accusation against TONY DE VOLO BAYARD,		
17	(Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows:		
18	18		
19	Complainant makes this Accusation against Respondent in her official capacity.		
20	20		
21	Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights ur	nder the Real Estate	
22	Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (the Code)	Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (the Code) as a real estate	
23	broker.		
24	4 ///		
25	5 //		
26	6 /		
27	7		
- 1	11		

III

On or about July 16, 2012, in The Supreme Court of the State of California, Case No. S201832, the Court ordered that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for one year, with a stay of execution, placed him on two years probation with conditions, including actual suspension of ninety days.

On March 7, 2012, before the State Bar Court of California, Case No. 10-O-09257, which consolidated cases Nos. 10-O-10543, 10-O-10684, 10-O-10921, 11-O-16158 and 11-O-19461, the Court approved an order on stipulations regarding facts, conclusions of law and disposition. In the conclusions of law, the Court found that Respondent, in handling loan modifications, on four counts, violated Rule 3-110(A) (Failure to Perform With Competence), by failing to perform legal services, delegating non-attorneys to perform legal duties and the unauthorized withdrawal of client trust funds. The Court also found that Respondent, in two counts, violated Rule 4-400(B) (Limiting Liability to Client), by settling claims with clients without advising them that they could seek independent counsel.

The facts alleged in Paragraphs 3 and 4, above, constitute cause under Section 10177(f) of the Code for suspension or revocation of Respondent's license under the Real Estate Law.

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

- 2 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a Decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, and for such other relief that may be proper under provisions of law.

ROBIN S. TANNER

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland California

this // day of OCTOBER, 2012.