

FILED

MAY 21 2026

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
By J. Taggart

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of:) DRE No. H-11418 SF
)
CRISTETA L. LAGAREJOS,)
)
Respondent.)
_____)

ORDER DENYING REMOVAL OF DISCIPLINE INFORMATION FROM RESPONDENT'S PUBLIC INFORMATION PAGE ON THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE'S WEBSITE

On September 24, 2012 in Case No. H-11418 SF, a Decision was rendered disciplining the real estate broker license of Respondent effective October 17, 2012.

On October 23, 2024, Respondent petitioned for the removal of discipline information from Respondent's Public Information Page on the Department of Real Estate's Website ("the Website").

The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (*Feinstein v. State Bar* (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (*Tardiff v. State Bar* (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence submitted in support thereof.

1 The Department has developed criteria in Sections 2911 and 2912 of Title 10,
2 California Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of a
3 petitioner for the removal of discipline information from the website. Among the criteria relevant
4 in this proceeding are:

5 Regulation 2912(g) Payment of any fine imposed in connection with the criminal
6 conviction that is the basis for revocation or suspension of the license.

7
8 Respondent has not made an attempt to repay the \$7,500.00 fee charged from the
9 sentencing of her criminal case.

10 Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent is not a
11 credible risk to the public and that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant
12 the removal of discipline information from the Website.

13 Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that
14 Respondent has satisfied Regulation 2912(g), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently
15 rehabilitated for the removal of discipline information from the website.

16 The earliest date on which the Respondent may submit a new petition for the
17 removal of discipline from the website is one year from the effective date of this Decision.

18 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for the removal
19 of discipline information from the Website is denied.

20 This Order shall become effective immediately.

21 DATED: 5/15/2024

22
23 CHIKA SUNQUIST
24 REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

25 

26 By: Marcus L. McCarther
27 Chief Deputy Real Estate Commissioner