1 ANNETTE E. FERRANTE, Counsel (SBN 258842) Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 (916) 227-0788 (Direct) 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE 9 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of H-11272 SF 13 ALLISON RENEE PHARIS, ACCUSATION 14 Respondent. 15 16 The Complainant, E. J. HABERER, II, in his official capacity as a Deputy Real 17 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against ALLISON RENEE PHARIS (hereinafter "Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows: 18 19 20 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 21 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) ("the Real Estate Law") as a 22 real estate salesperson. 23 2 -24 On or about January 27, 2011, after giving Respondent fair notice of the 25 pending charges and being afforded the opportunity for a hearing and other due process 26 protections, the State Bar Court of California, in Case No. 05-O-05117, issued a Decision

("Decision") recommending to the California Supreme Court that Respondent be disciplined

27

by imposing thirty (30) days actual suspension from the practice of law within the State of California, along with other conditions. The Decision was based upon findings, including but not limited to the following, that Respondent:

- Practiced law while on inactive status, in violation of Section 6125 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code");
- ii. Held herself out as entitled to practice law in violation of Sections 6126(a) and (b) of the Code;
- iii. Entered into an agreement for an illegal fee, and charged and collected an illegal fee all in violation of Rule of Professional Conduct 4-200(A);
- iv. Committed acts of moral turpitude, dishonesty and corruption, in violation of Section6106 of the Code;
- v. Failed to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against her, in violation of Section 6068(i) of the Code.

On or about May 26, 2011, the California Supreme Court, in Case No. S191614, issued an Order adopting the Decision, and ordered Respondent to be suspended from the practice of law for one year, with a stay of execution, and placed Respondent on two years probation with conditions, including actual suspension from the practice of law for thirty (30) days.

The facts alleged in Paragraph 2, above, constitute cause under Section 10177(f) (Suspension of License Issued by State Agency), and Section 10177(j) (Fraudulent or Dishonest Conduct) and/or Section 10177(g) (Negligence or Incompetence in Performing Licensed Act) of the Code, for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

///

26 | ///

27 | ///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

É. J. HABERER, II

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 167H day of Occupen, 2011.