1 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 AUG 1 7 2010 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of DRE No. H-10894 SF 12 REED BENNETT ROBERTSON. STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT 13 IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER 14 Respondent. 15 16 It is hereby stipulated by and between REED BENNETT ROBERTSON (herein "Respondent" or "ROBERTSON"), his attorney, Jeffrey William Allen, and the Complainant, 17 18 acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate; as follows for 19 the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on March 29, 2010, in this matter: 20 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be 21 presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing 22 was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 23 shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 24 Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 25 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, 26 the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate 27 in this proceeding. H-10894 SF

- 3. On April 12, 2010, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
 - 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations or findings of fact as set forth in the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
 - 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
 - 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

25 |

26 //

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the acts and/or omissions of Respondent, as described in the Accusation, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), 10176(c), 10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Business and Professions Code (the Code).

ORDER

1. All licenses and licensing rights of respondent REED BENNETT

ROBERTSON (ROBERTSON), under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code, if Respondent makes application therefore and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 2. The license shall not confer any property right in the privileges to be exercised, and the Real Estate Commissioner may by appropriate order suspend the right to exercise any privileges granted under this restricted license in the event of:
 - (a) The conviction of ROBERTSON (including a plea of nolo contendere) of a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee; or
 - (b) The receipt of evidence that ROBERTSON has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, or conditions attaching to this restricted license.

|| H-10894 SF

- 3 -

H-10894 SF

///

27

1

	ll '
2	7/21/10 auhan Man
3	DATED RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	* * *
5	
6	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order, have
.7	discussed it with my attorney, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and
8	acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California
9	Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and
10	11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those
11	rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the
12	Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me
13	and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.
14	
15	7/10/10
16	DATED REED BENNETT ROBERTSON
17	Respondent
18	I have reviewed this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order as to
19	form and content and have advised my clients accordingly.
20	
21	7/10/10
22	DATED JEFFREY WILLIAM ALLEN
23	Attorney for Respondent
24	* * *
25	/// ·
26	
27	/// ///
	<i>///</i>

H-10894 SF

- 5 -

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on SEP - 7 2010 8-12-2010 IT IS SO ORDERED JEFF DAVI Real Estate Gommissioner

H-10894 SF

- 6 -

ĵ,

2 3	Richard K. Uno, Counsel (SBN 98275) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 MAR 2 9 2010
4	Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 MAR 2 9 2010 Telephone: (916) 227-2380 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	By H. Mar
6	
7 8	-
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of
13) NO. H-10894 SF REED BENNETT ROBERTSON,)
14	Respondent.) ACCUSATION)
15	
16	The Complainant, TRICIA D. SOMMERS, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
17	of the State of California for cause of Accusation against REED BENNETT ROBERTSON,
18	(ROBERTSON), referred to as "Respondent", is informed and alleges as follows:
19	1 .
20	The Complainant makes this Accusation in her official capacity.
21	2
22	ROBERTSON is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real
23	Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (the Code),
24	as a real estate broker.
25	///
26	///
27	<i> </i>

26 || ,

27 |

In and around March, 2007, Nigelia Syeed was in the process of obtaining a loan for the purchase of real property commonly known as 2904 Lincoln Oaks Drive, Modesto, California (Lincoln Oaks Property).

During the application process for the financing of the loan on the Lincoln Oaks Property, ROBERTSON was asked by Gwen Stone, an employee of Streemline Corporation, the lender in the transaction, to verify employment of Syeed. ROBERTSON stated that Syeed worked for him and/or his corporation. ROBERTSON received \$500.00 in exchange for falsely verifying Syeed's employment.

The representations made by ROBERTSON to the lender in the transaction set out above were false, and ROBERTSON knew that they were false when those representations were made. The true facts were that Syeed did not at the time the inquiry was made, not at any other time, work for ROBERTSON or his corporation, and that ROBERTSON misrepresented the employment of Syeed to fraudulently induce the lender to fund the loan on the Lincoln Oak Property.

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent ROBERTSON under Section 10176(a), (c) and (i) and/or 10177(j) of the Code.

| /// |///

///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Code, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

TRICIA D. SOMMERS

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this All day of Whith, 2010.