'9 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 *** 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE No. H-10798 SF 13	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-2380	FILED MAY - 6 2010 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By <u>K. Mar</u>	
11 *** 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of	' 9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMEN	NT OF REAL ESTATE	
 In the Matter of the Accusation of DRE No. H-10798 SF BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.) STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT and NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, NESTILEMENT AND ORDER Respondents.) It is hereby stipulated by and between BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC., (Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 3, 2009, in this matter: All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 	10	STATE OF CAL	LIFORNIA	
In the Matter of the Accusation of DRE No. H-10798 SF BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC. STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT and NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, If IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER If Respondents. If It is hereby stipulated by and between BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC., (Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 3, 2009, in this matter: I I All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.	11	***		
14 BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.) STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT and NATELLA R. BELICHEVA,) 15 Respondents.) 16 17 It is hereby stipulated by and between BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC., 18 (Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell 19 Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department 20 of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on 21 November 3, 2009, in this matter: 22 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be 23 presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing 24 was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 25 shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 26 Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.		In the Matter of the Accusation of)	DRE No. H-10798 SF	
14 and NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER 15 Respondents. Respondents. 16 It is hereby stipulated by and between BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC., 17 It is hereby stipulated by and between BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC., 18 (Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell 19 Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department 20 of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on 21 November 3, 2009, in this matter: 22 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be 23 presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing 24 was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 25 shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 26 Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.) BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.)	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT	
Respondents.)16171818(Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell19Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department20of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on21November 3, 2009, in this matter:221. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be23presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing2425shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this26Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.				
17It is hereby stipulated by and between BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.,18(Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell19Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department20of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on21November 3, 2009, in this matter:221. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be23presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing24was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"),25shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this26Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.		Respondents.)	·	
 18 (Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respondent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 3, 2009, in this matter: 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 		It is hereby stipulated by and betweer	BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.,	
 of Real Estate; as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 3, 2009, in this matter: All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this 	18	(Respondent), NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (Respo	ndent) and their attorney, N. Maxwell	
 November 3, 2009, in this matter: 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 	19	Njelita, and the Complainant, acting by and through	Richard K. Uno, Counsel for the Department	
 November 3, 2009, in this matter: 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 	20		· · · · ·	
 presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 	21	November 3, 2009, in this matter:		
 was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 	22	1. All issues which were to be contest	sted and all evidence which was to be	
 shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order. 	23	presented by Complainant and Respondent at a form	hal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing	
26 Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.	24	was to be held in accordance with the provisions of t	the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"),	
	25	shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this		
27 ///	26	Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order.		
	27	///		

2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent,
 the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate
 in this proceeding.

4 3. On November 18, 2009, Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to 5 Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations 6 in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. 7 Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they 8 will thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 9 Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that 10 they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to 11 present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 12 witnesses.

4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the
factual allegations or findings of fact as set forth in the Accusation filed in this proceeding are
true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further
evidence of such allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt
the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order as his Decision in this matter, thereby
imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set
forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt
the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order, it shall be void and of no effect, and
Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the
provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made
pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order shall not constitute an
estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of
///

- 2 -

•		
• • • •		
1	Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for	
2	accusation in this proceeding.	
3	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
4	By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and solely for	
5	the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and	
6	agreed that the acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as described in the Accusation, constitute	
7	grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent	
8	BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC. under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), (e) and (i),	
9	and 10177(d) of the Business and Professions Code (the Code) and constitute grounds for the	
· 10	suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent NATELLA R.	
11	BELICHEVA under the provisions of Sections 10177(d) and (h) of the Code.	
12	ORDER	
13	The real estate broker license and license rights of Respondent NATELLA R.	
14	BELICHEVA (BELICHEVA) under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of sixty	
15	(60) days from the effective date of this Order; provided, however, that if Respondent petitions,	
16	said suspension shall be stayed upon condition that:	
17	1. Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the	
18	Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$75.00 per day for thirty (30) days of the	
19	suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$2,250.00. Upon receipt of payment, thirty (30) days	
20	of the suspension will then be stayed.	
21	2. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made	
22	payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the	
23	Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.	
24	3. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of	
25	Respondent occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.	
26	4. If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms	
27	and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate	
. ,	- 3 -	
I	I	

execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent shall not be
entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department
under the terms of this Decision.

5. <u>If Respondent pays the monetary penalty, the remaining thirty (30) days of said</u>
<u>sixty (60) day suspension will be stayed, and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the</u>
real estate license of Respondent occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the
Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

8 6. BELICHEVA shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this 9 Order, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since 10 the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully 11 completed the continuing education requirements in trust fund handling and real estate ethics as 12 specified in subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code. If 13 Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the 14 license until Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the 15 opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. 16 7. The real estate broker license and license rights of Respondent BAYCAL

17 CAPITAL SERVICES, INC. (BCS), under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of
18 sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Order; provided, however, that if BCS petitions,
19 said suspension shall be stayed upon condition that:

8. BCS pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and
Professions Code at the rate of \$75.00 per day for thirty (30) days of the suspension for a total
monetary penalty of \$2,250.00. Upon receipt of payment, thirty (30) days of the suspension will.
then be stayed.

24 9. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made
25 payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the
26 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

- 4 -

27

111

10. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of BCS
 2 occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

11. <u>If BCS fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and</u>
conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate
execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the BCS shall not be entitled
to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the
terms of this Decision.

8 12. If BCS pays the monetary penalty, the remaining thirty (30) days of said sixty
9 (60) day suspension will be stayed, and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real
10 estate license of BCS occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision, the stay
11 hereby granted shall become permanent.

12 13. <u>Respondents BELICHEVA and BCS shall be jointly and severally liable to</u> 13 pay for the cost of the audits No. OK 080143 and OK 090009, in the amount of \$3,206.10. Said 14 payment shall be in the form of a cashiers check or certified check made payable to the Recovery 15 Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the 16 effective date of the Decision in this matter. If Respondents fail to satisfy this condition, the 17 Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondents' licenses until this sum is paid in full.

18 14. Respondents BELICHEVA and BCS shall be jointly and severally liable to 19 pay for the cost of the follow up audit which shall be less than or equal to \$3,206.10. Said 20 payment shall be in the form of a cashiers check or certified check made payable to the Recovery 21 Account of the Real Estate Fund. Respondent shall pay such cost within sixty (60) days of 22 receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit 23 and the amount of time spent performing those activities. If Respondents fail to satisfy this 24 condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondents' licenses until this sum 25 $\parallel \mid$ 26 111 27 ///

- 5 -

1 sum is paid in full. 2 3 DATED HARD K. UNO, Counsel 4 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 6 7 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement In Settlement and Order and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving 8 9 rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to 10 Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, 11 intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the 12 right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation 13 14 of the charges. 15 19/10 16 NATELL A R. BELICH 17 Respondent BELICHEVA 18 For BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC: 19 N; Belicher 20 by NATELLA R. BELICHEVA 21 Respondent BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC. 22 I have reviewed this Stipulation and Agreement as to form and content and have 23 advised my clients accordingly. 24 25 DATED N. MAXW 26 Attorney for Respondents 27 - 6 -

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on MAY 27 2010 4/20/200 IT IS SO ORDERED JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner - 7 -

•			
1 2 - 3 4	RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel (SBN 98275) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-2380		
5	By <u>t II(AF</u>		
6			
··· 7			
8			
9			
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * *		
12			
13	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-10798 SF		
14	BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES, INC.,) and NATELLA R. BELICHEVA,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>		
15)) Respondents.)		
16)		
17	The Complainant, E. J. HABERER, II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of		
18	the State of California, for Accusation against Respondent BAYCAL CAPITAL SERVICES,		
19	INC. (BCS), and Respondent NATELLA R. BELICHEVA, (BELICHEVA), is informed and		
20	alleges as follows:		
21	· 1		
22	The Complainant makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official		
23	capacity.		
24	2		
25	BELICHEVA is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real		
26	Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (the Code)		
27	as a real estate broker.		
	-1-		

BCS is presently licensed by the Department of Real Estate (the Department) as a corporate real estate broker dba Aurora Realty and Baycal Mortgage.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

18

25

26

27

4

3

At all times herein mentioned, BELICHEVA was licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of BCS. As the designated broker/officer, BELICHEVA was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code, for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of BCS for which a real estate license is required.

5

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein Respondents arranged negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans.

6

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or
 omission of BCS, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,
 employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with BCS committed
 such act or omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operations of BCS and
 while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.
 <u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u>

7 Beginning August 11, 2009, and continuing intermittently through August 27,

2009, an audit was conducted of BCS's main office located at 21551 Foothill Boulevard,

- 2 -

1	Hayward, California and at the Oakland District Office of the Department of Real Estate located
2	at 1515 Clay Street, Suite 702, Oakland, California, where the auditor examined records for the
3	period of January 1, 2008 through July 31, 2009 (the audit period).
4	8
5	While acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraph 5, above, and
6	within the audit period, BCS accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf
7	of lenders, investors, borrowers and others in connection with mortgage loan brokerage
8	activities, deposited or caused to be deposited those funds into a bank account maintained by
9	BCS at WaMu/JPMorgan Chase Bank, 3449 Castro Valley Boulevard, Castro Valley,
10	California 94546, Account #0180-098873-5, entitled "Baycal Capital Services, Inc.
11	dba Aurora Realty, Baycal Mortgage" (Account #1), and thereafter from time to time made
12	disbursements of said trust funds.
13	9
14	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 8, in connection with the
15	collection and disbursement of trust funds, Respondents:
16	(a) Failed to designate Account #1 as a trust account as required by Section
. 17	2832 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (Regulations);
1 <u>8</u>	(b) Failed to identify from whom all trust funds were received on the record
19	of all trust funds deposited into and disbursed from Account #1 as
20	required by Section 2831 of the Regulations;
21	(c) Failed to maintain separate beneficiary records for Account #1 as required
22	by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;
23	(d) Failed to keep adequate records to determine trust fund accountability as
24	of July 31, 2009 as required by Section 10145 of the Code;
25	(e) Failed to perform and/or maintain records of the monthly reconciliation
26	of the balance of all beneficiary records as required by Section 2831.2 of
27	the regulations;

- 3 -

- · · · ·

• •		_	_	1
• • •	· · ·			
			-	
1	(f)	Failed to maintain a Re	cord of All Trust Funds	Received-Not Placed in
2	÷,		as required by Section 2	
3		Regulations;		
4	(g)	Failed to handle an ear	nest money deposit for r	eal property known as
5		9696 Thunderbird in a	timely manner as require	ed by Section 2832(d) of
6		the Regulations;		
. 7	(h)	Deposited trust funds in	nto Account #1 which he	eld non trust funds, thereby
- 8		commingling in violati	on of Section 2835 of th	e Regulations and Section
9		10176(e) of the Code;		
10	(i)	Collected an advance f	ee for a loan modification	on without having obtained
11		prior approval of the ac	lvance fee agreement as	required by Section 10085
. 12		of the Code;		
13	(j)	Failed to deposit the advance fee in a trust account as required by Section		
14	-	10146 of the Code since Account #1 was not designated as a trust		
15		account;		
16	(k)	Failed to furnish a veri	fied copy of the account	ing of the advance fee at
17		the end of each quarter as required by Section 2972 of the Regulations		
18		and Section 10146 of the Code;		
- 19	(1)	Failed to provide Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements or a Good Faith		
20		Estimate to borrowers (below) as required by Section 10240 of the Code;		
21		Name	Amount	Date Closed
22		G. & A. Levchenko	\$556,500.00	03/19/09
23		R. Kimball/J. Park	\$417,000.00	12/10/08
24		A. & H. Parker	\$184,900.00	04/10/09
25		A. Peyko	\$340,000.00	05/26/09
26	///	· ·		
27	///	•		
			- 4 -	
.			•	· - ·
	H			

•	•	``			
	1	(m)	Failed to set forth their l	icense number on a	a MLDS issued to Yuriy and
	2	()			2 Manchester Common,
	3		Fremont, as required by		
	4	(n)	•		plaeva's and Steve Koffeld's
	5		real estate salesperson li		
	6		Regulations and Section	-	~ . <u>.</u>
	7		0	10	
	8	The a	cts and/or omissions of B	CS as alleged abov	e violate Sections 2715, 2753,
	9			-	tions 10085, 10145, 10146,
	10	1	236.4, and 10240 of the C	-	· · · · · · · · ·
ï	11		·	11	
	12	The a	cts and/or omissions of B	CS as alleged abov	e are grounds for discipline
	13	under Sections 1017	6(e) and 10177(d) of the C	Code.	
	14		SECOND CA	USE OF ACTION	
,	15		·	12	
	16	Com	plainant refers to Paragrap	hs 1 though 11, ab	ove, and incorporates them
	17	herein by reference.			
******	18			13	
	19	On a	least three occasions, BC	S while representir	ng buyers, indicated in the
	20	Residential Purchase	e Agreement that the Earn	est Money Deposit	had been given to BCS as set
	21	forth below:			
	22	Buyer	Property	Amount	Represented Date Received
	23	Parkers	1820 Catalpa	\$ 2,500.00	02/15/09
	24	Presleys	5656 Musick Avenue	\$ 1,000.00	06/09/08
	25	Lii Xu Fam. Trust	562 Marin Avenue	\$10,000.00	12/31/08
	26	/// •			
	27	///			
				- 5 -	
				-	· - · · · ·

1	14
2	In fact, for each of the transactions above, Respondent was not in possession of
3	the Earnest Money Deposit, which was made at later dates as set forth: Parkers on 03/18/09,
4	Presleys on 09/18/08 and Lii Xu Family Trust on 01/15/09. In each case, the check was sent to
5	the title company, rather than handed to Respondent.
6	15
7	The representations made by Respondent to the sellers that it was in possession
8	of the Earnest Money Deposits set out above were false, and Respondent knew that they were
9	false when those representations were made. Respondent represented that it was in possession
10	of the Earnest Money Deposits to induce the buyers to accept the offers of buyers.
11	. 16
12	The acts/omissions described in Paragraphs 12 through 15, above, are grounds
13	for discipline under Sections 10176(a) and/or (i) of the Code.
14	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
15	17
16	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 16, above, and incorporates them
17	herein by reference.
18	18
19	At all times herein above mentioned, BELICHEVA was responsible, as the
20	designated broker officer of BCS, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on
21	behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees. BELICHEVA failed to exercise
22	reasonable supervision and control over the property mortgage loan brokering activities of BCS.
23	In particular, BELICHEVA permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct described in the First
24	and Second Causes of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including but
25	not limited to the handling of trust funds, supervision of employees, and the implementation of
26	policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the
27	Real Estate Law and the Regulations.
	- 6 -

· · ||.

The above acts and/or omissions of BELICHEVA violates Section 10159.2 of the Code and Section 2725 of the Regulations and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under Section 10177(h) of the Code. WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. ÉRER. II E. Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Oakland, California, day of October this 30th 2009. - 7 -