

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

IZT MORTGAGE, INC.,

Respondent.

No. H-10727 SF

No. 508-0314-002

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On December 12, 2011, Respondent IZT MORTGAGE, INC., petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender its real estate broker license pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent IZT MORTGAGE, INC.,'s petition for voluntary surrender of its real estate broker license is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement expressed in the Declaration executed by Respondent IZT MORTGAGE, INC., on December 12, 2011, (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto).

Respondent IZT MORTGAGE, INC.,'s license certificate and pocket card shall be sent to the below-listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order:

26 | /// 27 | ///

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Attention: Licensing Flag Section

P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

FEB - 2 2012

DATED: 1/12/12

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

Dagung Digler

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

)

NO. H- 10727 SF
)

IZT MORTGAGE, INC., a California Corporation,)
and IRINA TRAJANOVICH,

Respondents.
)

DECLARATION

My name is IRINA TRAJANOVICH, and I am currently an officer of IZT MORTGAGE, INC., a California Corporation, which is licensed as a real estate broker and/or has license rights with respect to said license. I am authorized and empowered to sign this declaration on behalf of IZT MORTGAGE, INC., which is acting in pro per in this matter.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Government Code), IZT MORTGAGE, INC., wishes to voluntarily surrender its real estate license(s) issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

- 19



IZT MORTGAGE, INC., understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it may be relicensed as a broker only by petitioning for reinstatement pursuant to Section 11522 of the Government Code. IZT MORTGAGE, INC., also understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it agrees to the following:

- 1. IZT MORTGAGE, INC., admits that all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter are true and correct.
- 2. The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as the petition of IZT MORTGAGE, INC., for voluntary surrender.
- 3. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by IZT MORTGAGE, INC., that it waives all rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that it also waives other rights afforded to it in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. IZT MORTGAGE, INC., further agrees that upon acceptance by the Commissioner of this voluntary surrender, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-10727 SF, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether to grant re-licensure or reinstatement pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.
- 5. IZT MORTGAGE, INC., further agrees that upon acceptance by the Commissioner of this voluntary surrender, as evidenced by an appropriate order, any petition to seek reinstatement of the license or for re-licensure pursuant to Government Code Section 11522, will require proof of restitution to victims, including, but not limited to, Sabadell United Bank

1	and J. P. Morgan Chase Bank, who filed complaints with the Department, before a license may					
2	be reinstated whether such victims were named in the Accusation or not.					
3	6. IZT MORTGAGE, INC., freely and voluntarily surrenders all of its					
4	licenses and license rights under the Real Estate Law.					
5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that					
6	the above is true and correct and that this declaration was executed the 12 day of					
7	December, 2011, at Los Aupeles, California.					
8						
9	IZT MORTGAGE, INC.,					
10						
11	By: IRINA TRAJANOVICH					
12						
13	(Title)					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24	Telephonol trade to the International and the state of th					
25						
26						

H-10727 SF

IZT MORTGAGE, INC.



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

January 11, 2012

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

-

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

) NO. H- 10727 SF

IZT MORTGAGE, INC., a California Corporation,)
and IRINA TRAJANOVICH,

Respondents.
)

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent IRINA TRAJANOVICH, acting by and through her attorney, Joshua Rosenthal, and the Complainant, acting by and through Michael B. Rich, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Amended Accusation filed on February 22, 2010, in this matter ("the Accusation"):

- 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.
- Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to
 Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department
 No. H-10727 SF

 IRINA TRAJANOVICH

of Real Estate in this proceeding.

. 7

- 3. On July 31, 2009, Respondent TRAJANOVICH filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in the Accusation pertaining to Respondent are true and correct and stipulates and agrees that the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

27 [/ /

No. H-10727 SF

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

I

The acts and omissions of Respondent IRINA TRAJANOVICH described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), 10176(j), 10177(d), 10177(g), and 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

I.

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent IRINA TRAJANOVICH under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to

No. H-10727 SF

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

-21

22

23

24

25

26

27

hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until three (3) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
 - (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and,
 - (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
 - Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

2
. 3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
. 19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27.

Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this			
Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination			
administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate			
examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the			
Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until			
Respondent passes the examination.			

- The restricted license issued to Respondent is suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the date of issuance of said restricted license; provided, however, that if Respondent petitions, thirty (30) days of said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that:
 - Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00.
 - Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
 - (c.) No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
 - If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or

No. H-10727 SF

(d.)

1			otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of
2		٠.	this Decision.
3		(e.)	If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause
4		•	for disciplinary action against the real estate license of
5			Respondent occurs within three (3) years from the effective date
6	,	•	of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
7	8.	Thirty	(30) days of said sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed upon
8		condi	tion that:
9	·	_(a)	No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon
10			stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent
11			occurred within three (3) years of the effective date of the
12			Decision herein.
13		(þ)	Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in
14			his or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order
15			the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which
16		•	event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor
17	·		credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department
18			under the terms of this Decision.
19	<i>:</i>	(c)	If no order vacating the stay is issued, and if no further cause for
20			disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent
21	,		occurs within three (3) years from the effective date of the
22		,	Decision, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
23	·		
24	us la a les		
25	DATED		MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel
26	•		Department of Real Estate
27	111		
	No. H-10727 SF		IRINA TRAJANOVICH

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its terms are understood by me 2 and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by 3 the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 4 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and 5 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the 6 allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 7 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. 9 10 11 Respondent 12 13 Approved as to form and content by counsel for Respondent. 14 15 12/19/11 16 JOSH UA ROSGATH 17 Attorney for Respondent 18 19 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by me as my 20 Decision in this matter as to Respondent IRINA TRAJANOVICH and shall become effective at 21 12 o'clock noon on 22 IT IS SO ORDERED 23 **BARBARA BIGBY** 24 Acting Real Estate Commissioner 25 26 27 No. H-10727 SF

MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel State Bar No. 84257 2 JUL 1 5 2009 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 , 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-10727 SF 12 IZT MORTGAGE, INC., a California Corporation,) **ACCUSATION** 13 and IRINA TRAJANOVICH, 14 Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, E. J. HABERER, II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of 17 the State of California, for Accusation against Respondent IZT MORTGAGE, INC., a 18 California Corporation, doing business under the fictitious name of AMERITECH 19 MORTGAGE, and Respondent IRINA TRAJANOVICH, is informed and alleges as follows: 20 21 The Complainant, E. J. HABERER, II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of 22 the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity. 23 II 24 Respondents IZT MORTGAGE, INC., and IRINA TRAJANOVICH are 25 presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of 26 the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "the Code"). 27 111

Ш

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent IZT MORTGAGE, INC., (hereafter "Respondent IZT") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent IRINA TRAJANOVICH (hereinafter "Respondent TRAJANOVICH") was and is licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent TRAJANOVICH was licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of Respondent IZT until January 16, 2009. As said designated Broker/Officer, Respondent TRAJANOVICH was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of Respondent AMERICHOICE for which a real estate license is required.

VI

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent IZT, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent IZT committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent IZT and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

VII

Within the three year prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation of and conduct of a mortgage loan

l

brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans to be secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation, and wherein such loans were serviced and payments thereon were collected on behalf of others.

VIII

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation:

- (a) On or about March 16, 2007, Respondents submitted to Washington Mutual Bank (now Chase Bank) loan applications on behalf of Jose Luis Navarro, for loans, respectively, of \$744,000.00 and \$92,907.00, to be secured by real property at 2248 Euclid Avenue, East Palo Alto, California, to refinance existing mortgages on said real property, containing representations, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that said borrower intended to occupy said real property as his primary residence, and by concealing from said lender the fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Jose Luis Navarro was simultaneously applying for and obtaining loans from Lydian Mortgage, respectively, of \$850,000.00 and \$106,250.00 to finance the purchase of and to be secured by real property at 37249 Aleppo Drive, Newark, California, and that said borrower was claiming to occupy 37249 Aleppo Drive as his primary residence; and,
- (b) On or about March 16, 2007, Respondents submitted to Lydian Mortgage loan applications on behalf of Jose Luis Navarro for loans, respectively, of \$850,000.00 and \$106,250.00, to be secured by real property at 37249 Aleppo Drive, Newark, California, for the purpose of purchasing said property, containing representations, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that said borrower had an income of \$27,000.00 per month when said borrower was simultaneously claiming on a loan application to Washington Mutual Bank that his income was \$21,500.00 per month.

IX

2

3

4

1

The acts and omissions of Respondent IZT and Respondent TRAJANOVICH described in Paragraph VIII, above, constitute misrepresentation, fraud, deceit, and dishonest dealing.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

///

///

X

The facts alleged in Paragraphs VIII and IX, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent IZT and Respondent TRAJANOVICH under Sections 10176(a), 10176(i), 10177(d), 10177(g), and/or 10177(j) of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

ΧI

There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through XI, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XII

At all times above mentioned, Respondent TRAJANOVICH was responsible, as the designated broker officer of Respondent IZT, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees. Respondent TRAJANOVICH failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the mortgage brokering activities of Respondent IZT. In particular, Respondent TRAJANOVICH permitted, ratified, and/or caused the conduct described in the First Cause of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including but not limited to the review of loan applications, preventing misrepresentations and false statements on loan applications, the supervision of employees, and the implementation of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate Law.

XIII

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent TRAJANOVICH constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code and/or Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

E. J. HABERER, II,

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California,
this 13th day of ______, 2009