MAG



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
By

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of

NO. H-9397 SF

HATIM MAZEN ELMASHNI,

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION

On April 21, 2009, an Order Denying Removal of Restrictions on License was rendered in the above-entitled matter. The Order was to become effective at 12 o'clock noon on June 5, 2009.

On June 1, 2009, Respondent requested a thirty-day stay to petition for reconsideration of the Order Denying Removal of Restrictions on License of April 21, 2009. An Order Staying Effective Date was issued June 1, 2009, staying the effective date of the Order Denying Removal of Restrictions on License for a period of 30 days, until 12 o'clock noon on July 6, 2009.

I have given due consideration to the petition of Respondent. I find no good cause to reconsider the Order Denying Removal of Restrictions on License of April 21, 2009, and reconsideration is hereby denied.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED 6-25-09

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

BY: Barbara J. Bigby

Chief Deputy Commissioner

FILED

MAY 1 5 2009

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean arinch

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of HATIM MAZEN ELMASHNI,

No. H-9397 SF

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING REMOVAL OF RESTRICTIONS ON LICENSE

On November 28, 2005, a Decision was rendered herein denying Respondent's application for a real estate broker license but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on December 20, 2005 and Respondent has been licensed as such since that time.

On January 21, 2008, Respondent petitioned for removal of the restrictions on said real estate broker license.

The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (*Feinstein v. State Bar* (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (*Tardiff v. State Bar* (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has

undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the removal of the restrictions on Respondent's real estate broker license at this time.

The Department has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for issuance or reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:

Regulation 2911(k) Correction of business practices resulting in injury to others or with the potential to cause such injury.

On February 18, 2009, the Deputy Commissioner assigned to review

Respondent's petition performed a compliance audit of Respondent's brokerage practices to
determine whether Respondent conducts his real estate resale brokerage activities in compliance
with the Real Estate Law and Commissioner's Regulations within Chapter 6 of Title 10,
California Code of Regulations. The examination disclosed that Respondent was in violation of
Regulation 2753 in that Respondent did not have in his possession the real estate salesperson
license of Troy Bilodeau, a real estate salesperson registered with the Department in
Respondent's employ. In violation of Regulation 2726, Respondent failed to have in effect a
written salesperson-broker relationship agreement with Troy Bilodeau. In violation of Regulation
2831, Respondent failed to maintain a log of trust fund checks received but not deposited into a
trust fund bank account. In violation of Section 10176(a) of the Business & Professions Code,
Respondent represented in sales agreements that Respondent had possession of earnest money
deposit checks, when in fact he did not.

In view of Respondent's failure to demonstrate compliance with Regulation 2911(k) of the Criteria of Rehabilitation, I am not satisfied that Respondent's rehabilitation adequately supports issuance of an unrestricted license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for removal of restrictions on Respondent's real estate broker license is denied.

26 ///

27 1///

Thic	Order	chall	harama	effective	at 12	ololook.	naan
11112	Oruci	Silaii	OCCOUNT	CITCCITAC	ai 12	O CIOCK	пооп

JUN 0 5 2009

4-21 DATED:

, 2009.

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

3 Telephone

Telephone: (916) 227-0781



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

J. Jan

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)

HATIM MAZEN ELMASHNI,

Respondent.

No. H-9397 SF

STIPULATION AND WAIVER

I, HATIM MAZEN ELMASHNI, respondent herein, do hereby affirm that I have applied to the Department of Real Estate for a real estate broker license and that to the best of my knowledge I have satisfied all of the statutory requirements for the issuance of the license, including the payment of the fee therefor.

I acknowledge that I have received and read the Statement of Issues and the Statement to Respondent filed by the Department of Real Estate on October 6, 2005, in connection with my application for a real estate broker license. I understand that the Real Estate Commissioner may hold a hearing on this Statement of Issues for the purpose of requiring further proof of Respondent's honesty and truthfulness and to prove other

allegations therein, or that he may in his discretion waive the hearing and grant me a restricted real estate broker license based upon this Stipulation and Waiver. I also understand that by filing the Statement of Issues in this matter the Real Estate Commissioner is shifting the burden to me to make a satisfactory showing that Respondent meets all the requirements for issuance of a real estate broker license. I further understand that by entering into this stipulation and waiver I will be stipulating that the Real Estate Commissioner has found that I have failed to make such a showing, thereby justifying the denial of the issuance to me of an unrestricted real estate broker license.

I hereby admit that the allegations of the Statement of Issues filed against me are true and correct and requests that the Real Estate Commissioner in his discretion issue a restricted real estate broker license to me under the authority of Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

I am aware that by signing this Stipulation and Waiver, I am waiving my right to a hearing and the opportunity to present evidence at the hearing to establish my rehabilitation in order to obtain an unrestricted real estate broker license if this Stipulation and Waiver is accepted by the Real Estate Commissioner. However, I am not waiving my right to a hearing and to further proceedings to obtain a restricted or unrestricted license if this Stipulation and Waiver is not accepted by the Commissioner.

1 1

I further understand that the following conditions, 1 limitations, and restrictions will attach to a restricted license 2 issued by the Department of Real Estate pursuant hereto: 3 1. The license shall not confer any property right in the 4 5 privileges to be exercised including the right of renewal, б and the Real Estate Commissioner may by appropriate order suspend the right to exercise any privileges under this 7 8 restricted license in the event of: 9 The conviction of Respondent (including a plea of nolo contendere) to a crime which bears a substantial 10 11 relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a 12 real estate licensee; or The receipt of evidence that Respondent has violated 13 14 provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the 15 Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate 16 Commissioner or conditions attaching to this restricted 17 license. 18 2. I shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an 19 unrestricted real estate license nor the removal of any of 20 the conditions, limitations or restrictions attaching to 21 the restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed 22 from the date of issuance of the restricted license to 23 Respondent. 24 3. I shall regularly attend an alcohol abuse treatment or recovery program, such as Alcoholics Anonymous or other 25 26 formal program, at least twice a month during the term(s) 27 of the restricted license. - 3 -

3

2

4

5 6

7

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

ELMASHNI Respondent

I have read the Statement of Issues filed herein and the foregoing Stipulation and Waiver signed by the Respondent. am satisfied that the hearing for the purpose of requiring further proof as to the honesty and truthfulness of Respondent need not be called and that it will not be inimical to the public interest to issue a restricted real estate broker license to Respondent.

DRE LEGAL/RECOVERY

Therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a restricted real estate broker license be issued to Respondent, if Respondent has otherwise fulfilled all of the statutory requirement for The restricted license shall be limited, conditioned, licensure. and restricted as specified in the foregoing Stipulation and Waiver.

This Order is effective immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED

JEEF DAVI

Real Estate Commissioner

TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

Telephone: (916) 227-0781

F | L E | D | OCT 0 6 2005

DEPARIMENT OF KEAL ESTATE

By Jean Aunt

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Application of

No. H- 9397 SF

HATIM MAZEN ELMASHNI,

MAZEN EDMASHNI,

Respondent.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

14

15

16

17

18

The Complainant, E. J. HABERER II, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for Statement of Issues against HATIM MAZEN ELMASHNI (hereinafter "Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:

19

20

21

22

Respondent made application to the Department of Real Estate of the State of California for a real estate broker license on or about March 7, 2005.

II

23

24

Complainant, E. J. HABERER II, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Statement of

25

Issues in his official capacity and not otherwise.

27 | \\\

III

On or about November 1, 2002, in the Superior Court,

County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of

Section 23103 of the California Vehicle Code (Wet Reckless

Driving), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a

substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California

Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions, or duties

of a real estate licensee.

IV

On or about May 7, 2003, in the Superior Court, County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 23152(B) of the California Vehicle Code (Driving With a 0.08 or Higher Blood Alcohol Level), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.

IV

The crimes of which Respondent was convicted, as above, constitute cause for denial of Respondent's application for a real estate license under Sections 480(a) and 10177(b) of the California Business and Professions Code.

22 || \ \ \

23 || \ \ \

 $\| \chi \chi \chi \|$

25 \\\\

26 | \\\

WHEREFORE, the Complainant prays that the aboveentitled matter be set for hearing and, upon proof of the charges contained herein, that the Commissioner refuse to authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of, a real estate broker license to Respondent, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Qakland, California, this 29th day of September 2005 eft