BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

No. H-8854 SF

MARCO ANTONIO REYES,)

Respondent.)

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On August 6, 2004, an Accusation was filed in this matter against Respondents STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN, ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA, and MARCO ANTONIO REYES.

On May 5, 2005, Respondent MARCO ANTONIO REYES only petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender his real estate salesperson license pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

TT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent MARCO ANTONIO

REYES's petition for voluntary surrender of his real estate

salesperson license is accepted as of the effective date of this

Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and

agreement expressed in the Declaration executed by Respondent

///

MARCO ANTONIO REYES on May 5, 2005 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). Respondent MARCO ANTONIO REYES's license certificate and pocket card shall be sent to the below-listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order: DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Attention: Licensing Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on JUNE 30, 2005 DATED: JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-8854 SF
MARCO ANTONIO REYES,

Respondent.

DECLARATION

My name is MARCO ANTONIO REYES and that I am currently licensed as a real estate salesperson and have license rights with respect to said license. I am represented by in this matter by Adam G. Slote, Esq.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Business and Professions Code), I wish to voluntarily surrender my real estate license issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

///

27 1//



I understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license, that it can only be reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code. I also understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license, I agree to the following:

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as my petition for voluntary surrender. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by me that, I waive all rights I have to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that I also waive other rights afforded to me in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross examine witnesses. I further agree that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-8854 SF, other than the allegations of Paragraphs VIII(b) and XVIII(b) of the Accusation, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of my license pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.

27 | ///

1

5

б

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct, and that I freely and voluntarily surrender my license and all license rights attached thereto.

DATED:

MARCO ANTONIO REYES Respondent

F_MAY - 4 2005

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

J. Jin

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)
No. H-8854 SF

ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA,)
Respondent.)

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On August 9, 2004, an Accusation was filed in this matter against Respondents STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN, ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA, and MARCO ANTONIO REYES.

On March 28, 2005, Respondent ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA only petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender her real estate salesperson license pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent ANABELLE BERRIOS
RIVERA's petition for voluntary surrender of her real estate
salesperson license is accepted as of the effective date of this
Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and
agreement expressed in the Declaration executed by Respondent
///

ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA on March 28, 2005 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). Respondent ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA's license 3 certificate and pocket card shall be sent to the below-listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order: DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 7 Attention: Licensing Flag Section 8 P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 9 10 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock MAY 2 4 2005 11 noon on 12 DATED: 13 JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 26

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-8854 SF

ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA, Respondent.

DECLARATION

My name is ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA and that I am currently licensed as a real estate salesperson and have license rights with respect to said license. I am represented by in this matter by Frank Petteway, Esq.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Business and Professions Code), I wish to voluntarily surrender my real estate license issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

/// ///

EXHIBIT:

I understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license, that it can only be reinstated in accordance with the provisions of Section 11522 of the Government Code. I also understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license, I agree to the following:

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as my petition for voluntary surrender. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by me that, I waive all rights I have to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that I also waive other rights afforded to me in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross examine witnesses. I further agree that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-8854 SF, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of my license pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.

25 | ///

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 | ///

27 | ///

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct, and that I freely and voluntarily surrender my license and all license rights attached thereto.

DATED:

Ş

3/28/05

ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA
Respondent

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Sea

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE No. H-8854 SF OAH No. N-2004090439 STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN,) STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT Respondent.

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN (hereinafter "DUNCAN" or "Respondent"), represented by J. Anne Rawlins, Esq., and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on August 6, 2004 in this matter ("the Accusation"):

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place

DRE No. H-8854 SF

STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN

thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- On August 19, 2004, Respondent filed a Notice of 3. Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws Accusation. said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- This stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interest of expediency and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these factual allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being DRE No. H-8854 SF STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the "Determination of Issues" and "Order' set forth below. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.

- It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made: STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN DRE No. H-8854 SF

27

1

2

3

ς

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ι

The acts and omissions of Respondent STEPHEN GARY

DUNCAN described in the Accusation are grounds for the

suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of

Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the

California Business and Professions Code.

ORDER

Ι

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

A. Any restricted license issued to Respondent

pursuant to this Decision may be suspended prior to hearing by

Order of the Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction

DRE No. H-8854 SF STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN

or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

B. Any restricted license issued to Respondent pursuant to this Decision may be suspended prior to hearing hearing to the suspended prior to hearing hearing to the suspended prior to hearing hearing to the suspended prior to hearing the su

- pursuant to this Decision may be suspended prior to hearing by

 Order of the Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the

 Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the

 California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations

 of the Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted

 license.
- c. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- D. Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a DRE No. H-8854 SF

FROM : RAUL INS 04/10/2008 08:53 FAX 8182278458

1

FRX NO. :916 441 7256
DRE LEGAL/RECOVERY

Apr. 19 2305 10:30AM P6

hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Art to present such evidence.

E. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and rase the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department, including the Dayment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license

until Respondent passes the examination.

20 emil 18

Department of Real Butate

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

11

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and discussed it with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Assusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

24

25

7 ~/

LARGHEN CARY DUNCAN

Lespondent

DRM No. H-8894 SF

STEPSEN GARY DUNCAN

26 27

- 4

111 2 3 I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to form and content and have advised my client accordingly. 6 Attorney for Respondent 8 The foregoing St:pulation and Agreement is hereby 9 adopted by as my Decision in this matter as to Respondent 10 STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN and shall become effective at 12 o'clock 11 MAY 2 4 2005 noon on 12 IT IS SO ORDERED 13 14 JEFF DAVI Real Repate Commissioner 15 16 17 18 19 70 21 22 23 24 25 26

DRE No. H-8854 SF

27

STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN, ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA, AND MARCO ANTONIO REYES,

Case No. H-8854 SF

OAH No. N-2004090439

Respondents

FIRST AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CA 94612 on APRIL 19 THROUGH 21, 2005, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: DECEMBER 10, 2004

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTAITE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN, ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA, AND MARCO ANTONIO REYES,

Case No. H-8854 SF

OAH No. N-2004090439

Respondents

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CA 94612 on DECEMBER 20 & 21, 2004, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: OCTOBER 22, 2004

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel (SBN 60543) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 AUG - 6 2004 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 (916) 227-0788 (Direct) -or-5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-8854 SF 12 STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN, ACCUSATION ANABELLE BERRIOS RIVERA, and 13 MARCO ANTONIO REYES, 14 Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate 17 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 18 against STEPHEN GARY DUNCAN (herein "DUNCAN"), ANABELLE BERRIOS 19 RIVERA (herein "RIVERA"), and MARCO ANTONIO REYES (herein 20 "REYES") is informed and alleges as follows: 21 22 The Complainant, Janice Waddell, a Deputy Real Estate 23 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation 24

in her official capacity.

25

26

27

///

111

///

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents DUNCAN, RIVERA and REYES (herein "Respondents") were and now are licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code").

TT

III

At all times mentioned herein Respondent DUNCAN was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (herein "the Department") as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times mentioned herein Respondents RIVERA and REYES were and now are licensed by the Department as real estate salespersons, and at all times mentioned herein were so licensed in the employ of Respondent DUNCAN.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent DUNCAN, individually and by and through Respondents RIVERA and REYES as the agents and employees of Respondent DUNCAN, engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, and/or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of:

(a) Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate resale brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents sold and offered to

· 3

sell, bought and offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers and purchasers of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase and sale of real property; and

(b) Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein Respondents arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans.

VI

Between on or about July 29, 2002 and on or about

September 28, 2002, in course of the brokerage activities

described in Paragraph V, above, Respondents RIVERA and REYES
induced Inoscensio Miramontes and Teresa Miramontes (herein

"Buyers") to execute sales agreement forms and other documents
wherein Buyers became or ostensibly became obligated to purchase
residential real property at 669 North 11th Street, San Jose,

California (herein "the North 11th property"), to be occupied by
Buyers as their principal residence, for a purchase price of
\$294,000.00, and to obtain first and second mortgage loans in
the amount of \$235,200.00 and \$58,800.00, respectively, from

Chapel Funding Corporation (herein "Chapel") to finance such
purchase.

25 || / / /

26 | ///

27 | ///

- 3 -

VII

Between on or about July 29, 2002 and on or about September 28, 2002, in course of the brokerage activities described in Paragraph V, above, Respondent REYES induced Chapel to make the mortgage loans to Buyers described in Paragraph VI, above, by representing to Chapel that:

- (a) The monthly income of Inoscencio and Teresa
 Miramontes consisted of the sum of \$8,550.00, including
 \$6,550.00 earned monthly by Inoscencio Miramontes as proprietor
 of "Inoscencio's Painting", a house painting concern, and
 \$2,000.00 earned monthly by Inoscencio Miramontes as proprietor
 of "Mariachi Garibaldi 2000", a mariachi band; and
- (b) Don Sutherland, Jr. doing business as Sutherland Tax Service, a Registered Tax Preparer (herein "Sutherland") issued an authentic letter dated September 6, 2002 confirming that Sutherland had prepared tax returns for Inoscencio Miramontes for eight years and that Inoscencio Miramontes was self employed as the operator of "Inoscencio's Painting" and "Mariachi Garibaldi 2000".

VIII

Each and every representation described in Paragraph VII, above, was false when made, as Respondent REYES well and truly knew at the time he made each such representation. In truth and fact:

(a) The monthly income of Inoscencio and Teresa

Miramontes was substantially less than the sum of \$8,550.00,

Inoscencio Miramontes was primarily employed delivering cars for

a car rental company, and Inoscencio Miramontes did not operate and was not employed by "Inoscencio's Painting" or any other painting company; and

A

(b) The letter ostensibly from Sutherland described in Paragraph VII(b), above, was fabricated or caused to be fabricated by Respondent REYES without the knowledge or consent of Sutherland.

ΤX

The acts and omissions of Respondent REYES described in Paragraphs VII and VIII, inclusive, above, constitute the substantial misrepresentation of material facts and fraud and dishonest dealing.

Х

To induce Buyers to act as described in Paragraph VII, above, Respondent RIVERA falsely represented to Buyers that the North 11th property was a residence Respondent RIVERA had shown Buyers in early July, 2002, which Buyers wished to occupy as their principal residence, whereas in fact the property RIVERA had shown the Buyers was located on South 11th Street in San Jose. All documents executed by Buyers evidencing their contract to purchase the North 11th Property were made in reasonable reliance on said representation.

XΙ

Respondent RIVERA made the representation described in Paragraph X, above, knowing said representation was false or without a reasonable basis for believing said representation to be true.

- 5 **-**

XII

The acts and omissions of Respondent RIVERA described in Paragraphs VI through XI, above, constitute the substantial misrepresentation of a material fact and demonstrated negligence and incompetence in performing acts for which Respondent RIVERA is required to hold a real estate license.

XIII

On or about September 28, 2002, prior to the close of the escrow consummating their purchase of the 11th Street Property:

- (a) Buyers discovered that the property RIVERA had shown the Buyers was located on South 11th Street in San Jose, that Buyers' contract was not for the purchase of the house Respondent RIVERA had shown them, and that Buyers did not wish to occupy the North 11th Property as their principal residence; and
- (b) Respondents REYES and RIVERA learned of the discoveries by Buyers described in Paragraph XIII(a), above.

XIV

Between on or about September 28, 2002 and on or about October 31, 2002, in course of the brokerage activities described in Paragraph V, above, Respondents RIVERA and REYES induced Buyers:

(a) To suffer and permit escrow to close consummating their purchase of the North 11th Street Property as well as the two mortgage loans from Chapel to finance such purchase; ///

(b) To offer, contract for and purchase residential real property at 3066 Shadow Springs Place, San Jose, California (herein "the Shadow Springs property"), to be occupied by Buyers as their principal residence, for a purchase price of \$262,000.00, and to apply for and obtain first and second mortgage loans in the amount of \$209,000.00 and \$52,400.00, respectively, from First NLC Financial Services (herein "First NLC") to finance such purchase; and thereafter,

- (c) Respondent RIVERA negotiated and arranged such contract and purchase; and
- (d) Respondent REYES solicited First NLC, negotiated and arranged such mortgage loans.

X۷

In order to induce Buyers to perform the acts and complete the purchases and loans described in Paragraph XIV, above, Respondents RIVERA and REYES promised Buyers and Represented to Buyers that Respondents would not charge Buyers anything to arrange their purchase of the Shadow Place property and the resale of the North 11th property.

XVI

Each and every representation and/or promise described in Paragraph XV, above, was false when made, was of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce, involved the substantial misrepresentation of a material fact, and involved fraud and/or dishonest dealing. In truth and fact, as Respondents RIVERA and REYES well and truly knew when said representations and promises were made, Respondents RIVERA and

- 7 -

REYES intended to receive and thereafter did receive commissions and fees in substantial amounts at close of escrow at the expense of Inoscencio and Teresa Miramontes for arranging the purchase and sale of the Shadow Place property and the loans by First NLC to finance such purchase. XVTT Between on or about September 28, 2002 and on or about October 31, 2002, in order to induce First NLC to make the

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

mortgage loans described in Paragraph XIV, above, Respondent REYES represented to First NLC that:

- The monthly income of Inoscencio Miramontes consisted of the sum of \$9,000.00, including \$6,000.00 earned monthly by Inoscencio Miramontes as proprietor of "Mariachi Garibaldi 2000", a mariachi band, and \$3,000.00 earned monthly by Inoscencio Miramontes working for a car rental company;
- Sutherland issued an authentic letter dated (b) October 10, 2002 confirming that Sutherland had prepared tax returns for Inoscencio Miramontes for three years and that Inoscencio Miramontes was self employed as the operator of "Mariachi Garibaldi 2000".

XVIII

Each and every representation described in Paragraph XVII, above, was false when made, as Respondent REYES well and truly knew at the time he made each such representation. In truth and fact:

The monthly income of Inoscencio Miramontes was substantially less than the sum of \$9,000.00, Inoscencio

- 8 -

Miramontes was primarily employed delivering cars for a car rental company, and Inoscencio Miramontes earned substantially less than \$2,000.00 per month as proprietor of "Mariachi Garibaldi 2000"; and

1.4

(b) The letter ostensibly from Sutherland described in Paragraph XV(b), above, was fabricated or caused to be fabricated by Respondent REYES without the knowledge or consent of Sutherland.

XIX

Between on or about September 28, 2002 and on or about October 31, 2002, in order to induce First NLC to make the mortgage loans described in Paragraph XIV, above, Respondent REYES concealed and failed to disclose to First NLC that the liabilities of Inoscencio Miramontes included the mortgage loans, described above, made by Chapel to Buyers to finance the purchase of the North 11th Street property.

XX

The acts and omissions of Respondent REYES described in Paragraphs XIV, and XVII through XIX, inclusive, above, constitute the substantial misrepresentation of material facts and fraud and dishonest dealing.

XXI

On or about October 3, 2002, in reliance on the representations by RIVERA and REYES described above, Chapel completed the mortgage loans to Buyers described above, and Buyers caused, suffered and permitted escrow to close consummating their purchase of the North 11th Street property.

- 9 -

XXII

On or about October 31, 2002, in reliance on the representations by RIVERA and REYES described above, First NLC completed the mortgage loans to Inoscencio Miramontes described above, and Inoscencio Miramontes caused, suffered and permitted escrow to close consummating his purchase of the Shadow Springs Property.

XXIII

At all times mentioned herein, in course of the mortgage loan transactions described above, Respondent DUNCAN failed to comply with, and Respondent REYES willfully disregarded, the provisions of Section 10240 of the Code, in that said Respondents:

- (a) Failed to sign as broker and failed to obtain the signature of Buyers on the statement required by Section 10240 (herein "Written Disclosure Statement");
- (b) Failed to deliver a copy of the required Written
 Disclosure Statement to Buyers, signed by such Respondents;
 and/or
- (c) Failed to retain on file for a period of three years a true and correct copy of the required Written Disclosure Statement signed by such Respondents and Buyers.

VIXX

Respondent DUNCAN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of Respondents RIVERA and REYES in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above to occur.

VXX

///

///

///

///

///

///

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code:

- (a) As to Respondent REYES and Paragraphs VI through IX, inclusive, of the Accusation under Sections 10176(a) and/or 10176(i) of the Code;
- (b) As to Respondent RIVERA and Paragraphs VI and X through XII, inclusive, of the Accusation under Sections 10176(a) and/or 10177(q) of the Code;
- (c) As to Respondents RIVERA and REYES and Paragraphs XIII through XVI, inclusive, of the Accusation under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), and/or 10176(i) of the Code;
- (d) As to Respondent REYES and Paragraphs XVII through XX, inclusive, of the Accusation under Sections 10176(a) and/or 10176(i) of the Code;
- (e) As to Respondents DUNCAN and REYES and Paragraph XXIII of the Accusation under Section 10240 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (f) As to Respondent DUNCAN and Paragraph XXIV of the Accusation under Sections 10177(g) and/or 10177(h) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this the day of August, 2004.

- 12 -