DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 1 P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 7 8 9 18

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY FOLEY,

95818-7000

Respondents.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

NO. H-8352 SF

It is hereby stipulated by and between E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC. and PAMELA MARY FOLEY (hereafter Respondents), represented by David J. Hofmann, Steinbock & Hofmann, Attorneys at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on March 14, 2003, in this matter:

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

- 1 -

FILE NO. H-8352 SF

E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY FOLEY

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- On March 24, 2003, Respondents filed their Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense they will each thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in Paragraphs I through V of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in the remaining paragraphs of the Accusation, Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

as to Respondents contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these remaining allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as the basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- Respondents have received, read and understand the "Notice Concerning Costs of Audits.". Respondents understand, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the costs of the following audits that have been and may be conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code:
 - Audit #OK 01-0246, report dated June 1, 2002, for not more than \$3,949.00;
 - (b) Future follow-up audit, for not more than \$4,400.00.
- 7. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as the decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents, and each of them, as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the rights to a hearing and - 3 -

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

8. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and for the purpose of settlement of the pending

Accusation as to Respondents without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC., as stipulated above, violate Sections 10145(b), 10229(c), 10229(d), 10229(e), 10231.2, 10232.4, and 10234 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code), and Section 2831.1 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real estate license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

II

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent PAMELA MARY

FOLEY, as stipulated above, constitute grounds for disciplinary

FILE NO. H-8352 SF

- 4 - E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and

PAMELA MARY FOLEY

action against the real estate license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

ORDER

- A. All real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC. and PAMELA MARY FOLEY shall be suspended for a period of thirty (30) days from the effective date of the Decision.
- B. Said suspensions are stayed for a period of two

 (2) years as to each Respondent upon the following terms and conditions:
 - (1) Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California.
 - determination is made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against the licenses of Respondents, or either of them, has occurred within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension as to that Respondent. Should no order vacating the stay be made pursuant to this condition, the stay imposed herein as to each Respondent shall become permanent.

23

24

25

26

27

1

(3)

Respondent PAMELA MARY FOLEY shall, prior to the effective date of this decision, submit proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and completed the continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code from an approved continuing education course provider. Said course may have been completed within one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the effective date of the order herein. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of Respondent's license until the Respondent presents such evidence. Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

And Professions Code, Respondents E.F. FOLEY

& CO., INC. and PAMELA MARY FOLEY shall

pay the Commissioner's reasonable costs

for audits as a result of the trust

fund violations found herein, as

follows:

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(a) Audit #OK 01-0246, report dated

June 1, 2002, for not more than

\$3,949.00;

(b) Future follow-up audit, for not more than \$4,400.00.

Both Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of the entire amounts of said costs. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable costs, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all Department personnel performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel costs, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's place of work and per diem. Respondents shall pay such costs within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. Commissioner may, in her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between Respondents and the Commissioner. vacation and the set aside of the stay shall remain in effect until payment is made in

full, or until Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Should no order vacating the stay be issued, either in accordance with this condition or condition B(2) herein, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.

Counsel for the Complainant

б

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine

FILE NO. H-8352 SF

E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY FOLEY

1	witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and
2	mitigation of the charges.
3	E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC. Respondent
4	
5	July 2 2003 By: Tumba may for
6	PAMELA MARY FOLEY
7	
9	Quely 2, 2003 Pamelaman The
10	PAMELA MARY FOLEY, Respondent
11.	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
12	λ
13	Little 7 2003 Small
14	DAVID J. HOFMANN
15	Counsel for the Respondents
16	
17	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
18	adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock
19	noon on <u>December 11</u> , 2003.
20	IT IS SO ORDERED July 30 , 2003.
22	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN
	Real Estate Commissioner
23	
24	_/aula belans
25	
26	
27	

FILE NO. H-8352 SF .

E.F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY FOLEY

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

E. F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY FOLEY,

Case No. H-8352 SF

OAH No. N-2003040769

Respondents

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING, THE ELIHU HARRIS STATE BUILDING, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

on JUNE 26, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: APRIL 24, 2003

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

1 DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel State Bar No. 66322 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 MAR 1 4 2003 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE 9 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of 13 E. F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and NO. H-8352 SF PAMELA MARY FOLEY, 14 ACCUSATION Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real 17 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of 18 Accusation against E. F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY 19 FOLEY, is informed and alleges as follows: 20 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 22 I 23 Respondents E. F. FOLEY & CO., INC., and PAMELA MARY 24

- 1 -

FOLEY are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the

Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business

and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

25

26

II

The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity and not otherwise.

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent E. F. FOLEY & CO., INC. (hereafter EFFC) was and is licensed by the State of California Department of Real Estate (hereafter Department) as a real estate broker corporation.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent PAMELA MARY FOLEY (hereafter FOLEY) was and is licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker, and as the designated broker officer of EFFC. At all times herein mentioned, FOLEY was and is the President and fifty percent shareholder of EFFC, and directed its activities for which a real estate license is required.

V

Within the last three years, EFFC engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan banking and brokerage business with the public wherein borrowers and lenders were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein EFFC either brokered or funded such loans, wherein EFFC sold undivided interests in secured

promissory notes to investors, and wherein EFFC serviced loans on behalf of others, for or in expectation of compensation.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VI

Beginning in or about June of 2002, the Department conducted an audit of the books and records of Respondent EFFC for the time period of September 1, 2000 to March 31, 2002 (hereafter the audit period), as set forth in more detail in Department Audit Report No. OK01-0246, dated June 1, 2002, and accompanying working papers and exhibits. During the audit period, Respondent EFFC was a threshold broker, and also was a multi-lender broker that sold undivided interests in secured loans to multiple third party investors per loan. In acting as a mortgage loan broker as alleged above, Respondent EFFC accepted or received funds in trust from or on behalf of lenders and/or borrowers.

VII

The trust funds accepted or received by Respondent EFFC during the audit period were deposited or caused to be deposited from time to time into the following trust accounts at Comerica Bank in San Jose, California:

- (a) <u>Trust Account #1</u>: Account No. 1890618349, entitled "E.F. Foley & Co. Inc. Trust Account," used for new funds from investors to either fund loans or purchase portions of existing secured notes; and
- (b) Trust Account #2: Account No. 1890576349, entitled "E.F. Foley & Co. Inc. Trust Account #3," used for

loan servicing of borrower monthly payments and payoffs on private investor loans.

VIII

During the audit period, as to Trust Account # 1,
Respondents failed to maintain a separate record for each
beneficiary or transaction containing all information required
by Section 2831.1 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations
(hereafter the Regulations).

IX

During the audit period, when selling secured notes funded by EFFC or otherwise owned by it, Respondent EFFC failed to place trust funds received from investors to purchase the notes, or undivided interests in the notes, into a neutral escrow depository pursuant to Section 10145(b) of the Code.

Х

In or about October of 2000, EFFC brokered Loan #981 in the sum of \$254,000.00, secured by real property located at 9920 SW Beaverton-Hillsdale Highway, Beaverton, Oregon, in which Respondent PAMELA FOLEY and her husband and EFFC Chairman Michael Foley, as co-trustees of the Foley Family Trust, were the borrowers. Prior to solicitation of an investor for the loan, Respondents failed to submit written notice to the Department, along with a copy of a lender/purchaser disclosure statement disclosing their intent to benefit from the loan other than as an agent in the transaction pursuant to Sections 10231.2 and 10232.5 of the Code.

///

.

б

During the audit period, as to Loan #981, EFFC or affiliates of EFFC, to wit, the FOLEYS, had an interest in the property securing the loan, or contractual rights to acquire or develop the property securing the loan, and EFFC negotiated the loan with multiple investors in violation of the prohibition against self-dealing in multi-lender loans under Section 10229(d) of the Code

XI

XII

During the audit period, as to Loan #981, EFFC failed to timely prepare and deliver to investors, or cause to be delivered, an accurate written lender/purchaser disclosure statement containing multi-lender loan-to-value ratios required by Section 10232.4 of the Code, prior to each investor becoming obligated to make the loan or purchase the note, prior to EFFC's receipt of funds from each investor, and/or prior to disbursement of the investor's funds for the loan or purchase.

IIIX

During the audit period, as to Loan #981, EFFC negotiated the loan secured by the above Oregon property with multiple investors in violation of the prohibition against multiple lender loans secured by out-of-state under Section 10229(c) of the Code.

XIV

During the audit period, EFFC funded loans as the lender and became the named beneficiary on the notes and deeds of trust securing the loans. Upon resale to the public of undivided

- 5 -

interests in such notes, Respondent EFFC failed to timely record
or cause to be recorded assignments of deeds of trust in the
names of the investors within 10 working days after EFFC received
each investor's funds or after close of escrow, as required by
Section 10234 of the Code.

XV

During the audit period, as to multi-lender loans,
Respondent EFFC failed to obtain, and/or to retain in its

During the audit period, as to multi-lender loans, Respondent EFFC failed to obtain, and/or to retain in its records, signed statements or completed statements from each investor as to each investor's qualifications of income or net worth for the loan, indicating that the investment in each transaction did not exceed either 10% of his or her net worth, or 10% of his or her adjusted gross income, as required by Section 10229(e) of the Code.

XVI

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent EFFC as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the following provisions:

- (a) As to Paragraph VIII, under Section 2831.1 of
 Title 10, California Code of Regulations in
 conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.
- (b) As to Paragraph IX, under Section 10145(b) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.
- (c) As to Paragraph X, under Sections 10231.2 and 10232.5 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

27

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

(d) As to Paragraph XI, under Section 10229(d) of the 1 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the 2 Code. 3 As to Paragraph XII, under Section 10232.4 of the (e) 4 5 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 6 7 As to Paragraph XIII, under Section 10229(c) (f) 8 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 9 As to Paragraph XIV, under Section 10234 of the 10 (g) 11 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

25

26

27

- Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

 (h) As to Baragraph VV, under Section 10229(e) of the
- (h) As to Paragraph XV, under Section 10229(e) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

IIVX

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent FOLEY failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control of the activities of EFFC for which a real estate license is required. In particular, FOLEY caused, permitted, and/or ratified the conduct described above, and/or failed to take reasonable steps to implement effective supervision that would have prevented it, including but not limited to: (a) the establishment of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect and manage matters including but not limited to trust fund account records, neutral escrow depositories, lender/purchaser disclosures,

multi-lender loans, recordation of deeds of trust and assignments, and (b) the establishment of systems for monitoring compliance with such policies, rules, procedures, and systems, to ensure compliance by the company with the Real Estate Law.

XVIII

The acts and/or omissions of FOLEY as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 244 day of January, 2003.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner