Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEC 0 5 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Shelly Toy

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

5 6

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

22

24 25

26

. 27 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-8345 SF

FREED/MAN, INC., ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA,

Respondents.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

It is hereby stipulated by and between FREED/MAN, INC., ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA (Respondents) and their attorney of record, Samuel A. Chuck of Rossi, Hamerslough, Reischl and Chuck, and the Complainant, acting by and through David B. Seals, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on March 8, 2003, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be H-8345 SF - 1 - FREED/MAN, INC.,

ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement.

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. A Notice of Defense was filed on March 24, 2003 by Respondents, pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they will thereby waive their right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to

- II / / /

H-8345 SF

herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement as her decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

#### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

I

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent H-8345 SF 3 -FREED/MAN, INC.,

ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA

FREED/MAN under Section 10145(a) of the Code in conjunction with 1 Section 10177(d) of the Code, Respondent FERNANDEZ under Section 2 3 10177(h) of the Code and Respondent RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA under Section 10145(c) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 6 ORDER 7 Ι 8 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents 9 FREED/MAN, INC., ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA 10 under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Order; provided, 11 12 however, that: 13 .1. Thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed for one (1) year upon the following terms and conditions: 14 15 Α. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and 16 regulations governing the rights, duties and 17 responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the 18 State of California; and, 19 В. That no final subsequent determination be 20 made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause 21 for disciplinary action occurred within one (1) year 22 from the effective date of this Order. Should such a 23 determination be made, the Commissioner may, in her 24 discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and 25 reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension. 26 Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed 27 herein shall become permanent.

FREED/MAN, INC.,

ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA

H-8345 SF

2. The remaining thirty (30) days of said 60-day suspension shall be stayed, as to each Respondent individually, upon the condition that each Respondent petition pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code and each pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at a rate of \$100 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000 for each Respondent:

- Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Order in this matter.
- No further cause for disciplinary action В, against the Real Estate licenses of said Respondent occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the decision in this matter.
- If any Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty as provided above prior to the effective date of this Order, the stay of the suspension shall be vacated as to that Respondent and the order of suspension shall be immediately executed, under this Paragraph 2 of this Order, in which event the said Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for the money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.

5 -FREED/MAN, INC., ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and

RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA

H-8345 SF

10

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

penalty and any other moneys due under this Stipulation and Agreement and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate licenses of said Respondents occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of this Order, the entire stay hereby granted under Paragraphs 1 and 2 of this Order, as to said Respondents only, shall become permanent.

DATED:

Dept. 10, 2003

DAVID B. SEALS, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

.4

H-8345 SF

///

discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have

1	DATED: 9-6-03 Kill Freeh
2	FREED/MAN, INC. Respondent
3	DATED: 9-9-07
4	eriperto fernandez
5	DATED: 9903
6	RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA
7	Respondent
8	
	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to
9	form and content and have advised my client accordingly
10	
11	DATED: 9/9/03 Samuel A. Chuck
12	Attorney for Respondents
13	
14	* * *
15	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement
16	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision
16	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision
16	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on
16 17 18	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on
16 17 18 19	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on  December 26 , 2003.  IT IS SO ORDERED Sephember 21 , 2003.
16 17 18 19 20	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on
16 17 18 19 20 21	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on  December 26 , 2003.  IT IS SO ORDERED
16 17 18 19 20 21	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on  December 26 , 2003.  IT IS SO ORDERED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on  December 26 , 2003.  IT IS SO ORDERED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on  December 26 , 2003.  IT IS SO ORDERED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on  December 26 , 2003.  IT IS SO ORDERED

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

F JUL 0 2 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTAT!

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FREED/MAN, INC. ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA,

Case No. H-8345 SF

OAH No. N2003040359

Respondents

## FIRST CONTINUED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

### To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, THE ELIHU HARRIS STATE BUILDING, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 on TUESDAY -- AUGUST 28, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: JULY 2, 2003

DAVID B. SEALS, Counsel

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JUN 0 4 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FREED/MAN, INC., ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ, and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA,

Case No. H-8345 SF

OAH No. N-2003040359

Respondents

### NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, ELIHU M. HARRIS BUILDING, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 on MONDAY--JUNE 30, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Bv

Dated: JUNE 4, 2003

DAVID B. SEALS,, Counsel

DEPARTMENT OF RE

DAVID B. SEALS, Counsel (SBN 69378) Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 MAR 0 S 2003 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 (916) 227-0792 (Direct) -or-5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 No. H-8345 SF 12 FREED/MAN, INC., ERIBERTO ACCUSATION FERNANDEZ, and RUTH 13 RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA, 14 Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 17 Estate Commissioner of the State of California for cause of 18 Accusation against FREED/MAN, INC. (hereinafter "Respondent 19 FREED/MAN"), ERIBERTO FERNANDEZ (hereinafter "Respondent FERNANDEZ"), and RUTH RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA (hereinafter "Respondent 20 21 RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA"), is informed and alleges as follows: 22 Ι 23 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 24

Accusation in his official capacity.

25

27

111

ΙI

Respondent FREED/MAN is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a corporate real estate broker dba Century 21 South Valley Properties.

III

Respondent FERNANDEZ is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a real estate broker and at all times relevant herein was the designated officer of Respondent FREED/MAN.

IV

Respondent RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code as a real estate salesperson.

V

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent FREED/MAN, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent FREED/MAN committed such act or omissions while engaged in furtherance of the business or operation of Respondent FREED/MAN and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

VI

On or about March 13, 2002, Respondent RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA obtained a check for \$6,500 from Armando Beas (hereinafter

"Buyer") made payable to "title" and attached a copy of said check to an offer to purchase the real property located at 10340 New Ave., Gilroy 95020.

VII

The offer to purchase agreement provided, in pertinent part, that "... BUYER HAS GIVEN A DEPOSIT TO THE AGENT SUBMITTING THE OFFER ... \$6,500.00 ... which shall be held uncashed until Acceptance and then deposited within 3 business days after Acceptance or ... with Escrow Holder." The offer was accepted on or about March 17, 2002.

1.7

VIII

Seller's check for \$6,500.00 was not deposited within 3 business days of acceptance nor was it ever received by the escrow holder.

IX

Respondent RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA failed to deliver the check to her broker, or to the custody of the broker's principal, or to a neutral escrow depository or into the broker's trust fund account in violation of Section 10145(c) of the Code.

Х

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent FERNANDEZ failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the activities of Respondents FREED/MAN and RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA, and permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct described above. Respondent FERNANDEZ failed to reasonably or adequately review, oversee, inspect and manage the personnel and activities of Respondents FREED/MAN and RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA, and/or to establish reasonable

policies, rules, procedures and systems for such review, oversight, inspection and management.

ΧI

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA, FREED/MAN and FERNANDEZ described above are grounds for the revocation or suspension of the license of Respondent RODRIGUEZ-GARCIA under Sections 10177(g) and 10145(c) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and are grounds for the revocation or suspension of the licenses of Respondent FERNANDEZ under Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10145(a) and 2725 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and are grounds for the revocation or suspension of the licenses of Respondent FREED/MAN under Section 10145(a) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

<sup>25</sup> Dated at Oakland, California,

this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_day of February, 2003.