DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

(916)

F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC.

and MARK EDWARD FORBES,

Respondents.

227-0789

Telephone:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL

5 6

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

26 27

DRE No. H-8264 SF

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE No. H-8264 SF

OAH No. 2003010834

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC., a corporation, and MARK EDWARD FORBES (hereinafter "Respondents"), individually and by and through Shapiro Buchman Provine Patton LLP, attorneys of record herein for Respondents, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate (herein "the Department"), as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 23, 2002 in this matter (herein "the Accusation"):

All issues which were to be contested and all 1. evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents

at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department in this proceeding.
- 3. On January 23, 2003, Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents each hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to require the Real Estate Commissioner (herein "the Commissioner") to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in the Accusation are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such

27 DRE No. H-8264 SF

allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

- 6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.
- 7. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, Respondents jointly and severally agree to pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of the California Business and Professions Code, the cost of the audit which resulted in the determination that Respondents committed the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I, below, of the Determination of Issues. The amount of said costs is \$4,416.06.
- 8. Respondents further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, the findings set forth below in the Determination Of Issues become final, and that DRE No. H-8264 SF

 F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and MARK EDWARD FORBES

- 3 -

the Commissioner may charge said Respondents, jointly and severally, for the costs of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the California Business and Professions Code to determine if the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I, below, of the Determination of Issues have been corrected. The maximum costs of said audit shall not exceed \$4,853.60.

₿

q

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and omissions of Respondent F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. (hereinafter "FEFC") and Respondent MARK EDWARD FORBES (hereinafter "FORBES") as described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent FEFC under the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code (herein "the Code") and/or the provisions of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations"):

- (a) as to Paragraph XI under Section 10130 of the code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) as to paragraph XII(a) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) as to Paragraph XII(b) under Section 10145 of the

 DRE No. H-8264 SF

 F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and
 MARK EDWARD FORBES

Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with 1 Section 10177(d) of the Code; 2 (d) as to Paragraph XIV(c) under Section 10234 of the 3 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code: 4 as to Paragraph XIV(b) under Sections 10229(k) and 5 10232.4(a) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of 6 the Code; and 7 (f) as to Paragraph XV under Section 10240 of the Code 8 in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 9 ΙI 10 The acts and omissions of Respondent MARK EDWARD FORBES 11 (hereinafter "FORBES") as described in Paragraph XVI of the 12 Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the 13 licenses and license rights of Respondent FORBES under Sections 14 10177(g) and 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code 15 in conjunction with Section 10177 (d) of the Code. 16 **ORDER** 17 Ι 18 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent F. 19 E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. under the Real Estate Law are suspended 20 for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of the 21 Decision herein; provided, however: 22 If Respondent FEFC petitions, forty (40) days of 23 said sixty (60) day suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be 24 stayed upon condition that: 25 Respondent FEFC pays a monetary penalty pursuant 26 27 DRE No. H-8264 SF F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and MARK EDWARD FORBES - 5 -

to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$250.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$10,000.00. (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter. If Respondent FEFC fails to pay the monetary (c) penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension. No final subsequent determination be made, after (d) hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent FEFC occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of the Decision herein. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent FEFC shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision. If Respondent FEFC pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision herein, then the stay hereby

1

2

3

5

6

7

Я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

. 25

26

27

DRE No. H-8264 SF

F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and

MARK EDWARD FORBES

granted shall become permanent.

2. Twenty (20) days of said sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition that:

- (a) No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of the Decision herein.
- (b) Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.
- (c) If no order vacating the stay is issued, and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- B. Respondent FEFC shall, within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner, pay (jointly and severally with Respondent FORBES) the Commissioner's costs in the amount of \$4,416.06 of the audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code that resulted in the determination that Respondent FEFC committed the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above.

|| DRE No. H-8264 SF

If Respondent FEFC fails to pay such cost within the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, any indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.

Respondent FEFC shall pay (jointly and severally С. with Respondent FORBES) the Commissioner's costs, not to exceed \$4,853.60, of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code to determine if Respondent has corrected the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent FEFC shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those If Respondent fails to pay such cost within the 45 activities. days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent FEFC under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent FEFC enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, the

27 | DRE No. H-8264 SF

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent 3 FORBES under the Real Estate Law are indefinitely suspended until 1 such time as Respondent FORBES provides proof satisfactory to the 5 Commissioner that Respondent FORBES has, within thirty days prior 6 to the effective date of the Decision herein or any date after 7 said effective date, taken and successfully completed the trust 8 fund accounting and handling course specified in paragraph (3), q subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and 10 Professions Code. Upon satisfaction of this condition, the 11 indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed. 12 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent 13 FORBES under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of 14 sixty (60) days from the effective date of the Decision herein; 15 provided, however: 16 If Respondent FORBES petitions, forty (40) days of 1. 17 said sixty (60) day suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be 18 stayed upon condition that: 19 (a) Respondent FORBES pays a monetary penalty pursuant 20 to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$250.00 for each 21 day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$10,000.00. 22 Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 23

indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.

II

1

2

24

25

26

27

DRE No. H-8264 SF

F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and

MARK EDWARD FORBES

check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of

Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this

the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the

matter.

3 4

(c) If Respondent FORBES fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension.

- (d) No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent FORBES occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of the Decision herein. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.
- (e) If Respondent FORBES pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent FORBES occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision herein, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- 2. Twenty (20) days of said sixty (60) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition that:
- (a) No final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondent occurred within two (2) years of the

DRE No. H-8264 SF

effective date of the Decision herein.

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(b) Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

If no order vacating the stay is issued, and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

Respondent FORBES shall, within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner, pay (jointly and severally with Respondent FEFC) the Commissioner's costs in the amount of \$4,416.06 of the audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code that resulted in the determination that Respondent FEFC committed the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above. If Respondent FORBES fails to pay such cost within the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent FORBES enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon DRE No. H-8264 SF

payment in full, any indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.

with Respondent FEFC) the Commissioner's costs, not to exceed

\$4,853.60, of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of

has corrected the violations described in Paragraph I of the

Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the

of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for

shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice

the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion

Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in

the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full,

the indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be

full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to

indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of

the Business and Professions Code to determine if Respondent FEFC

Determination of Issues, above. In calculating the amount of the

estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits

travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent

therefor from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed

during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those

activities. If Respondent FORBES fails to pay such cost within

Respondent FORBES shall pay (jointly and severally

2

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

2526

27 | DRE No. H-8264 SF

stayed.

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel Department of Real Estate

F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and MARK EDWARD FORBES

- 12 -

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and have discussed its terms with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. Respondent

By MARK EDWARD FORBES

Designated Officer - Broker

9/18/2003

DATED MARK EDWARD FORBES
Respondent

I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to form and content and have advised my clients accordingly.

SHAPIRO BUCHMAN PROVINE PATTON LLP
Attorneys for despondents

///

б

DRE No. H-8264 SF

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by me as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on _ APRIL 2003. IT IS SO ORDERED 2003. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner BY: John R. Liberator **Chief Deputy Commissioner** DRE No. H-8264 SF F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. and

MARK EDWARD FORBES

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FEB - 6 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

F.E. FORBES COMPANY, INC., AND MARK EDWARD FORBES. Case No. H-8264 SF

OAH No. N-2003010834

Respondents

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CA 94612 on TUESDAY, MARCH 25, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: FEBRUARY 6, 2003

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel (SBN 60543) 1 Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 (916) 227-0789 Telephone: DEPARTMENT OF REALESTATE 4 (916) 227-0788 (Direct) -or-5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-8264 SF 12 F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC., ACCUSATION and MARK EDWARD FORBES 13 Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 17 Accusation against F. E. FORBES COMPANY, INC. (herein "FEFC") 18 and MARK EDWARD FORBES (herein "FORBES"), is informed and 19 alleges as follows: 20 21 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 22 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 23 Accusation in his official capacity. 24 II 25 At all times herein mentioned, Respondents FEFC and

FORBES (herein "Respondents") were and now are licensed and/or

have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of

26

Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code"):

III

At all times herein mentioned herein to and until December 14, 2001, and at all times mentioned herein from and after March 12, 2002, FEFC was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (herein "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker by and through FORBES as designated officer-broker of FEFC to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, FORBES was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and, at all times herein mentioned herein to and until December 14, 2001, and at all times mentioned herein from and after March 12, 2002, as designated officer-broker of FEFC. As said designated officer-broker, FORBES was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of FEFC for which a license is required.

V

On December 14, 2001, the corporate broker license of Respondent FEFC, and the license of Respondent FORBES as an officer of Respondent FEFC, each expired without being renewed at any time prior to March 12, 2002. At no time mentioned

- 2 -

herein between December 14, 2001 and March 12, 2002, was
Respondent FEFC licensed by the Department, and at no such time
was Respondent FORBES licensed by the Department as an officer
of FEFC.

VI

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of FEFC, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with FEFC committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of such corporate Respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

VII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131(e) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein Respondents arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans, wherein Respondents serviced and collected payments on such loans, and wherein Respondents sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, or exchanged or offered to exchange

promissory notes secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property and performed services for the holders thereof, including servicing and collecting payments on such promissory notes.

VIII

In so acting as real estate brokers, as described in Paragraph VII, above, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of lenders, investors, borrowers and others in connection with the mortgage loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph VI, above, and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said trust funds.

IX

The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by

Respondents were deposited or caused to be deposited by

Respondents into one or more bank accounts (herein "trust fund accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds, including but not necessarily limited to the "F. E.

Forbes Company, Inc. Trust Account", Account Number 18004598,

maintained by Respondents at the Berkeley, California, branch of Mechanics Bank (hereinafter "Trust #1").

Х

Between December 15, 2001 and March 11, 2002, following the expiration and prior to the renewal of Respondent FEFC's corporate real estate broker license, Respondent FEFC and Respondent FORBES, acting as an agent of Respondent FEFC, conducted mortgage loan origination and mortgage loan servicing

1 activities described in Paragraph VII, above, including but not 2 limited to: 3 (a) Collecting payments from borrowers on behalf of investors and lenders on loans secured by real property and 4 5 making disbursements of said funds; 6 On or about September 26, 2001, negotiating and (b) 7 arranging a \$185,000 mortgage loan from Capitol Commerce 8 Mortgage as lender to John Spain as borrower secured by real property at 410 Balra Drive, El Cerrito, California; 10 On or about January 17, 2002, negotiating and arranging a \$90,000 mortgage loan from Capitol Commerce Mortgage 11 12 as lender to Steven Villata as borrower secured by real property 13 at 507 Napa Avenue, Rodeo, California; 14 On or about February 5, 2002, negotiating and (d) 15 arranging a \$352,000 mortgage loan from Capitol Commerce 16 Mortgage as lender to George Hasa et ux as borrowers secured by 17 real property at 218 Alderbrook Place, Moraga, California; and 18 On or about February 14, 2002, negotiating and (e) 19 arranging a \$180,000 mortgage loan from Capitol Commerce 20 Mortgage as lender to Randy Berrett et ux. as borrowers secured 21 by real property at 5356 Rosalind Avenue, El Cerrito, 22 California. 23 XI 24 In acting as described in Paragraph X, above, 25 Respondent FEFC violated Section 10130 of the Code, and Respondent FORBES willfully caused, suffered and permitted 27 Respondent FEFC to violate Section 10130 of the Code.

- 5 -

XII

At all times mentioned herein between January 1, 2001 and January 31, 2002, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, Respondents:

- (a) Failed to keep a columnar record in chronological sequence of all trust funds received and disbursed from Trust #1 containing all the information required by Section 2831 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the Regulations");
- (b) Failed to keep a separate record for each beneficiary or transaction, accounting therein for all funds which have been deposited into Trust #1, containing all information required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations; and
- (c) Commingled funds received and held by Respondent FEFC in trust for others in Trust #1 with funds belonging to Respondent FEFC deposited in said account.

XIII

At all times mentioned herein between January 1, 2001 and January 31, 2002, FEFC was a real estate broker which offered to sell and sold notes subject to Section 10229 of the Code secured directly by an interest in the same real property or undivided interests in notes secured directly by real property equivalent to a series transaction.

VIX

Between January 1, 2001 and January 31, 2002, in course of the mortgage loan and multi-lender loan origination described in Paragraphs VII and XIII, above, Respondents:

- 6 -

-

(a) Sold such a note or interests therein to more than ten persons in violation of Section 10229(e)(1) of the Code;(b) Failed to comply with Section 10229(e)(1) of the

- (b) Failed to comply with Section 10229(e)(1) of the Code, in that FEFC failed, in course of the sale of notes subject to Section 10229 of the Code, to timely obtain the signature of purchasers on the statement described in Section 10229(e) containing the information prescribed thereby, and/or failed to retain on file for a period of four years a true and correct copy of such statement signed by the purchasers;
- (c) Failed to cause proper assignments of trust deeds, naming as assignee the purchaser or a nominee other than Respondent, to be executed and recorded with the county recorder of the county in which the real property is located within the time specified in Section 10234 of the Code; and
- (d) Failed to provide lenders and/or purchasers the statement required by Sections 10232.4(a) and 10229(k) of the Code, and failed to provide lenders and/or purchasers such statement within the time specified in Section 10232.4(a) of the Code.

Between January 1, 2001 and January 31, 2002, in course of the mortgage loan origination activities described in Paragraph VII, above, Respondents failed to comply with Section 10240 of the Code, in that Respondents:

XV

26 | ///

27 | ///

- 7 -

Failed to obtain the signature of the borrowers on the statement required by Section 10240 (hereinafter "Written Disclosure Statement");

- Failed to deliver a copy of the required Written Disclosure Statement to the borrowers: and/or
- Failed to retain on file for a period of three years a true and correct copy of the required Written Disclosure Statement signed by the borrowers.

IVX

Respondent FORBES failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of FEFC in such a manner as to allow the acts and omissions on the part of FEFC described above, to occur.

XVII

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents FEFC and FORBES under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) As to Paragraph XI, under Section 10130 of the code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- As to Paragraph XII(a), under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Paragraph XII(b), under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

///

8 -

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1 As to Paragraph XII(c), under Section 10176(e) of (d) 2 the Code: 3 (e) As to Paragraphs XIV(a) and XIV(b), under Section 4 10229(e)(1) of the Code in conjunction with Sections 10177(d) 5 and 10177(p) of the Code; 6 (f) As to Paragraph XIV(c), under Section 10234 of 7 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; 8 As to Paragraph XIV(b), under Sections 10229(k) 9 and 10232.4(a) of the Code in conjunction with Sections 10177(d) 10 and 10177(p) of the Code; and 11 (h) As to Paragraph XV, under Section 10240 of the 12 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 13 XVIII 14 The facts alleged above as to Paragraph XVI of the 15 Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the 16 licenses and license rights of FORBES under Section 10177(g) 17 and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the 1.8 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 19 111 20 111 21 111 22 111 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 ///

- 9 -

27

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 19% day of November, 2002.