F APR 16 2004

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Rostrott

NO. H-8167 SF

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

8

9

1

2

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI.

**)** 

Respondent.

14

15

#### ORDER SUSPENDING RESTRICTED REAL ESTATE LICENSE

16 · 17

18

20

21

27

TO: CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI:

On July 26, 2003, a restricted real estate broker license was issued by the Department of Real Estate to Respondent on the terms, conditions and restrictions set forth in the Real Estate Commissioner's Decision effective July 26, 2003, in Case No. H-8167 SF. This Decision granted the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license subject to the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to enumerated additional terms, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code.

Among those terms, conditions and restrictions, you were required to take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination within six months from the effective date of the Decision. The Commissioner has determined that as of March 4, 2004, you have failed to satisfy this condition and as such, you are in violation of Section 10177(k) of the Business and Professions Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED under authority of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code of the State of California that the restricted real estate broker license heretofore issued to Respondent and the exercise of any privileges thereunder is hereby suspended until such time as you provide proof satisfactory to the Department of compliance with the "condition" referred to above, or pending final determination made after hearing (see "Hearing Rights" set forth below).

Furthermore, you have no right to renew your restricted license if this "condition" isn't satisfied by the date your restricted license expires.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all license certificates and identification cards issued by Department which are in the possession of Respondent be immediately surrendered by personal delivery or by mailing in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope to:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE ATTN: FLAG SECTION P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

JUL 2 4 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Shelly they

### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

1

3

٠6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

12

13

ASHLEY MCIVER LTD., CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI, and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr.,

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

NO. H-8167 SF OAH NO. N-2003020345

## ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION AND SPECIFYING AMOUNT OF MONETARY PENALTY IN LIEU OF SUSPENSION

Respondents.

On May 15, 2003, a Decision was rendered in the aboveentitled matter. The Decision was to become effective at 12 o'clock noon on June 16, 2003.

On June 9, 2003, Respondents ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER,
Jr. and ASHLEY McIVER LTD. petitioned for reconsideration of the
Decision of May 15, 2003 to reduce the period for each
Restricted Real Estate License from two years to six months.
Respondent ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. also petitioned to pay a
monetary penalty in lieu of the suspension concerning his Real

Estate License pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10175.2.

I have given due consideration to the petition of Respondent ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. concerning the suspension. I find that the public interest and welfare will be adequately served by permitting Respondent ANDREW EUGENE TUNAUER, Jr. to pay a monetary penalty to the Department in lieu of the actual twenty (20) day suspension ordered in this case, under the following conditions:

As to Respondent ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. the entire twenty (20) days of said suspension shall be stayed upon the condition that said Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at a rate of \$250.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$5,000.00:

- (a) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Order in this matter.
- No further cause for disciplinary action against the Real Estate License of Respondent ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the decision in this matter.

- penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Order, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, under this Order, in which event the said Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for the money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.
- If said Respondent pays the monetary penalty and satisfies the other conditions specified in the Order in the decision in this matter, and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the decision, the entire stay hereby granted under this Order shall become permanent.

I have given due consideration to the petition of Respondents as to all issues. Other than allowing Respondent ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. to pay a monetary penalty in lieu of suspension, I find no good cause to reconsider the Decision of May 15, 2003 and reconsideration is hereby denied.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

2003.

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissio<del>nes</del>

JUL 1 6 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

2 Shelly Cly

#### BEFORE THE

#### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

ASHLEY McIVER LTD., ) NO. H-8167 SF

CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI, and )

ANDRE EUGEN TURNAUER, Jr., )

Respondent. )

#### ORDER FURTHER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On May 15, 2003, a Decision was rendered in the aboveentitled matter to become effective June 16, 2003.

On June 9, 2003, Respondents Andrew E. Turnauer Jr. and Ashley McIver Ltd. petitioned for reconsideration of the Decision of May 15, 2003. Pursuant to said petition, a thirty (30) day stay of the decision was granted to expire July 16, 2003.

Additional time is needed to evaluate the petition and therefore I am granting a further stay of the effective date of the May 15, 2003 decision solely for the purpose of considering the petition.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision of the Commissioner of May 15, 2003, is stayed for an additional ten (10) days.

The Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner of May 15, 2003, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on July 26, 2003.

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN

1

2

-

**-**

6

7

8

9

10

11

.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

G			الما	
IJ	JU	N 1 0	2003	

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

En Shelly Ely

No. H-8167 SF

#### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

ASHLEY McIVER LTD., CARMINE C. PASCUCCI and ANDREW E. TURNAUER, JR.

<u>Respondent.</u>

#### ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On May 15, 2003, a Decision was rendered in the aboveentitled matter to become effective June 16, 2003.

On June 9, 2003, Respondent petitioned for reconsideration of the Decision of May 15, 2003.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision is stayed for a period of thirty (30) days. The Decision of May 15, 2003, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on July 16, 2003.

DATED:

Sune

10

2003

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

Al Philet

#### BEFORE THE

#### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In	the Matter of the Accusation of	)	
		)	NO. H-8167 SF
	ASHLEY MCIVER LTD., CARMINE C.	)	
	PASCUCCI and ANDREW E. TURNAUER,	JR.,)	
		)	OAH NO. N2003020345
	Respondents.	)	
		```	

#### **DECISION**

The Proposed Decision dated April 23, 2003, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon JUNE 16-\_, 2003. DATED:

> PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ASHLEY McIVER LTD; CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI; and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr.

Respondents.

Case No. H-8167 SF

OAH No. N2003010560

#### PROPOSED DECISION

This matter was heard before Robert R. Coffman, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, in Oakland, California, on March 24, 2003.

The respondent, Carmine Charles Pascucci, was personally present but was not otherwise represented. Respondents Ashley McIver LTD and Andrew Eugene Turnauer, Jr. were represented by their attorney, J. Michael Phelps.

Michael B. Rich, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, represented the complainant, Charles W. Koenig.

#### FACTUAL FINDINGS

- 1. Charles W. Koenig made the First Amended Accusation in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California.
- 2. At all times material respondent Ashley McIver Ltd (McIver) was licensed by the Department of Real Estate (Department) as a corporate real estate broker.

At all times material respondent Carmine Charles Pascucci (Pascucci) was licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker and as the designated broker/officer of McIver.

At all times material respondent Andrew Eugene Turnauer, Jr. (Turnauer) was associated with or in the employ of McIver. On or about November 22, 2000, the real

estate salesperson license of Turnauer expired. At no time between approximately November 22, 2000 and January 4, 2002, was Turnauer licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker or salesperson. At all other times material Turnauer was licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson.

- 3. At all times material McIver engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the Sate of California, including the business of soliciting borrowers and lenders or negotiating loans in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others pursuant to section 10131(d) of the Business and Professions Code (Code), for or in expectation of compensation.
- 4. During the period from approximately November 22, 2000, to approximately January 4, 2002, when Turnauer's license was expired, Turnauer was employed by or associated with McIver, and performed activities for McIver for which a real estate license is required, for or in expectation of compensation. Turnauer solicited and/or negotiated loans secured by real property in California, in expectation of compensation from or was paid by or otherwise received compensation from McIver in various such transactions, including the following:

Borrower	Property	Application Date
Grace Muchmore	1810 Wedgemont Place	1/19/01
Marc Goodman	14 Rowan Way	2/20/01
Daryl J. Eigen	2280 Paradise Drive	5/1/01
Lewisa Goggin	23 Roscoe Street	12/4/01
Bill Jensen	Not stated	1/4/02

5. In connection with its loan business McIver failed to provide and/or failed to retain for a period of three years signed copies of the "Borrower Disclosure" statement as required by section 10240 of the Code, in conformance with section 10421 of the Code, including the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Application Date
Grace Muchmore	1810 Wedgemont Place	2/19/01
Marc Goodman	14 Rowan Way	2/20/01

Daryl J. Eigen	2280 Paradise Drive	5/1/01
James LeClair	600 Plymouth Way	5/31/01
Charles Der	17 Wildwood Place	10/9/01
Lewisa Goggin	23 Roscoe Street	12/4/01

6. Within the past three years, during the course of the activities described above, McIver received and disbursed funds in trust on behalf of others. In doing so it maintained a trust checking bank account.

McIver permitted Turnauer, a person who neither held a California real estate license nor was bonded, to be a signatory on the above trust account in violation of section 2834 of the Department's regulations.

- 7. At all times material Pascucci was responsible, as the designated officer of McIver, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of McIver by its officers and employees. Pascucci failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the mortgage lending and employment activities of McIver in the following respects: Pascucci permitted, ratified, and/or caused the conduct described in Findings 4, 5, and 6, and failed to take reasonable steps to prevent the employment of an unlicensed person to conduct licensed activities, the handling of trust funds by an unlicensed and unbonded person, and compliance with the borrower disclosure statement requirements.
- 8. It was not established that McIver failed to maintain on file with the Real Estate Commissioner a new address for the principal place of business for its real estate brokerage activities.
- 9. In February 2002, the Department conducted a broker office survey of McIver's real estate practice, including a records review of its real estate transactions. In preparing for the review Turnauer became aware that his license had expired in November 2000. He had been under the assumption the expiration date was November 2002. He immediately enrolled in and completed continuing education courses required for the renewal of his license. However, he had difficulty in obtaining documentation evidencing completion of the course work. He has since renewed his real estate salesperson license.
- 10. Respondents believed that their obligation was to mail the disclosure statement to the borrowers and to keep a copy in their files. This was the practice they followed. Respondents' contention that they asked the borrowers to return a signed copy of the disclosure statements was not credible. Their files contain no documentation to support this contention.

Section 10240 provides that the disclosure statement "be personally signed by the borrower" and "When so executed, an exact copy thereof shall be delivered to the borrower at the time of its execution. The real estate broker negotiating the loan shall retain on file for a period of three years a true and correct copy of the statement as signed by the borrower."

Respondents contend the unsigned disclosure statements in their files are identical to those signed by the borrowers, thus they maintain they were in compliance with section 10240 of the Code. However, the statutory language expressly requires the licensee to maintain signed copies of the disclosure statements. In addition, compliance with section 10240 could not be ascertained by a review of the broker's records if all that is required is an unsigned copy.

11. Turnauer has been a real estate salesperson since 1982. He and his wife have owned McIver since 1996.

Pascucci was broker of record of McIver from December 4, 2000 until sometime in 2003 when he left to open his own brokerage firm. He has been a licensed real estate salesperson since 1978 and a licensed real estate broker for approximately ten years.

#### LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Cause was established for discipline against Turnauer pursuant to sections 10130, 10137, 10177(d) and (f) of the Code, under the facts in Finding 4.
- 2. Cause was established for discipline against McIver pursuant to sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code, under the facts in Finding 4.
- 3. Cause was established for discipline against McIver pursuant to sections 10240 and 10177(d) of the Code, under the facts in Finding 5.
- 4. Cause was established for discipline against McIver and Turnauer pursuant to section 10177(d) of the Code and section 2834 of the Department's regulations, under the facts in Finding 6.
- 5. Cause was established for discipline against Pascucci pursuant to section 10177(h) of the Code, under the facts set forth in Findings 4, 5, 6, and 7.

#### ORDER

1. All licenses and licensing rights of respondent Carmine Charles Pascucci under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to respondent pursuant to section 10156.5 of the Business and

Professions Code if respondent makes application thereof and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision.

The restricted license issued to respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of section 10156.6 of that Code:

- (a) The restricted license issued to respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- (b) The restricted license issued to respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (c) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- (d) Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- (e) Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this

  Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination
  administered by the Department including the payment of the
  appropriate examination fee. If respondent fails to satisfy this

condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of respondent's license until respondent passes the examination.

- (f) Any restricted real estate license issued to respondent pursuant to this Decision shall be suspended for 20 days from the date of issuance of said restricted license.
- 2. All licenses and licensing rights of respondent Andrew Eugene Turnauer.

  Jr. under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate.

  salesperson license shall be issued to respondent pursuant to section 10156.5 of the

  Business and Professions Code if respondent makes application thereof and pays to the

  Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days
  from the effective date of this Decision.

The restricted license issued to respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of section 10156.6 of that Code:

- (a) The restricted license issued to respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- (b) The restricted license issued to respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (c) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- (d) Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may

order the suspension of the restricted license until the respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

- (e) Respondent shall, within six months from the effective date of this

  Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination
  administered by the Department including the payment of the
  appropriate examination fee. If respondent fails to satisfy this
  condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of respondent's
  license until respondent passes the examination.
- (f) Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:

That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and

That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.

- (g) Any restricted real estate license issued to respondent pursuant to this Decision shall be suspended for 20 days from the date of issuance of said restricted license.
- 3. All licenses and licensing rights of respondent Ashley McIver Ltd under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate corporate real estate broker license shall be issued to respondent pursuant to section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if respondent makes application thereof and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days from the effective date of this Decision.

The restricted license issued to respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of section 10156.6 of that Code:

(a) The restricted license issued to respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of

respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- (b) The restricted license issued to respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (c) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.

DATED: Opul 23, 2003.

ROBERT R. COFFMAN Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel 1 State Bar No. 84257 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 ASHLEY MCIVER LTD: 14

MAR 2 0 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE

#### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-8167 SF

CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI; and, ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr.,

> FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

Respondents.

16 17

15

The Complainant, CHARLES W. KOENIG, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of Accusation against ASHLEY McIVER LTD, CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI, and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr., is informed and alleges as follows:

22

23

20

21

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

24

25

The Complainant, CHARLES W. KOENIG, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this

27

Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity and not otherwise.

II

Respondents ASHLEY McIVER LTD, CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI, and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr., are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent ASHLEY McIVER LTD (hereafter "Respondent CORPORATION") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter "Department") as a corporate real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI (hereafter "Respondent PASCUCCI") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter "Department") as an individual real estate broker and as the designated broker/officer of Respondent CORPORATION.

V

On or about November 22, 2000, the real estate salesperson license of ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. (hereafter "Respondent TURNAUER") expired and he has renewal rights until November 22, 2002. At no time herein between about November 22, 2000, and January 4 2002, was Respondent TURNAUER licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker or salesperson.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent TURNAUER was associated with or in the employ of Respondent CORPORATION.

VI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CORPORATION engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California, including the business of the soliciting borrowers and lenders or negotiating loans in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation.

VII

During the period from at least November 22, 2000, to about January, 4, 2002, when Respondent TURNAUER's license was expired, Respondent TURNAUER was employed by or associated with Respondent CORPORATION, and performed activities for Respondent CORPORATION for which a real estate license is required, for or in expectation of compensation. Respondent TURNAUAER solicited and/or negotiated loans secured by real property in California, in expectation of compensation from or was paid by or otherwise received compensation from Respondent CORPORATION in various such transactions, including, but not limited to, the following:

25 | /

1	Borrower	Property	Application Date
2	Grace Muchmore	1810 Wedgemont Place	2/19/01
3	Marc Goodman	14 Rowan Way	2/20/01
4	Darly J. Eigen	2280 Paradise Drive	5/1/01
5	Lewisa Goggin	23 Roscoe Street	12/4/01
6	Bill Jensen	Not stated	1/4/02
- 1	1		

VIII

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent TURNAUER as alleged above violate Section 10130 of the Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against Respondent TURNAUER pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) and (f) of the Code.

IX

The above acts and/or omissions o£ Respondent CORPORATION, in employing and/or compensating Respondent TURNAUER when Respondent TURNAUER was not duly licensed by the Department, constitute grounds for disciplinary action against Respondent corporation pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

Х

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III and IV of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

27 1 ///

XI

In connection with the loan brokerage business described in Paragraph VI above, Respondent CORPORATION failed to provide and/or failed to retain for a period of three (3) years the "Borrower Disclosure" statement as required by Section 10240, in conformance with Section 10421 of the Code, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Application Date
Grace Muchmore	1810 Wedgemont Place	2/19/01
Marc Goodman	14 Rowan Way	2/20/01
Daruly J. Eigen	2280 Paradise Drive	5/1/01
James LeClair	600 Plymouth Way	5/31/01
Charles Der	17 Wildwood Place	10/9/01
Lewisa Goggin	23 Roscoe Street	12/4/01
	•	

XII

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent CORPORATION's license under the provisions of Section 10240 in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XIII

There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, IV and VI of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully

set forth.

**.** .

1

.5

3

4

5

6

7

В

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Respondent CORPORATION has failed to maintain on file with the Real Estate Commissioner a new address for the principal place of business for its real estate brokerage activities.

VIX

XV

Respondent CORPORATION maintains more than one place of business and failed to apply for and procure an additional license for each branch office so maintained by it.

XVI

The facts alleged above are a violation of Section 2715 of the Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations (hereafter the "Regulations"), and/or Section 10163 of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent CORPORATION's license under the provisions of Section 10165 and/or Section 10177(d) of the Code.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

XVII

There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, IV and VI of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

11///

27 | //

- 6 -

#### XVIII

Within the last three years, during the course of the activities described above, Respondent CORPORATION received and disbursed funds in trust on behalf of others.

#### XIX

On or about February 15, 2002, the Department conducted a survey of the above business activities of Respondent CORPORATION.

#### XX

Within the last three years, Respondent deposited the above trust funds into a checking trust bank account at Bank of America in Tiburon, California, bearing account number 10711-09567, in the name of "Ashley McIver, Ltd Investor's Trust Account" (HEREINAFTER "Trust Account 1.")

#### XXI

Respondent CORPORATION permitted Respondent TURNAUER, a person who neither held a California real estate license nor was bonded, to be a signatory on Trust Account 1 in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations.

#### IIXX

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the Section 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code.

1///

26 | //

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

#### IIIXX

There is hereby incorporated in this Fifth, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, IV and VI of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

#### VIXX

At all times mentioned above, Respondent PASCUCCI was responsible, the designated as officer of Respondent CORPORATION, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and Respondent PASCUCCI failed to exercise reasonable employees. supervision and control over the mortgage lending and employment activities of Respondent CORPORATION. In particular, Respondent PASCUCCI permitted, ratified, and/or caused conduct described in the First, Second, Third and Fourth Causes of Accusation above to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps to prevent, including but not limited to, the employment of an unlicensed person to conduct licensed activities, the handling of trust funds by an unlicensed and unbonded person, failure to notify the Department of Real Estate regarding business office locations or obtain branch office licenses, failure to comply with the borrower disclosure statement requirements, and failed to implement policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate Law.

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### VXX

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent PASCUCCI constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG
Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California, this 200 day of March, 2003.

б

### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FEB 1 3 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

ASHLEY McIVER LTD., CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, JR. Case No. H-8167 SF

OAH No. N2003010560

Respondent

#### NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, THE ELIHU HARRIS STATE BUILDING, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 on MONDAY -- MARCH 24, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: FEBRUARY 18, 2003

MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel

MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel State Bar No. 84257 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 AUG 1 6 2002

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Shelly Ely

,

6 7

1

2

8

9

10

ΤÛ

11

12

13

14 15

16

16

17 18

19.

21

22

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-8167 SF

ASHLEY McIVER LTD; CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI; and, ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr.,

Respondents.

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of Accusation against ASHLEY McIVER LTD, CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI, and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr., is informed and alleges as follows:

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

I

The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity and not otherwise.

.

\_

///

Respondents ASHLEY McIVER LTD, CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI, and ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr., are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

TIT

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent ASHLEY McIVER LTD (hereafter "Respondent CORPORATION") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter "Department") as a corporate real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CARMINE CHARLES PASCUCCI (hereafter "Respondent PASCUCCI") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter "Department") as an individual real estate broker and as the designated broker/officer of Respondent CORPORATION.

V

On or about November 22, 2000, the real estate salesperson license of ANDREW EUGENE TURNAUER, Jr. (hereafter "Respondent TURNAUER") expired and he has renewal rights until November 22, 2002. At no time herein between about November 22, 2000, and January 4 2002, was Respondent TURNAUER licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker or salesperson. At all times herein mentioned, Respondent TURNAUER was associated with or in the employ of Respondent CORPORATION.

VI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CORPORATION engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California, including the business of the soliciting borrowers and lenders or negotiating loans in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation.

VII

During the period from at least November 22, 2000, to about January, 4, 2002, when Respondent TURNAUER's license was expired, Respondent TURNAUER was employed by or associated with Respondent CORPORATION, and performed activities for Respondent CORPORATION for which a real estate license is required, for or in expectation of compensation. Respondent TURNAUAER solicited and/or negotiated loans secured by real property in California, in expectation of compensation from or was paid by or otherwise received compensation from Respondent CORPORATION in various such transactions, including, but not limited to, the following:

Borrower	Property	Application Date
Grace Muchmore	1810 Wedgemont Place	2/19/01
Marc Goodman	14 Rowan Way	2/20/01
Darly J. Eigen	2280 Paradise Drive	5/1/01
Lewisa Goggin	23 Roscoe Street	12/4/01
Bill Jensen	Not stated	1/4/02

#### VIII

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent TURNAUER as alleged above violate Section 10130 of the Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against Respondent TURNAUER pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) and (f) of the Code.

IX

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent CORPORATION, in employing and/or compensating Respondent TURNAUER when Respondent TURNAUER was not duly licensed by the Department, constitute grounds for disciplinary action against Respondent corporation pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

X

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III and IV of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XI

In connection with the loan brokerage business described in Paragraph VI above, Respondent CORPORATION failed to provide the "Borrower Disclosure" statement as required by Section 10240, in conformance with Section 10421 of the Code, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

26 | ///

27 || ///

- 4 .

\*

...

1	Borrower	Property	Application Date
2	Grace Muchmore	1810 Wedgemont Place	2/19/01
3	Marc Goodman	14 Rowan Way	2/20/01
4	Daruly J. Eigen	2280 Paradise Drive	5/1/01
5	James LeClair	600 Plymouth Way	5/31/01
6	Charles Der	17 Wildwood Place	10/9/01
7	Lewisa Goggin	23 Roscoe Street	12/4/01
8	,		
9		XII	
10	The facts al	lleged above are ground	s for the suspension
11	or revocation of Respondent CORPORATION's license under the		
12	provisions of Section 10240 in conjunction with 10177(d) of the		
13	Code.		
14	THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION		
15		XIII	
16	There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate		
17	and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations		
18	contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, IV and VI of the First Cause		
19	of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully		
20	set forth.		
21	xiv		
22	Respondent CORPORATION has failed to maintain on file		
23	with the Real Estate Commissioner a new address for the		
24	principal place of business for its real estate brokerage		
25	activities.		

\_ 5 \_

ΧV

--

Respondent CORPORATION maintains more than one place of business and failed to apply for and procure an additional license for each branch office so maintained by it.

#### XVI

The facts alleged above are a violation of Section 2715 of the Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations (hereafter the "Regulations"), and/or Section 10163 of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent CORPORATION's license under the provisions of Section 10165 and/or Section 10177(d) of the Code.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

#### IIVX

There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, IV and VI of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

#### IIIVX

Within the last three years, during the course of the activities described above, Respondent CORPORATION received and disbursed funds in trust on behalf of others.

#### XIX

On or about February 15, 2002, the Department conducted a survey of the above business activities of Respondent CORPORATION.

XX

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Within the last three years, Respondent deposited the above trust funds into a checking trust bank account at Bank of America in Tiburon, California, bearing account number 10711-09567, in the name of "Ashley McIver, Ltd Investor's Trust Account" (HEREINAFTER "Trust Account 1.")

#### IXX

Respondent CORPORATION permitted Respondent TURNAUER, a person who neither held a California real estate license nor was bonded, to be a signatory on Trust Account 1 in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the Section 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

#### XXIII

There is hereby incorporated in this Fifth, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, III, IV and VI of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

#### XXIV

At all times mentioned above, Respondent PASCUCCI was responsible, as the designated officer of Respondent CORPORATION, for the supervision and control of the activities

conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees. Respondent PASCUCCI failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the mortgage lending and employment activities of Respondent CORPORATION. In particular, Respondent PASCUCCI permitted, ratified, and/or caused the conduct described in the First, Second, Third and Fourth Causes of Accusation above to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps to prevent, including but not limited to, the employment of an unlicensed person to conduct licensed activities, the handling of trust funds by an unlicensed and unbonded person, failure to notify the Department of Real Estate regarding business office locations or obtain branch office licenses, failure to comply with the borrower disclosure statement requirements, and failed to implement policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate Law.

VXX

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent PASCUCCI constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

20 | ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

21 ///

22 | / / /

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | / / /

26 | ///

27 1 //

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California, this Aday of August, 2002.

- 9 -