DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916)227-0789



DEPARTMENT OF REALES

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.) and ALEXANDER EISNER,

Respondents.

DRE No. H-8166 SF

OAH No. 2002100365

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents E &

F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., a corporation, and ALEXANDER EISNER (hereinafter "Respondents"), individually and by and through Thomas C. Lasken, Esq., attorney of record herein for Respondents, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate (herein "the Department"), as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on August 14, 2002 in this matter (herein "the Accusation"):

24

111

///

25

26

27

DRE No. H-8166 SF

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

2. Respondents have received, read and understand the

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department in this proceeding.
- 3. On August 27, 2002, Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents each hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to require the Real Estate Commissioner (herein "the Commissioner") to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

///

26 | ///

22.

DRE No. H-8166 SF

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expediency and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

5. It is understood by the parties that the Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and

- Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

5 ||.///

26 | ///

DRE No. H-8166 SF

7. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, Respondents jointly and severally agree to pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of the California Business and Professions Code, the cost of the audit which resulted in the determination that Respondents committed the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I, below, of the Determination of Issues. The amount of said costs is \$5,979.16.

8. Respondents further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, the findings set forth below in the Determination Of Issues become final, and that the Commissioner may charge said Respondents, jointly and severally, for the costs of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the California Business and Professions Code to determine if the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I, below, of the Determination of Issues have been corrected. The maximum costs of said audit shall not exceed \$6,607.88.

## **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

I

The acts and omissions of Respondent E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. (hereinafter "EFFS") as described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent EFFS under the

DRE No. H-8166 SF

following provisions of the California Business and Professions 1 Code (herein "the Code"): 2 (a) as to Paragraph IX(a) under Section 10145 of the 3 Code and Section 2832(a) of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; 5 (b) as to Paragraph IX(b) under Section 10145 of the 6 Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with 7 Section 10177(d) of the Code; as to Paragraph XI(a) under Section 10234 of the 9 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and 10 as to Paragraph XI(d) under Section 10229(g) of 11 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 12 ΙI 13 The acts and omissions of Respondent ALEXANDER EISNER 14 (hereinafter "EISNER") as described in Paragraph XIV of the 15 Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the 16 licenses and license rights of Respondent ALEXANDER EISNER under 17 Sections 10177(g) and 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of 18 the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 19 ORDER 20 21 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent E Α. 22 & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. under the Real Estate Law are 23 suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective 24 date of the Decision herein; provided, however: 25 /// : 26 27 DRE No. H-8166 SF E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. and ALEXANDER EISNER

1. If Respondent EFFS petitions, ninety (90) days of 1 said ninety (90) day suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be 2 stayed upon condition that: 3 Respondent EFFS pays a monetary penalty pursuant 4 to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each 5 day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$9,000.00. 6 (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 7 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of 8 the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 10 matter. 11 If Respondent EFFS fails to pay the monetary (c) 12 penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the 13 Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, vacate and set 14 aside the stay order, and order the immediate execution of all or 15 any part of the stayed suspension. 16 No final subsequent determination be made, after 17 hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action 18 against Respondent EFFS occurred within two (2) years of the 19 effective date of the Decision herein. Should such a 20 determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her 21 discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the 22 execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which 23 event the Respondent EFFS shall not be entitled to any repayment 24 nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the 25 Department under the terms of this Decision. 26 DRE No. H-8166 SF E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. and ALEXANDER EISNER 6 -

(e) If Respondent EFFS pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision herein, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.

B. Respondent EFFS shall, within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner, pay (jointly and severally with Respondent EISNER) the Commissioner's costs in the amount of \$5,979.16 of the audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code that resulted in the determination that Respondent EFFS committed the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above. If Respondent EFFS fails to pay such cost within the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, any indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.

with Respondent EISNER) the Commissioner's costs, not to exceed \$6,607.88, of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code to determine if Respondent has corrected the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the

DRE No. H-8166 SF

1.5

estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent EFFS shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. If Respondent fails to pay such cost within the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent EFFS under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent EFFS enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, the indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.

ΙI

A. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
ALEXANDER EISNER under the Real Estate Law are indefinitely
suspended until such time as Respondent EISNER provides proof
satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent EISNER has,
within thirty days prior to the effective date of the Decision
herein or any date after said effective date, taken and
successfully completed the trust fund accounting and handling
course specified in paragraph (3), subdivision (a) of Section
10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code. Upon satisfaction
of this condition, the indefinite suspension provided in this
paragraph shall be stayed.

Я

.21

27 | DRE No. H-8166 SF

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent В. 1 EISNER under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of 2 ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision herein; 3 provided, however: If Respondent EISNER petitions, ninety (90) days 1. 5 of said ninety (90) day suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that: (a) Respondent EISNER pays a monetary penalty pursuant 8 to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each 9 day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$9,000.00. 10 (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's 11 check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of 12 the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the 13 Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this 14 matter. 15 If Respondent EISNER fails to pay the monetary 16 penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the 17 Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, vacate and set 18 aside the stay order, and order the immediate execution of all or 19 any part of the stayed suspension. 20 No final subsequent determination be made, after (d) 21 hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action 22 against Respondent EISNER occurred within two (2) years of the 23 effective date of the Decision herein. Should such a 24 determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his or her 25 discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, and order the 27 DRE No. H-8166 SF E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. and ALEXANDER EISNER

execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision.

. 2

К

Я

- (e) If Respondent EISNER pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent EISNER occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision herein, then the stay hereby granted shall become permanent.
- C. Respondent EISNER shall, within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner, pay (jointly and severally with Respondent EFFS) the Commissioner's costs in the amount of \$5,979.16 of the audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code that resulted in the determination that Respondent EFFS committed the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above. If Respondent EISNER fails to pay such cost within the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent EISNER enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, any indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.
- D. Respondent EISNER shall pay (jointly and severally with Respondent EFFS) the Commissioner's costs, not to exceed

  DRE No. H-8166 SF

  E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. and ALEXANDER EISNER

\$6,607.88, of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code to determine if Respondent EFFS has corrected the violations described in Paragraph I of the Determination of Issues, above. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. If Respondent EISNER fails to pay such cost within the 45 days, the Commissioner may in his or her discretion indefinitely suspend all license and licensing rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Upon payment in full, the indefinite suspension provided in this paragraph shall be stayed.

PATED 11 2003

JAME'S L. BEAVER, Counsel Department of Real Estate

22

23

24

25

26

1

3

5

ĸ

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and have discussed its terms with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative

27 DRE No. H-8166 SF

1 Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 2 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, 3 intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the 4 right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in 5 the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to 6 cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 7 defense and mitigation of the charges. 8 E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. Respondent 9 10 ALEXANDER EISNER Designated Officer Broker 11 12 13 Respondent 14 15 I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to 16 form and content and have advised my clients accordingly. 17 18 DATED LASKEN Attorney for Respondents 19 111 20 111 21 /// 22 /// 23 1.11 24 /// 25 /// 26

- 12 -

E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

and ALEXANDER EISNER

27

DRE No. H-8166 SF

> PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate commissioner

DRE No. H-8166 SF

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

NOV 1 3 2002

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., and ALEXANDER EISNER,

Case No. H-8166 SF

OAH No. N-2002100365

Respondents

### NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondents:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at

## OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING, THE ELIHU HARRIS STATE BUILDING, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612

on THURSDAY, JANUARY 23, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: NOVEMBER 13, 2002

AMES L. BEAVER

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel (SBN 60543) Department of Real Estate AUG 1 4 2002 2 P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 -or-(916) 227-0788 (Direct) 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA -10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-8166 SF 12 E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., ACCUSATION and ALEXANDER EISNER, 13 Respondent. 14 15 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 17 Accusation against E & F FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. (herein 18 "EFFI") and ALEXANDER EISNER (herein "EISNER"), is informed and

Ι

The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

24 111

19

20

21

22

23

alleges as follows:

25 111

/// 26

II

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents EFFI and EISNER (herein "Respondents") were and now are licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code").

III

At all times herein mentioned, EFFI was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (herein "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker by and through EISNER as designated officer-broker of EFFI to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, EISNER was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and as designated officer-broker of EFFI. As said designated officer-broker, EISNER was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of EFFI for which a license is required.

V

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this
Accusation to an act or omission of EFFI, such allegation shall
be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees,
agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated

with EFFI committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of such corporate Respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

VI

At all times herein mentioned; Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131(e) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein Respondents arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans, wherein Respondents serviced and collected payments on such loans, and wherein Respondents sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, or exchanged or offered to exchange promissory notes secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property and performed services for the holders thereof, including servicing and collecting payments on such promissory notes.

VII

In so acting as real estate brokers, as described in Paragraph VI, above, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of lenders, investors, borrowers and others in connection with the mortgage

loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph VI, above, and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said trust funds.

#### VIII

The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by
Respondents were deposited or caused to be deposited by
Respondents into one or more bank accounts (herein "trust fund accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds, including but not necessarily limited to:

- (a) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. Trust Account", #0431000814, maintained by Respondents at the Burlingame, California, branch of City National Bank (herein "the CNB branch") (herein "Trust #1");
- (b) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. Trust Account", #0431014530, maintained by Respondents at the CNB branch (herein "Trust #2");
- (c) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. Escrow/Trust
  Account", #0431000792, maintained by Respondents at the CNB
  branch (herein "Trust #3");
- (d) The "French Ranch LLC/E&F Financial Services as TTEE" account, #1212794, maintained by Respondents at the San Rafael, California, branch of Metro Commercial Bank (herein "Trust #4");
- (e) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO Lawrence Ross Construction Account #1112K", #0431015420, maintained by Respondents at the CNB branch (herein "B/A #1");

б

1 (f) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO Lawrence 2 Ross Interest Reserve Account #1112K", #0431015412, (formerly 3 #1550-656877) maintained by Respondents at the CNB branch 4 (herein "B/A #2"); 5 The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO Lawrence 6 Ross Fees Account #1112K", #0431015404, maintained by 7 Respondents at the CNB branch (herein "B/A #3"): 8 The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO Saratoga (h) 9 Palm Development, Inc. Construction Account #1172K", #043110432, 10 maintained by Respondents at the CNB branch (herein "B/A #4"); 11 (i) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO Saratoga 12 Palm Development, Inc. Interest Account #1172K", #043102430, 13 maintained by Respondents at the CNB branch (herein "B/A #5"); 14 The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO Saratoga (i) 15 Palm Development, Inc. Fees Account #1172K", #0431032465, 16 maintained by Respondents at the CNB branch (herein "B/A #6"); 17 and 18 (k) The "E&F Financial Services Inc. FBO French Ranch 19 LLC" account, #1439843, maintained by Respondents at the San 20 Rafael, California, branch of Metro Commercial Bank (herein 21 "B/A #7"). 22 IX 23 Between November 1, 1999 and September 29, 2000, in 24 connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust 25 funds, EFFI: 26 111 27 111

- 5 -

1 Failed to place trust funds entrusted to EFFI into the hands of a principal on whose behalf the funds were received, into a neutral escrow depository, or into a trust fund 3 account in the name of EFFI as trustee at a bank or other financial institution, in conformance with the requirements of 5 Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832(a) of Chapter 6, 7 Title 10, California Code of Regulations (herein "the 8 Regulations"), in that EFFI placed such funds in B/A #1 through 9 #7, inclusive (more particularly identified in subsections (e) through (k), inclusive of Paragraph VIII, above), accounts that 10 11 were not in the name of EFFI as trustee; and 12 Caused, suffered or permitted the balance of funds in Trust #3 to be reduced to an amount which, as of 13 14 March 31, 2000 was approximately \$25,813.67 less than the 15 aggregate liability of EFFI to all owners of such funds, without the prior written consent of the owners of such funds. 16 17 Х 18 At all times mentioned herein EFFI was a real estate 19 broker which: 20 Offered to sell and sold notes subject to Section 21 10229 of the Code secured directly by an interest in the same 22 real property or undivided interests in notes secured directly 23 by real property equivalent to a series transaction; and 24 Was a servicing agent meeting the criteria of paragraph (3) of subdivision (j) of Section 10229 of the Code 26 with respect to notes or interests sold pursuant to Section 27 10229 of the Code.

- 6 -

XI

Between November 1, 1999 and September 29, 2000, in course of the mortgage and multi-lender loan origination, servicing and trust fund handling activities described in Paragraphs VI and X, above, EFFI:

- (a) Failed to cause proper assignments of trust deeds, naming as assignee the purchaser or a nominee other than Respondent, to be executed and recorded with the county recorder of the county in which the real property is located within the time specified in Section 10234 of the Code;
- (b) Failed to comply with Section 10229(e)(1) of the Code, in that EFFI failed, in course of the sale of notes subject to Section 10229 of the Code, to timely obtain the signature of purchasers on the statement described in Section 10229(e) containing the information prescribed thereby, and/or failed to retain on file for a period of four years a true and correct copy of such statement signed by the purchasers;
- (c) Failed, in course of the sale of notes subject to Section 10229 of the Code, to timely advise purchasers of their right to receive a copy of the appraisal or broker's evaluation, as required by Section 10229(g)(3) of the Code; and
- (d) Caused, suffered and permitted the aggregate principal amount of notes sold subject to Section 10229 of the Code to exceed 80% of the current market value of the real property, in violation of Section 10229(g) of the Code.

26 | ///

27 | ///

#### XII

At all times mentioned herein EFFI was a real estate broker meeting the threshold criteria of subdivision (a) of Section 10232 with a fiscal year ending on the 31st day of December each year.

#### IIIX

Between November 1, 1999 and November 13, 2000, in connection with the mortgage loan origination, servicing and trust fund handling activities described in Paragraph VI, above, EFFI failed to file with the Department any trust fund status report required by Section 10232.25(a) covering B/A #1 through #7.

#### XIV

EISNER failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of EFFI in such a manner as to allow the acts and omissions on the part of EFFI described above, to occur.

#### ΧV

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of EFFI under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) As to Paragraph IX(a), under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832(a) of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) As to Paragraph IX(b), under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

27 | 1///

- 8 -

13.

(c) As to Paragraph XI(a), under Section 10234 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; As to Paragraph XI(b), under Section 10229(e)(1) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; As to Paragraph XI(c), under Section 10229(g)(3) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; б As to Paragraph XI(d), under Section 10229(g) of (e) the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and As to Paragraph XIII, under Section 10232.25(a) (f) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. XVI The facts alleged above as to Paragraph XIV of the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of EISNER under Section 10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. /// /// 

9 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

- 10 -