///

JAN 3 1 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-8067 SF

RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY,)

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On August 21, 2002, in Case No. H-8067 SF, a Decision was rendered revoking the corporate real estate broker license of Respondent effective October 7, 2002, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted corporate real estate broker license. A restricted corporate real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on October 7, 2002, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On November 4, 2004, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said corporate real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

- 1 -

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted corporate real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a corporate real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a corporate real estate broker license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED:

JEFF DAVI

Real Estate Commissioner

flag

1

2

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

23

22

24 25

26

27 ///

FEB 0 1 2006

DEPARTMENT OF KEAL ESTATE

By Jean aunt

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-8067 SF

CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND,

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On August 21, 2002, in Case No. H-8067 SF, a Decision was rendered revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent effective October 7, 2002, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on October 7, 2002, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On November 4, 2004, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED:

JEFF DAYI

Real Estate Commissioner

•

. 27

FILED

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-8067 SF

MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE,

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On August 21, 2002, in Case No. H-8067 SF, a Decision was rendered revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent effective October 7, 2002, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on October 7, 2002, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On October 20, 2004, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

1///

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED:	1-20-06

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

24

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone:

(916) 227-0789



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

5

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

.22

23

25

26 27

DRE No. H-8067 SF

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) DRE No. H-8067 SF

RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a Corporation, CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND, and MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE,

Respondents.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents

RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY (herein "RAMC"), and CHRISTIAN.

H. HYGELUND (herein "HYGELUND"), and MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE (herein "RITCHIE") individually and by and through Maxine Monaghan, Esq., attorney of record herein for Respondents, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate (herein "the Department"), as follows for the

purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on March 7, 2002 in this matter (herein "the Accusation"):

- 1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.
- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department in this proceeding.
- 3. On March 25, 2002, Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents each hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to require the Real Estate Commissioner (herein "the Commissioner") to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.

DRE No. H-8067 SF

4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expediency and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.
- 7. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, Respondents jointly and severally

DRE No. H-8067 SF

Я

q

agree to pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of the California
Business and Professions Code, the cost of the audit which
resulted in the determination that Respondents committed the
trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I, below, of the
Determination of Issues. The amount of said costs is \$5,045.04

8. Respondents further understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, the findings set forth below in the Determination Of Issues become final, and that the Commissioner may charge said Respondents, jointly and severally, for the costs of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the California Business and Professions Code to determine if the violations have been corrected. The maximum costs of said audit shall not exceed \$5,045.04.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

I

The acts and omissions of Respondents as described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code (herein "the Code") and/or Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations"):

DRE No. H-8067 SF

(a) As to Respondent RAMC and Paragraphs VI through X(a), inclusive, of the Accusation, under Section 10130 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

(b) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs VI through X(c), inclusive, of the Accusation, under Section 10137 of the Code;

(c) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs XIII and XIV, of the Accusation, under Section 10145 of the Code and Sections 2832(a), 2831.2, and 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent RITCHIE

ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY under the Real Estate Law are revoked;

provided, however, a restricted corporate real estate broker

license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section

10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days

from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this

Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted license

and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee

therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

DRE No. H-8067 SF

Я

1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be

- suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that

 Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate

 Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate

 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondent shall, jointly and severally with the remaining two Respondents, pay the sum of \$5,045.05 as and for the Commissioner's cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent

DRE No. H-8067 SF

R

q

agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondent shall, jointly and severally with the remaining two Respondents, pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost, not to exceed \$5,045.04, for an audit to determine if Respondent has corrected the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I of the Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an

DRE No. H-8067 SF

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted 2 following a hearing held pursuant to this condition. 3 II 4 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents 5 CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND and MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE under the Real ĸ Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to each such Respondent 8 pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code 9 if, within 90 days from the effective date of the Decision 10 entered pursuant to this Order, the Respondent: 11 makes application for the restricted license and (a) 12 pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee 13 therefor, and 14 prior to and as a condition of the issuance of (b) 15 said restricted license, submits proof satisfactory to the 16 Commissioner of having taken and completed at an accredited 17 institution the continuing education course on trust fund 18 accounting and handling specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision 19 (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code. Said 20 course must have been completed within 120 days prior to the 21 issuance of the restricted license. Credit against the continuing 22

agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for

DRE No. H-8067 SF

restricted license.

23

24

25

26

27

RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, et al.

education condition set forth in Paragraph "6" below will be

course during the 120 days prior to the issuance of the

given for completion of this trust fund accounting and handling

The restricted license issued to each such Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to each such Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of the Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to each such Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that the Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 3. Neither Respondent shall be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license or for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, each Respondent shall, jointly and severally with the remaining two Respondents, pay the sum of \$5,045.04 as

DRE No. H-8067 SF

Я

and for the Commissioner's cost of the audit which led to this disciplinary action. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

5. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code, each Respondent shall, jointly and severally with the remaining two Respondents, pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost, not to exceed \$5,045.04, for an audit to determine if Respondent has corrected the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I of the Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such cost within 45 days of receiving an invoice therefor from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time

DRE No. H-8067 SF

spent performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

7. Each Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department, including the payment of the appropriate examination

|| DRE No. H-8067 SF

Я

If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the 1 Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license 2 until Respondent passes/the ekamination. 3 4 JAMES BEAVER, Counsel 5 Department of Real Estate 6 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and have 7 8 discussed its terms with my attorney and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I 9 10 am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative 11 Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 12 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the 13 right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in 14 15 the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to 16 cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in 17 defense and mitigation of the charges. 18 RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT CORPORATION Respondent 19 20 CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND Designated Officer - Broker 21 22 CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND 23 Respondent 24 25 MARK HAIDEN Respondent 26

DRE No. H-8067 SF

27

I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to form and content and have advised my climats accordingly. MAXÍNE MONAGHAN Attorney for Respondents The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by as my Decision in this matter and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on _ OCTOBER 2002. IT IS SO ORDERED MUCAUS 2002. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT

CORPORATION, et al.

DRE No. H-8067 SF

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a Corporation, CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND, and MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE,

C

Case No. H-8067 SF

OAH No. N-2002040245

Respondents

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE, 1515 CLAY STREET, SUITE 206, OAKLAND, CA 94612 on MONDAY, AUGUST 5, 2002, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: APRIL 19, 2002

BS L. BEAVER Counsel

MENT OF REAL ESTATE

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel (SBN 60543) DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 (916) 227-0788 (Direct) DEPARTMENT OF REALE 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No.: H-8067 SF 12 RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT ACCUSATION COMPANY, a Corporation, 13 CHRISTIAN H. HYGELUND, and MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE. 14 Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 17 Accusation against RITCHIE ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY (hereinafter "RAMC"), a corporation, CHRISTIAN B. HYGELUND (hereinafter 19 "HYGELUND") and MARK HAIDEN RITCHIE (hereinafter "RITCHIE"), is 20 informed and alleges as follows: 21 22 23 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 24 Accusation in his official capacity. 25 26 111

27

II

Respondents RAMC, HYGELUND, and RITCHIE (hereinafter "Respondents") are licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "the Code").

III

At all times herein mentioned from and after June 5, 2001, Respondent RAMC was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker. Respondent RAMC was never licensed by the Department as a real estate broker at any time herein mentioned prior to June 5, 2001.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents HYGELUND and RITCHIE were and now are licensed by the Department as real estate brokers.

V

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent RAMC, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent RAMC committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent RAMC and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

///

` || / /

VI

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents leased or rented and offered to lease or rent, and placed for rent, and solicited listings of places for rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents from real property or improvements thereon.

VII

In so acting as real estate brokers, as described in Paragraph VI, above, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of owners and tenants in connection with the leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or improvements thereon, as alleged herein, and thereafter from time to time made disbursements of said funds.

VIII

The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (hereinafter "trust fund accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds, including but not necessarily limited to the

1 following accounts maintained by Respondents at the San Jose, 2 California, branch of Heritage Bank of Commerce: 3 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. Trust Account (a) 4 FBO PAC IBM", Account Number 1513506 (hereinafter "Trust #1"); 5 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. Rental 6 Accounts", Account Number 1569615 (hereinafter "Bank Account 7 #1"); 8 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for (c) 9 Ostrich Inc." account, Account Number 1562727 (hereinafter "Bank 10 Account #2"); 11 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for (d) 12 Ostrich Inc." account, Account Number 1569565 (hereinafter "Bank 13 Account #3"); 14 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for 15 Marten Berry & Nancy Berry TR" account, Account Number 1550466 16 (hereinafter "Bank Account #4"); 17 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for (f) 18 Marten Berry & Nancy Berry TR" account, Account Number 1569599 19 (hereinafter "Bank Account #5"); 20 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for 21 Chinto Partnership" account, Account Number 1565126 (hereinafter 22 "Bank Account #6"); 23 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for (h) 24 Chinto Partnership" account, Account Number 1569557 (hereinafter 25 "Bank Account #7"); and 26 /// 27 ///

1 The "Ritchie Asset Management Co. as Agent for (i) 2 Joao Tang & Pui-Keng Leong" account, Account Number 1571660 3 (hereinafter "Bank Account #8"). 4 IX 5 At all times mentioned herein between on or about 6 June 1, 1999 and on or about March 31, 2001, Respondents RITCHIE 7 and HYGELUND employed RAMC to perform the acts and conduct the 8 property management and trust fund handling activities described 9 above. 10 Х 11 In acting as described in Paragraphs VI through IX, 12 above: 13 (a) Respondent RAMC violated Section 10130 of the 14 Code; 15 (b) Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND willfully 16 caused, suffered and permitted Respondent RAMC to violate 17 Section 10130 of the Code; and 18 Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND violated Section 19 10137 of the Code. 20 XΙ 21 Between on or about April 23, 1999 and on or about 22 May 3, 2000, Respondents employed Teresa Marie Graves 23 ("Graves"), then a licensed real estate salesperson, in 24 connection with Respondents' property management and trust fund 25 handling activities described above, and in the course of such 26 employment, Graves engaged in fraud and/or dishonest dealing 27 within the meaning of Section 10176(i) of the Code and/or

- 5 -

Section 10177(j) of the Code by converting the approximate sum of \$38,812.11 entrusted to RAMC to Graves' own use or benefit or to purposes not authorized by the rightful owners of said funds.

XII

On or about May 3, 2000, RITCHIE and HYGELUND discharged Graves for committing the acts and omissions described in Paragraph XI(b), above, but failed from on or about May 3, 2000 to on or about April 23, 2001 to notify the Commissioner of that fact as required by Section 10178 of the Code.

XIII

Between on or about June 1, 1999 and on or about March 31, 2001, in course of the property management and trust fund handling activities described in Paragraphs VI through VIII, inclusive, above, and in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, Respondents:

(a) Failed to place trust funds entrusted to Respondent RAMC into the hands of a principal on whose behalf the funds were received, into a neutral escrow depository, or into a trust fund account in the name of Respondent RAMC as trustee at a bank or other financial institution, in conformance with the requirements of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832(a) of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the Regulations"), in that Respondents placed such funds in Bank Accounts #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, and #8, accounts that were not in the name of Respondent RAMC as trustee;

1 (b) Failed to reconcile, at least once a month, the 2 balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records with 3 the record of all trust funds received into and disbursed from Bank Account #1 and Trust Account #1; 5 Caused, suffered or permitted the balance of б funds in Bank Account #1 to be reduced to an amount which, as of 7 March 31, 2001, was approximately \$4,321.02 less than the 8 aggregate liability of Respondent RAMC to all owners of such funds, without the prior written consent of the owners of such 10 funds; and 11 (d) Caused, suffered or permitted the balance of 12 funds in Bank Account #2 to be reduced to an amount which, as of 1.3 April 28, 2000, was approximately \$38,812.11 less than the 14 aggregate liability of Respondent RAMC to all owners of such 15 funds, without the prior written consent of the owners of such 16 funds. 17 XIV 18 At all times mentioned herein between on or about 19 June 1, 1999 and on or about March 31, 2001, Respondents RITCHIE 20 and HYGELUND willfully caused, suffered and permitted Respondent 21 RAMC to commit the acts and omissions described in Paragraph 22 XIII, above. 23 XV 24 The facts described in Paragraphs above are grounds 25 for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license 26 rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code 27 and/or the Regulations:

(a) As to Respondent RAMC and Paragraphs VI through X(a), inclusive, above, under Section 10130 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

(b) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and

- (b) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs VI through X(b), inclusive, above, under Section 10130 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs VI through X(c), inclusive, above, under Section 10137 of the Code;
- (d) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs XI and XII, inclusive, above, under Section 10178 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs XIII(a) and XIV, above, under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832(a) of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code:
- (f) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs XIII(b) and XIV, above, under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and
- (g) As to Respondents RITCHIE and HYGELUND and Paragraphs XIII(c), XIII(d) and XIV, above, under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

7 1///

///

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Oakland, California,

this 184 day of January, 2002.