DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0425

APR 2 1 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Kathlow Contraids

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

10

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

12 In the Matter of the Accusation of)

NO. H-7769 SF

CASEY PAIK

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

It is hereby stipulated by and between <u>CASEY PAIK</u>, individually and doing business as NARA GROUP INTERNATIONAL (Respondent), represented by Jee Soo Kim, Attorney at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 8, 1999:

Respondent.

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative

12:

15 | 16 |

20 i

Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On December 6, 1999, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive the right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations set forth in Paragraphs I through III of the Accusation are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in the remainder of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that he will not interpose a defense thereto. This

1 2 Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but to 3 remain silent, and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve 5 as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. 6 Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further 7 evidence to prove said factual allegations.

8

17

18

19

20 -

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

It is understood by the parties that the Real 9 Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as 10 the decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalties and 11 sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of 12 Respondent as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that 13 the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation 14 and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent 15 shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the 16

Respondent has received, read and understands the 7. "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit." Respondent. understands, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner may charge Respondent for the costs of an audit that may be conducted pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and Professions Code. The total costs shall not exceed the sum of \$2,200.00.

Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be

bound by any admissions or waivers made herein.

Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the

In the interests of expedience and economy,

8. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation as to Respondent without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent CASEY PAIK, as stipulated in Paragraphs 4 and 5 above, violate Sections 10085 and 10146 of the California Business and Professions Code (the Code), and Sections 2970 and 2972 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10176(e) and 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

- CASEY PAIK are revoked.
- B. A restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to

 Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if he

 makes application therefor and pays to the Department the

 appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days of
 the effective date of the Decision.

17 :



to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:

- 1) The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2) The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 3) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until two (2) years has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4) Pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and
 Professions Code, Respondent shall pay the Commissioner's
 reasonable costs for an audit as a result of the advance
 fee violations found in the Determination of Issues. In
 calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable

costs, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all Department Audit Section personnel performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondent shall pay such costs within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice for an audit from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The total costs shall not exceed \$2,200.00. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to Respondent pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondent enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition:

Effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that he has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real



24

25

	ogtate ligenge. If Degrandent fails to satisfy the					
1	estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this					
2	condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of					
3	the restricted license until Respondent presents such					
4	evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the					
5	opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative					
6	Procedure Act to present such evidence.					
7 .	March 30, 2000 Midnel Show					
8	DATED DETERE L. JOHNSON Counsel for Complainant					
9	Counsel for Complainant					
10	* * *					
11	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have					
12	discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me					
13	and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am					
14	waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative					
15	Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily					
16	waive those rights, including the right of requiring the					
17	Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a					
18	hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine					
19	witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and					
50	mitigation of the charges.					
21	3/27/2000					
22	DATED CASE PAIK, Respondent					
23						
24	APPROVED AS TO FORM:					
25						
	3/37/2000 TEE COO VIN					
26	DATED JEE SOO KIM					

Counsel for Respondent

DECISION AND ORDER

The	foregoing	Stipulation	and	Agreement	is	hereby
				 		

adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective at

, 2000.

IT IS SO ORDERED _

May 11

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

2000.

12 o'clock noon on

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

MAR - 1 2000

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Matter of the Accusation of)
	Case NoH-7769 SF
CASEY PAIK,	OAH NoN-2000020344

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the De	epartment of Real Estate at
The Office of Administrative Hearings,	the Elihu Harris State
Building, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 206,	Oakland, California 94612
on April 7, 2000	at the hour of 9:00 AM
or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation s hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presid will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.	Office of Administrative Hearings within ton

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: _February 29, 2000

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counsel

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel State Bar No. 66322 Department Of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 NOV 0 8 1999 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of) 12 CASEY PAIK, NO. H-7769 SF 13 ACCUSATION Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 17 Accusation against CASEY PAIK, is informed and alleges as 18 follows: 19 20 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 21 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 22 Accusation in his official capacity and not otherwise. 23 II 24 CASEY PAIK (hereafter Respondent) is presently licensed 25 by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department)

and/or has license rights as a restricted real estate broker,

individually and doing business as NARA GROUP INTERNATIONAL.

26

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and/or borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others, for or in expectation of compensation.

IV

In or about November of 1998, the Department conducted an audit of Respondent's books and records pertaining to the above mortgage loan business for a period from approximately January 1, 1997 to February 27, 1998. In acting as mortgage loan brokers as alleged above, Respondent accepted or received funds in trust from borrowers in connection with the solicitation, negotiation, processing and funding of loans on their behalf.

V

The trust funds accepted or received by Respondent were deposited or caused to be deposited from time to time into an account at Wells Fargo Bank in Oakland, California, Account No. 0500-534987. Said account was not a trust fund account in the name of Respondent as trustee.

VI

In connection with Respondent's mortgage loan business described above, and in advance of any such loans, Respondent

charged and collected a fee for purposes including but not limited to "consulting" fees, deposits, and expenses incurred in obtaining a loan for a borrower, including but not limited to the following:

DATE PAID

July 6, 1998

ADVANCE FEE

\$1,500.00

BORROWER

Rashpal Kaur

27 |

III

VII

In connection with charging the above fees, Respondent utilized a written agreement with the borrowers entitled "Agreement for Financial Services and Irrevocable Pay Order" which provided, inter alia, that the above fees would be nonrefundable in the event the borrower elects not to accept the loan, or the borrower elects to utilize the services of another company.

VIII

The above-described fees are advance fees and trust funds as defined under Sections 10026, 10131.2, and/or 10146 of the Code. Respondent failed to obtain approval from the Department for any and all agreements and related materials used by Respondent prior to soliciting or negotiating with the public regarding the advance fee agreements, and prior to collecting such advance fees.

IX

Upon collection of such fees, Respondent failed to deposit the trust funds into a trust account, and instead deposited some or all of such funds into the account described in Paragraph V above, and commingled said funds with funds belonging to Respondent.

Х

With respect to the transaction with borrower Kaur as alleged in Paragraph VI above, Respondent failed to provide a verified accounting at the conclusion of the contract that contained all of the information required by Section 2972 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereafter the Regulations), and failed to refund the trust funds to the borrower.

XI

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent violate Sections 10085 and 10146 of the Code, and Sections 2970 and 2972 of the Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code. Said acts and/or omissions constitute grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to Sections 10176(e) and 10177(f) of the Code.

IIX

PRIOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Effective April 22, 1993, in Case No. H-6731 SF, the Real Estate Commissioner revoked the real estate broker license of Respondent CASEY PAIK for violation of Section 10137 of the California Business and Professions Code, and Sections 2725 and

2741 of the Regulations, and pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code. WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Oakland, California, this 8th day of November, 1999 . 14