DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Application of)

GREGORY S. KELISKY,

Respondent.

NO. H-7069 SF

H-7699 SF

ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION

On April 12, 2002, an Order Denying Unrestricted License was rendered in the above-entitled matter to become effective June 27, 2002.

On May 21, 2002, Respondent petitioned for reconsideration of the Order of April 12, 2002.

I have given due consideration to the petition of I find no good cause to reconsider the Order of Respondent. April 12, 2002, and reconsideration is hereby denied.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

~REDDISH ZINNEMANN

Real Estate Commissioner

26

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Lathlow Controla

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

One of the Accusation of)

NO. H-7069 SF

GREGORY S. KELISKY,)

NO. H-7699 SF

Respondent.

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On April 12, 2002, an Order Denying Unrestricted License was rendered in the above-entitled matter to become effective on May 28, 2002. On May 21, 2002, Respondent petitioned for reconsideration of the Order Denying Unrestricted License of April 12, 2002.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Order Denying Unrestricted License be stayed for a period of thirty (30) days. The Order Denying Unrestricted License of April 12, 2002, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on June 27, 2002.

DATED: // (2) , 2002

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real/Estate Commissioner

MAY 0 0 2002

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean aunop

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)

GREGORY S. KELISKY,

Respondent.

NO. H-7069 SF H-7699 SF

ORDER DENYING UNRESTRICTED LICENSE

On May 4, 1994, an Order was rendered in Case No.

H-7069 SF denying Respondent's application for a real estate
license, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a
restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real
estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on July 27,
1994. On December 3, 1999, in Case No. H-7206 SF, an Order was
rendered revoking the restricted real estate salesperson license
of Respondent but granting Respondent the right to the issuance
of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted
real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on
January 13, 2000.

On October 22, 2001, Respondent petitioned for the removal of restrictions attaching to his real estate salesperson license.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof.

Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the removal of restrictions on Respondent's real estate salesperson license. Respondent was convicted on May 1, 1981, of a violation of Section 496 of the California Penal Code. On January 10, 1984, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 11351 of the California Health and Safety Code. Respondent was convicted on November 27, 1990, of a violation of Sections 8043 and 8304 of the California Fish and Game Code. Between July 26, 1998 and January 7, 1999, at a time when Respondent's restricted real estate salesperson license was expired, Respondent continued to perform acts for which a real estate license was required. In view of the foregoing history of violations, I am not satisfied that Respondent has demonstrated sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the removal of restrictions on Respondent's real estate salesperson license. Additional time as a restricted licensee without further cause for disciplinary action is needed in order for Respondent to establish that he is sufficiently rehabilitated in order to receive an unrestricted license. ///

27 ///

1

2

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's
2	petition for removal of restrictions on his real estate
3	salesperson license is denied.
4	This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock
5	noon on, 2002.
6 7	DATED: <u>Geril</u> , 2002
8	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
10	
11	Lacela Modern
12	- face request
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
. 26	

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
P. O. Box 187000
Sacramento, CA 95818-7000
Telephone: (916) 227-0789

DEPART

DEC 1 1 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Kathlew Contraras

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

.11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24 25

26

27

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

GREGORY S. KELISKY, NO. H-7699 SF

Respondent.

ORDER SUSPENDING RESTRICTED REAL ESTATE LICENSE

TO: GREGORY S. KELISKY:

On January 13, 2000, a restricted real estate salesperson license was issued by the Department of Real Estate to Respondent on the terms, conditions and restrictions set forth in the Real Estate Commissioner's Decision of December 28, 1999, in Case No. H-7699 SF. This Decision granted the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license subject to the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to enumerated additional terms, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of

said Code. Among those terms, conditions and restrictions, you were required to submit proof of having taken and completed specified continuing education requirements within nine months from the effective date of the Decision. The Commissioner has determined that, as of November 1, 2000, you have failed to satisfy this condition, and as such, you are in violation of Section 10177(k) of the Business and Professions Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED under authority of
Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code of the State
of California that the restricted real estate salesperson license
heretofore issued to Respondent and the exercise of any
privileges thereunder is hereby suspended until such time as you
provide proof satisfactory to the Department of compliance with
the "condition" referred to above, tors pending final determination
made after hearing (see "Hearing Rights" set forth below).

Furthermore, you have no right to renew your restricted license
if this "condition" isn't satisfied by the date your restricteds
license expires.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that sall license certificates and identification cards issued by the Department which are in the possession of Respondent be immediately surrendered by personal delivery or by mailing in the enclosed, self-addressed envelope to:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE ATTN: FLAG SECTION P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

i || ///

27 | ///

•

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

..7

i.

þ

18

22

23

25

HEARING RIGHTS: Pursuant to the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code, you have the right to a hearing to contest the Commissioner's determination that you are in violation of Section 10177(k). If you desire a hearing, you must submit a written request. The request may be in any form, as long as it is in writing and indicates that you want a hearing. Unless a written request for a hearing, signed by or on behalf of you, is delivered or mailed to the Department at:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE ATTN: LEGAL SECTION 2201 Broadway P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

within 20 days after the date that this Order was mailed to or served on you, the Department will not be obligated or required to provide you with a hearing.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

IT IS SO ORDERED

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN
Real Estate Commissioner

1 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

JAN 1 9 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

GREGORY S. KELISKY, MD MORTGAGE DIRECT and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

Respondents.

NO. H-7699 SF

FIRST AMENDED STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT) (as to MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC. and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS)

It is hereby stipulated by and between MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS only, (hereafter Respondents) represented by Lawrence R. Jannuzzi, Tobin & Tobin, Attorneys at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on April 22, 1999, in this matter:

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be FILE NO. H-7699 SF -1-MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On May 20, 1999, Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw their respective Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they each understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense they will each thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby each admit that the factual allegations pertaining to them in Paragraphs I through VI of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations pertaining to them contained in Paragraphs VII through XIV of the Accusation, Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a FILE NO. H-7699 SF -2- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC.,

defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations pertaining to them, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as the decision in this matter as to these Respondents, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as to these Respondents, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall each retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 7. Respondents have received, read and understand the "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit."
 Respondents understand, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost of an audit that may be conducted pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and

Professions Code. The maximum cost of said audit will not exceed \$1,600.00.

The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation as to Respondents without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determinations of issues shall be made:

I

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., as stipulated above violate Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2726 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real estate broker corporation license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code.

ΙI

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real estate broker and officer licenses and FILE NO. H-7699 SF -4-MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC.,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

. 27

license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

3

1

5

б

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORDER

Ţ

- All real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS shall be suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision.
- Said suspensions shall be stayed for a period of one (1) year upon the following terms and conditions:
 - 1. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California;
 - That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondents occurred within one (1) year of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made as to either Respondent, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order as to that Respondent and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension as to that Respondent. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.
 - Pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and Professions Code, Respondents shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost for an audit as a

27

reasonable condition of the restricted licenses herein. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel costs, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's place of work, and per diem. Respondents shall pay such cost within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The total cost shall not exceed \$1,600.00. Both Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of the entire amount of said cost. The Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondents and the Commissioner. The vacation and the set aside of the stay shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Should no order vacating the stay be issued, either in accordance with this condition or condition "2" above, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent in the event of either the passage of one year from the effective date of the Decision, or the payment of the audit cost in full, whichever occurs earlier.

1

Respondents shall pay a fine of \$8,000.00 pursuant to Section 10139.5 of the Business and Professions Code. The fine of \$8,000.00 is the total for both Respondents, and both Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of the entire amount of said fine. Respondents shall pay the sum of \$8,000.00 in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Order in this matter. If Respondents fail to pay said monetary penalty when due, the Commissioner may order the indefinite suspension of Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights. The suspensions shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for such payment. The Commissioner may impose further reasonable disciplinary terms and conditions upon Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as part of any such agreement.

January 14,2000

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counsel for the Complainant

2526

22

23

24

1 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel or understand that I have the right to consult with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and 3 are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 6 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. MD MORTGAGE DIRECT Respondent, 1-11-2000 DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS, President

DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS, Respondent

21

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Attorney for Respondents EUGENE C. PAYNE II

FILE NO. H-7699 SF

-8-

MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

1	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
2	adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock
3	noon on February 9 2000.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED Juneary 18, 2000.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED Munally 8, 2000.
6	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN
7	Real Estate Commissioner
8	
9	Hull Miller
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
ا ۵	

FILE NO. H-7699 SF

27

9- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

JAN 5 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Fathlow Contresas

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

13

14

10

1

3

5

6

8

12 In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-7699 SF

GREGORY S. KELISKY, MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC. and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS,

<u>Respondents.</u>

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

15

ORDER GRANTING ADDITIONAL STAY

On November 8, 1999, a decision by Stipulation and Agreement was rendered in the above-entitled matter as to Respondents MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS only, to become effective on December 6, 1999.

On December 6, 1999, an Order Staying Effective Date was rendered based on the petition of Respondents for reconsideration. The Decision was to become effective on January 5, 1999. For the purpose of additional time to evaluate the petition, a stay for an additional period not to exceed ten days is granted.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the decision as to Respondents MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC. and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS only is stayed for a period of ten (10) days. The decision of November 8, 1999, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on January 14, 2000.

DATED: January 5, 2000.

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

By:

STEVE ELLIS

Regional Manager

DEC 6 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

5

6

1

3

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

GREGORY S. KELISKY,

MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS,

<u>Respondents.</u>

NO. H-7699 SF

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On November 8, 1999, a decision by Stipulation and Agreement was rendered in the above-entitled matter as to Respondents MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS only, to become effective on December 6, 1999.

On December 2, 1999, Respondents petitioned for reconsideration of the decision of November 8, 1999.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the decision as to Respondents MD MORTGAGE DIRECT; INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS only is stayed for a period of thirty (30) days. The decision of November 8, 1999, shall become effective at

Wiend ai

12 o'clock noon on January 5, 2000. 23

25

24

26

27

STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

Real Estate Commissioner Tella

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN

27

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818~7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean Aumor

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)
GREGORY S. KELISKY,

MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS,

Respondents.

NO. H-7699 SF

) <u>STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT</u>)(as to MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC.) and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS)

and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

It is hereby stipulated by and between MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS only, (hereafter Respondents) represented by Lawrence R. Jannuzzi, Tobin & Tobin, Attorneys at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on April 22, 1999, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be FILE NO. H-7699 SF ______ MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC.,

- 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On May 20, 1999, Respondents filed Notices of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw their respective Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they each understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense they will each thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby each admit that the factual allegations pertaining to them in Paragraphs I through VI of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations pertaining to them contained in Paragraphs VII through XIV of the Accusation, Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a FILE NO. H-7699 SF

 -2- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC.,

defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondents choose not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations pertaining to them, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

11.

- Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as the decision in this matter as to these Respondents, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as to these Respondents, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall each retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 7. Respondents have received, read and understand the "Notice Concerning Costs of Subsequent Audit."

 Respondents understand, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner may charge Respondents for the cost of an audit that may be conducted pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and FILE NO. H-7699 SF

 -3- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC.,

Professions Code. The maximum cost of said audit will not exceed \$1,600.00.

8. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and for the purpose of settlement of the pending

Accusation as to Respondents without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determinations of issues shall be made:

I.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent MD MORTGAGE
DIRECT, INC., as stipulated above violate Section 10240 of the
Code and Section 2726 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations
and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real
estate broker corporation license and license rights of
Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10137 and 10177(d)
of the Code.

ΙI

.

ь

^

license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

ORDER

Ŧ

- A. All real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent MD

 MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS shall be

 suspended for a period of ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision.
- B. Said suspensions shall be stayed for a period of one (1) year upon the following terms and conditions:
 - 1. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California;
 - 2. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action against Respondents occurred within one (1) year of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made as to either Respondent, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order as to that Respondent and reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension as to that Respondent. Should no such determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.
 - 3. Pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Business and
 Professions Code, Respondents shall pay the
 Commissioner's reasonable cost for an audit as a result

FILE NO. H-7699 SF ______MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

of the trust fund violations found in the Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel costs, including mileage, time to and from the auditor's place of work, and per diem. Respondents shall pay such cost within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during the audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The total cost shall not exceed \$1,600.00. Both Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of the entire amount of said cost. Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between the Respondents and the Commissioner. The vacation and the set aside of the stay shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondent enters into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment. Should no order vacating the stay be issued, either in accordance with this condition or condition "2" above, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent in the event of either the passage of one year from the effective date of the Decision, or the payment of the audit cost in full, whichever occurs earlier.

FILE NO. H-7699 SF

6- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS 4.

Respondents shall pay a fine of \$8,000.00 pursuant to Section 10139.5 of the Business and Professions Code. The fine of \$8,000.00 is the total for both Respondents, and both Respondents shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of the entire amount of said fine. Respondents shall pay the sum of \$8,000.00 in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. check must be received by the Department prior to the effective date of the Order in this matter. If Respondents fail to pay said monetary penalty when due, the Commissioner may order the indefinite suspension of Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights. The suspensions shall remain in effect until payment is made in full, or until Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for such payment. The Commissioner may impose further reasonable disciplinary terms and conditions upon Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as

23 October 15/1999

part of any such agreement.

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counsel for the Complainant

25

20

21

22

26

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have 1 discussed it with my counsel or understand that I have the right to consult with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and 3 are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am 4 waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative 5 Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 7 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 8 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 9 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 1 0 11 mitigation of the charges. 12 MD MORTGAGE DIRECT 13 Respondent 14 15 By: DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS! 16 17 18 19 DATED DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS, Respondent 20 21 22 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 23 24 25 Attorney for Respondents 26 27

MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

' ⊉resident

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock December 6 noon on 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED _ JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner Al Rhiberton

FILE NO. H-7699 SF

MD MORTGAGE DIRECT; INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS

1 Department of Real Estate P. O. BOX 187000 2 Sacramento, California 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 13

DEC 0 8 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY S. KELISKY.

MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS,

Respondent.

In the Matter of the Application of)

GREGORY S. KELISKY,

Respondent.

NO. H-7699 SF

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT) AS TO GREGORY S. KELISKY

NO. H-7700 SF

It is hereby stipulated by and between GREGORY S. KELISKY only, (hereafter Respondent) represented by Joseph Russoniello, Cooley Godward LLP, Attorneys at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on April 22, 1999, and the Statement of Issues filed on April 22, 1999:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H-7699 SF

-1- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., et al.

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

- All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation and the Statement of Issues, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.
- Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in these proceedings.
- On May 20, 1999, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense in the matter of the Accusation, and on July 30, 1999, he filed a Notice of Defense in the matter of the Statement of Issues herein, pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code, for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation and the Statement of Issues. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notices of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense he will thereby waive his rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation and the Statement of Issues at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to

him in Paragraphs I through VI of the Accusation filed in this
proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner
shall not be required to provide further evidence of such
allegations.

- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations pertaining to him contained in Paragraphs VII through XIV of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that he will not interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations pertaining to him, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.
- 6. In connection with the Statement of Issues,
 Respondent understands that by filing the Statement of Issues the
 Real Estate Commissioner has shifted the burden to him to make a
 satisfactory showing that he meets all requirements for issuance
 of a real estate broker license, and that by entering into this
 stipulation and agreement he stipulates that the Real Estate
 Commissioner has found that he has failed to make such a showing,
 thereby justifying the denial of the issuance to him of a real
 estate broker license.
- 7. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as

the decision in this matter as to this Respondent, thereby denying the application and imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent, and as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as to this Respondent, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation and the Statement of Issues under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

8. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation or statement of isses in these proceedings.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation and Statement of Issues as to Respondent without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determinations of issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent GREGORY S.

KELSIKY as stipulated in Paragraphs 4 and 5 above violate Section

10130 of the Code and constitute grounds for disciplinary action



· 6

21:

against the real estate salesperson license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code.

II

The acts, omissions and/or waivers of Respondent GREGORY S. KELSIKY as stipulated in Paragraphs 4, 5, and 6 above constitute cause for denial of Respondent's application for a real estate broker license under Sections 10177(d) and 10177(f) of the Code.

ORDER

- A. All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent GREGORY S. KELISKY are hereby revoked.
- B. A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if he makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the decision.
- C. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:
 - (1) The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo



contendere to a crime which is substantial related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- De suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (3) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- (4) Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to an new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
 - (a) That the employing broker has read the

 Decision of the Commissioner which granted the

 right to a restricted license; and

(b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.

- (5) Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- (6) Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the

26

restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

D. The application of Respondent GREGORY S. KELISKY for a real estate broker license is hereby denied.

Notember 16, 1999

DATED:

1.3

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counsel for the Complainant

* *

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel or understand that I have the right to consult with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including but not limited to the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges; as well as the right of presenting evidence to prove my qualifications for licensure as to the allegations in the Statement of Issues.



H-7699 SF

-8- MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., et al.

1	DATED: 11 1 QQ
2	
3	GREGORY'S KELISKY Respondent
4	nespondent
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
6	DATED: 11/9/59
7	DATED:
8	J Ph 1
9	JANNUZZI
10	Attorney for Respondent * * *
11	\cdot
12	
13	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
1	
14	adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock
14 15	noon on December 28 , 1999.
•	
15	
15 16	
15 16 17	noon on December 28 , 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED December 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN
15 16 17	noon on December 28 , 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED December 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
15 16 17 18 19	noon on December 28 , 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED December 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
15 16 17 18 19 20	noon on December 28 , 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED December 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
15 16 17 18 19 20	noon on December 28 , 1999. IT IS SO ORDERED December 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED Decode 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner Markhalan
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IT IS SO ORDERED Dec. 12 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner LY: John R. Liberator
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IT IS SO ORDERED Decode 3 , 1999. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner Markhalan

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

001 - 7 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the A	Accusation/Application o	£
GREGORY S.	KELISKY,	

Case No. <u>H-7699 SF/H-7700 SF</u>

OAH No. N-1999060119

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Departs	ment of Real Estate atThe
Office of Administrative Hearings, the Elik	nu Harris State
Building, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 206, Oak	Land, CA 94612
on October 25, 1999 or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Offic (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding a will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.	ce of Administrative Hearings within ten
	•

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: October	6, 1999
----------------	---------

By Stidu Composition Co

OCT - 7 1999

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Athlew Contrera

In the Matter of the Accusation / Application of

GREGORY S. KELISKY,

Case No. <u>H-7699 SF/H-7700 SF</u>

DAH No. ____N-1999060199

Respondent

AMENDED SECOND CONTINUED ^ NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

	You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate	e at <u>The</u>	
	Office of Administrative Hearings, the Elihu Harris S	tate	
•	. D. 17.11	4612	•
or as hear (10)	October 22, 1999 as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you aring, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative D) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge of the presiding adm	object to the pl	lace of

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: October 7, 1999

By DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counsel

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Kath Down Contrald

In the Matter of the Accusation of
GREGORY S. KELISKY,
MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and
DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS,

Case No. <u>H-7699 SF</u>

OAH No. N-1999060119

Respondent

FIRST CONTINUED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

		~									
You d	are herebj	y <i>notified</i> that a	hearing will b	e held bef	ore the l	Departmen	t of Re	al Es	tate at	The	
Off	ice of	Administr	ative He	arings,	the	Elihu	Harr	is	State		
Bui	lding,	1515 Clay	Street,	Suite	206,	Oaklar	nd, C	Α	94612		
on	0	ctober 8,	1999				_ :	at the	hour of	9:00	AM
hearing, yo (10) days a will depriv	ou;must no lifter this n e you of a	otify the presidir otice is served of change in the p	ng administrat on you. Failur place of the he	ve law jude to notify aring.	ge of th the pres	e Office of iding adm	f Admii inistrati	nistra ive la	itive Hear iw judge	ings wi within to	thin ten en days
are not ent yourself w Departmen	itled to th ithout leg t may tak	esent at the hearing appointment of all counsel. If you disciplinary action is notice to you	of an attorney you are not prettion against y	to represer esent in p	nt you a erson n	t public ex or represe	pense.	You cou	are entitl	ed to re ne heari	present
testifying a	ıgainst yo	ent any relevant u. You are entit documents or o	led to the issu	ance of su	bpenas	to compel	the atte	endan	nce of wit	e all wi	tnesses and the
does not pr	oficiently	all be conducted speak the Engli ertified in accor	sh language, y	ou must pro	ovide yo	our own int	erprete	r and	pay his of	r her cos	ss who
					DEPA	ARTMENT	r of R	EAL	ESTATE	3	
Dated	d: Au	gust 10, 1	999		Ву 8	Didu DEIDRE	B)	JOH) NSON		Counsel

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

TE JUN 2 3 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By athleon ontreras

In the Matter of the Accusation of

GREGORY S. KELISKY,
MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., and
DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS,

Respondent

Case No. <u>H-7699 SF</u>
OAH No. <u>N-1999060119</u>

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at ___The

To the above named respondent:

	Office of	Administra	ative He	arings	, the	Elihu Ha	rris	State
	Building,	1515 Clay	Street,	Suite	206,	Oakland,	CA	94612
on		gust 3, 199					, at the	e hour of 9:00 AM
heari (10)	ng, you must noti	ify the presiding tice is served on	administrativ you. Failure	e law judg to notify th	ge of the	served upon y Office of Adr	ou. If y	you object to the place of ative Hearings within ten aw judge within ten days
are n	You may be present of entitled to the	appointment of a	an attorney to	represent	e represe t you at	ented by an att public expens	orney a e. You	t your own expense. You are entitled to represent

are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: ____June_23, 1999

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON

Counse

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel 1 State Bar No. 66322 APR 2 2 1999 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 ean llum . 2 6 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 GREGORY S. KELISKY, NO. H-7699 SF MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., 13 and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS, ACCUSATION 14 Respondents. 15 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of 17 Accusation against GREGORY S. KELISKY, MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC., 18 and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS, is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 20 21 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 22 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 23 Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity and not 24 otherwise. 25 II 26 Respondents GREGORY S. KELISKY, MD MORTGAGE DIRECT,

INC., and DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS are presently licensed and/or have

license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

III

- 22

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MD MORTGAGE DIRECT, INC. (hereafter MD MORTGAGE) was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department) as a real estate broker corporation, with DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS as its designated broker officer.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent DEAN NICHOLAS DELIS (hereafter DELIS) was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department) as an individual real estate broker, and as the designated broker officer of MD MORTGAGE.

V

On or about July 26, 1998, the restricted real estate salesperson license issued to GREGORY S. KELISKY (hereafter KELISKY) expired. At no time herein between about July 26, 1998, and January 7, 1999, was KELISKY licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker or salesperson. On or about January 7, 1999, KELISKY was licensed by the Department as a restricted real estate salesperson.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent MD MORTGAGE engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan

brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and/or borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated on behalf of others, for or in expectation of compensation.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VII

During the period from at least July 26, 1998, to about January 7, 1999, when KELISKY's license was expired, KELISKY was employed or associated with MD MORTGAGE and performed activities for MD MORTGAGE for which a real estate license is required, for or in expectation of compensation. KELISKY solicited and/or negotiated sales and purchases of real property and was paid commissions by MD MORTGAGE in various transactions, including but not limited to the following:

DATE	CLIENT	PROPERTY	COMMISSION
7/31/98	CASALE, et al.	Known to Respondents	\$9,271
8/21/98	TURNER	285 Brook Drive, Boulder Creek CA	\$4,770 ´
9/4/98	NOLL	1926 Ortega Avenue, San Francisco CA	\$2,862
10/9/98	ABELE, et al.	8407 Chenin Blanc Lane, San Jose CA	\$8,235
10/16/98	FEWER	642 Girard Street, San Francisco, CA	\$2,100
10/16/98	SALAZAR	358 Swaps Drive, San Jose, CA	\$3,600
10/23/98	BENSON	1998 Belle Avenue San Carlos, CA	\$7,864
10/30/98	GARATE	1600 Treat Street San Francisco, CA	\$1,980
11/6/98	KORNAROS	866 Morningside Drive Millbrae, CA	\$2,740
11/13/98	ROSAS	801 S. Norfolk San Mateo, CA	\$3,747

DATE	CLIENT	PROPERTY	COMMISSION
11/17/98	THOMPSON	15 Rocca Ct., South San Francisco, CA	\$6,363
11/24/98	MCJUNKIN	460 Distel Drive Los Altos, CA	\$5,381

VIII

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent KELISKY as alleged above violate Section 10130 of the Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against KELISKY pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code.

IX '

The above acts and/or omissions of MD MORTGAGE and DELIS, in employing and/or compensating KELISKY when KELISKY was not duly licensed by the Department, violate Section 10130 of the Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action against MD MORTGAGE and DELIS pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Х

Within the last three years, in connection with the mortgage loan brokerage business as above alleged, Respondent MD MORTGAGE failed to prepare and deliver to borrowers, or cause to be delivered, a written borrower disclosure statement as required by Section 10240 of the Code within three business days after receipt of a completed written loan application, or prior to the borrower becoming obligated on the note, whichever is earlier, and/or failed to retain executed copies of such statements with the records of the company.

Я

_

Respondent MD MORTGAGE failed to have a written broker-salesperson agreement with the real estate salespersons employed by or associated with the company, including but not limited to KELISKY, covering material aspects of the relationship as required by Section 2726 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereafter the Regulations).

XII

As the designated officer of MD MORTGAGE, DELIS failed to supervise the activities conducted on behalf of MD MORTGAGE by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the provisions of the Real Estate Law, and in particular failed to supervise and/or maintain systems for licensing and compensation of agents, and for disclosure statements, such that the above violations occurred.

XIII

The acts and/or omissions of MD MORTGAGE alleged in Paragraphs X and XI above violate Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2726 of the Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.

XIV

The acts and/or omissions of DELIS alleged in Paragraph XII above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

26 | ///

27 | ///

PRIOR PROCEEDINGS

Effective May 4, 1994, in Action No. H-7069 SF, before the State of California Department of Real Estate, the application of KELISKY for a real estate salesperson license was denied, KELISKY was granted the right to a restricted salesperson license, pursuant to Sections 480(a) and 10177(b) of the Business and Professions Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law, including the imposition of a fine of up to \$10,000 pursuant to the provisions of Section 10139.5 of the Code.

Dated at Oakland, California,

this //d/day of April, 1999.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner