1 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
P. O. Box 187000
2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000
3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789
4
5
6
7
8 BEFORE THE

NOV 3 1998

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Kathleen Contraras

### BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-7589 SF

NORMA M. LUCAS,

Respondent.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

14

15

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

13

9

11

It is hereby stipulated by and between NORMA M. LUCAS (Respondent), represented by Charles W. Ashford, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on June 24, 1998, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

\_

---

.



- 3. On July 22, 1998, Respondent filed her Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that she understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense she will hereby waive her right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that she will waive other rights afforded to her in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in Paragraphs I through IV of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations. A true copy of the Accusation is attached hereto as Annex A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 5. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest the allegations in Paragraphs V through X of the Accusation, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, those factual allegations,

17 · 

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) without being admitted or denied, will serve as the basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 6. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 7. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and for the purpose of settlement of the pending

Accusation as to Respondent without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent NORMA M. LUCAS as stipulated above constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the restricted real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), and 10176(i) of the Code.

#### ORDER

- A. All real estate salesperson license(s) and license rights of Respondent NORMA M. LUCAS are hereby revoked.
- 9 B. A new restricted real estate salesperson license shall be
  issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if
  she makes application therefor and pays to the Department the
  appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days of the
  effective date of the decision.
  - C. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:
    - (1) The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of the Decision; provided, however that thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed for a period of two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:
      - (a) Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and,



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
---

(b)	That no final subsequent determination be made,
	after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for
	disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of
	the effective date of this Decision. Should such a
	determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his
	discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and
	reimpose all or a portion of the stayed suspension.
	Should no such determination be made, the stay
	imposed herein shall become permanent.

- (2) As to the remaining thirty days of said suspension, said suspension (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed if Respondent so petitions, upon condition that:
  - (a) Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to

    Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00

    for each day of suspension for a total monetary

    penalty of \$3,000.00.
  - (b) Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
  - (c) No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two

    (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two

8

14 15

16

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

E OF CALIFORNIA

- (2) years of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be made, the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion Should no such of the stayed suspension. determination be made, the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.
- (d) If Respondent fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of the Decision.
- (e) If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate licenses of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted in this subparagraph to Respondent shall become permanent.
- (3) The restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- 9 . 10 . 11 .

- (4) The restricted license may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- (5) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the date of issuance of the restricted license.
- effective date of this decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that she has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license: If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- (7) Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	1
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	<u>}</u>
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

- (8) Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to an new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
  - (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and,
  - (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.

To ber 8, 1998

DEIDRE L. JØHNSON

Counsel for Complainant

\* \* \*

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have consulted with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act,

26

and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the 2 allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have 3 the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present 4 evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. 5 6 7 NORMA M. LUCAS 8 Réspondent 9 10 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 11 12 CHARLES W. ASHFORD/ Attorney for Respondent 13 14 15 16 DECISION AND ORDER 17 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby 18 adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 19 November 23 1998. o'clock noon on 20 IT IS SO ORDERED 21 22 JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner 23 24 25 26 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SEP 2 5 1998 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

		8y Lathleen	mille
In the Matter of the Accusation of	Case No.	\ н-7589 SF	
NORMA M. LUCAS,	Ţ	N-1998080143	
Respondent FIRST	CONTINUED		
NOTICE OF HEARIN	<del></del>	ON	
To the above named respondent:			
You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held	before the Departmen	t of Real Estate at	····
The Office of Administrative He	arings, the E	lihu Harris State	
Building, 1515 Clay Street, Sui	te 206, Oakla	nd, CA 94612	
on October 13, 1998 or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to not will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.  You may be present at the hearing. You have the right are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent in the place of the hearing.  Department may take disciplinary action against you base affidavits, without any notice to you.	Accusation served up judge of the Office of ify the presiding adm to be represented by a esent you at public expresson nor represe	Administrative Hearings with inistrative law judge within ter un attorney at your own expense pense. You are entitled to reponted by counsel at the hearin	ace of in ten days . You resent g, the
You may present any relevant evidence and will testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of production of books, documents or other things by applying	f subpenas to compel	the attendance of witnesses ar	nesses nd the
The hearing shall be conducted in the English languadoes not proficiently speak the English language, you must interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections	t provide your own int	erpreter and pay his or her cost	s who s. The
Dated: September 25, 1998	DEPARTMENT	OF REAL ESTATE	<b>.</b> .
Dated: Deptember 23, 1990	By July	NEO TO WOY	

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE AUG 1 7 1998

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NORMA M. LUCAS,

Case No. H-7589 SF

OAH No. N-1998080143

Respondent

# NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at
Office of Administrative Hearings, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 206,
Oakland, CA 94612
on Thursday, September 24th, 1998, at the hour of 9:00 AM,
or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days
will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.
You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: August 17, 1998

Counsel

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel 1 State Bar No. 66322 Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

F [ L ] [ D ] O [ D ]

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Ex Shelly Ely

BEFORE THE

### DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

NO. H-7589 SF

NORMA M. LUCAS,

ACCUSATION

Respondent.

The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against NORMA M. LUCAS, is informed and alleges as follows:

Ι

Respondent NORMA M. LUCAS (hereafter Respondent), is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

II

The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this



16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity and not otherwise.

III

At all times herein mentioned, NORMA M. LUCAS was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter the Department) as a restricted real estate salesperson.

IV

Beginning at least in September of 1996, Respondent was engaged as a salesperson in the employ of real estate broker Olive Fox, doing business as FOX & FOX PROPERTIES. At all times herein mentioned, Respondent acted in the capacity of a real estate licensee in California within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, wherein Respondent sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers and purchasers of, and negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange of real property.

V

In or about September of 1996, while acting in the capacity of a real estate licensee as alleged above on behalf of FOX & FOX PROPERTIES, Respondent obtained a listing for the sale of residential real property located at 94 Shelbourn Street, Daly City, California (hereafter the Property) for the sellers. In addition to the primary living area of the home, the Property had a downstairs area with a separate living, bath and kitchen area (hereafter the Unit).

27 ///



VI

On or about October 20, 1996, prospective buyers Ihsan and Diane Zghoul (hereafter Buyers) made an offer to purchase the property by and through their real estate broker. Respondent thereafter persuaded Buyers to retain FOX & FOX PROPERTIES as their real estate broker to obtain a lower price, and Buyers agreed. On or about November 12, 1996, Respondent prepared a "contract for the sale and purchase of real property" (hereafter the Offer) on behalf of Buyers, to constitute their offer to purchase the Property. During the negotiations, Buyers informed Respondent that they intended to rent the Unit to help make their house payments, and asked Respondent whether or not it was a legal unit.

VII

The Offer provided at Section 15(E) for disclosure of any "illegal unit", or a unit that may violate zoning ordinances, that may have been built without a building permit, or for which a certificate of final completion and occupancy may not have been issued; and provided warnings to a buyer of potential completion expenses, fines and/or inability to rent such unit. Respondent expressly or impliedly represented to Buyers that Section 15(E) was not applicable to their transaction.

VIII

At or about the time of the Offer, Respondent expressly or impliedly represented to Buyers, and thereafter so advised, promised and assured them throughout the purchase period, that the Unit was legal and that Buyers would be able to rent it to others



should Buyers purchase the Property. In reliance thereon, Buyers made the Offer and purchased the Property, with close of escrow on or about December 20, 1996.

IX

The above representations by Respondent, each time they were made to Buyers prior to close of escrow, were false and untrue and were known, or should have been known, by Respondent to be false and untrue at the times they were made. The true facts were that the Unit was not legal because the permit for its addition did not allow a kitchen; that the permit only allowed a "wet bar" with cold running water, and that the design approved by the permit was materially different from the Unit that had been built; and/or that Respondent made such representations, promises and assurances to Buyers with reckless disregard for whether or not the Unit was legal. At no time prior to close of escrow did Respondent disclose the true facts to Buyers, although she had a fiduciary duty to them to do so.

Х

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), and 10176(i) of the Code.

# PRIOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

Effective March 14, 1995, in Case No. H-7049 SF, the Real Estate Commissioner revoked the real estate salesperson license of Respondent, and granted the right to a restricted real estate salesperson license on terms and conditions, for violation



of Section 10177(j) of the California Business and Professions Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 23 day of June, 1998.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)