MAR 2 3 2001

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean aceman

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA.

Respondent.

No. H-7450 SF

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On July 22, 1997, an Order was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on September 25, 1996, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On November 9, 1999, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license.

On March 2, 2000, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 23152(b) of the Vehicle Code (Blood Alcohol Level of 0.08 or More). Further, on June 28, 2000, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 14601.2a of the Vehicle Code (Driving with Suspended Drivers License).

In response to a question in the petition application, "Have you ever been a defendant in any civil court litigation, including small claims court? If yes, give details below...", Respondent answered "No" and failed to disclose in his petition the following civil court litigation:.

\$4,000 judgment in LG Group, Inc v. Celaya, Santa Clara County Municipal Court No. AS94200396.

Respondent's concealment of facts and lack of candor, demonstrate that Respondent has not changed his attitude from that which existed at the time the disciplinary action was taken in this matter. Consequently, I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive an unrestricted real estate broker license.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement of his real estate broker license is denied.

27 | | / / /

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock April 13 noon on ____, 2001. tepuay 27 DATED: _, 2001 PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner . .6

. 23

- 3 -

Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 (916) 227-0789 Telephone: 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

NO. H-7450 SF

WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA,

OAH NO. N-9704138

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT IN

Respondent.

SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA (hereinafter "CELAYA" or "Respondent"), and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed herein on March 12, 1997 (hereinafter "the Accusation"):

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

27

STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

H-7450 SF

1.11

STIPULATION OF WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in Paragraphs—I-through X of the Accusation are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and

2

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

H-7450 SF

27

sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" set forth below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

Except for causes for accusation pursuant to the provisions of Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) or 10177(h) of the Code arising from a failure by CELAYA to exercise reasonable supervision and control of the activities of Crown Funding, Inc. for which a real estate license is required during the period commencing April 18, 1994 and ending August 1, 1996, the Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, -admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA as described in Paragraphs I through X of the Accusation

are cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CELAYA under Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

ORDER

I

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order (hereinafter "the Decision"), Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate



Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- 5. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent

passes the examination.

6. Any restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.

DATED DATED

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

6-5-97 DATED

WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA
Respondent

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

August 21 , 1997.



IT IS SO ORDERED

JIM ANTT, JR.

Real Estate Commissioner

1997.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

H-7450 SF

STIPULATION OF WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

*

Fauriell Zian

In the Matter of the Accusation of

CROWN FUNDING, INC., a Corporation, and

WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA,

Respondents.

NO. H-7450 SF

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on May 23, 1997, and the findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following: (1) Respondent's express admissions; (2) affidavits; and (3) other evidence.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

On March 12, 1997, Les R. Bettencourt made the Accusation in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and Notice of Defense were mailed, by certified mail, to the last known mailing address of Respondent CROWN FUNDING, INC., a corporation, on file with the Department on March 12, 1997.

On May 23, 1997, no Notice of Defense having been filed herein within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code, the default of Respondent CROWN FUNDING, INC., a corporation (hereinafter "Respondent" or "CFI"), was entered herein.

II

Respondent CFI is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"). At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CFI was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker.

111

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CFI engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of

real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein Respondent arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans.

IV

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent CFI employed Ghanshyam Asnani, also known as Sham Asnani, to perform the acts and conduct the activities described in Paragraph III, above.

V

Between on or about September 1, 1995 and on or about April 15, 1996, in the course of the activities described in Paragraphs III and IV, above, Respondent CFI, acting by and through Ghanshyam Asnani, solicited and obtained applications from Christopher N. Powell and Shandrika W. Powell for two or more loans to be secured by deeds of trust encumbering real property at 580 Primrose Lane, Benicia, Solano County, California, and thereafter solicited lenders for, arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated one or more of such loans.

VI

At no time mentioned herein was Ghanshyam Asnani licensed by the Department as either a real estate salesperson or as a real estate broker.

<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>

I

The acts and omissions of Respondent CFI as described in Paragraphs III through VI, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of all real estate licenses and license rights of Respondent CFI pursuant to the provisions of Section 10137 of the Code.

III.

The standard of proof applied was clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent CROWN FUNDING, INC. under the provisions of Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code are revoked.

July	This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on 16, 1997
	DATED: 6/10/97
	JIM ANTT, JR.
	Real Estate Commissioner
•	(In Turk)

...

.

.

1 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-7450 SF 12 CROWN FUNDING, INC., a Corporation, and **DEFAULT ORDER** 13 WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA, 14 Respondents. 15 16 Respondent, CROWN FUNDING, INC., a Corporation, having 17 failed to file a Notice of Defense within the time required by 18 Section 11506 of the Government Code, is now in default. 19 therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the record in this 20 matter. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED 22 JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner 23 24 By: 25 øteven j. elkis Regional Manager

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

26

MAY 1 2 1997

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

		•
In the Matter of the Accusation of	Case No. H-7450 SF	
CROWN FUNDING, INC., and WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA,	OAH No. N-9704138	
WILLIAM ROMERO CELATA,	OAN No. 11 3701730	
Respondent		
FIRST AM NOTICE OF HEARING		
To the above named respondent:		
You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held be	efore the Department of Real Estate atthe	
Office of Administrative Hearings, W		,
Second Floor, Oakland, CA 94612		_
on Tuesday, June 17th,	1997 , at the hour of 9:00 AM	,
or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the A	Accusation served upon you.	
You may be present at the hearing. You have the right You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent without legal counsel. If you are not present in Department may take disciplinary action against you based affidavits, without any notice to you.	resent you at public expense. You are entitled to represe a person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, t	he
You may present any relevant evidence and will be testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of production of books, documents or other things by applying	subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and t	es he
The hearing shall be conducted in the English language does not proficiently speak the English language, you must approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the I the language in which the witness will testify. You are Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.	at provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English at	be nd
•	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
	\mathcal{T}	
Dated: May 12, 1997	By JAMES L. BEAVER Couns	= sel

F APR 2 1 1997

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTAPE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of												
	FUNDING, AM ROMERO	, INC., and CELAYA										

To the above named respondent:

Case No. H-7450 SF

OAH No. _N-9704138

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at _											t	he												
Office			_	_						_													dw	ay,
Second	Fl	.00	r,	0;	AK:	<u>LA</u>	ND	<u>.</u>	C#	<u>. </u>	9.	46	12		 							· 		
on Thursday, May 29th, 1997 att									at th	ne hour of			9:0	0	AM									
or as soon t																								

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

Dated: April 21, 1997

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEAVER

MES L.

Counsel

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel 1 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 4 5 6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 In the Matter of the Accusation of 10 NO. H-7450 SF 11 CROWN FUNDING, INC., a Corporation, and ACCUSATION 12 WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA, 1.3 Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 17 Accusation against CROWN FUNDING INC. (herein "Respondent CFI") 18 and WILLIAM ROMERO CELAYA (herein "Responent CELAYA"), 19 individually and as designated officer-broker of Respondent CFI, is informed and alleges as follows: 20 21 Ι The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 22 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 23 24 Accusation in his official capacity. 111 25 111 26 27 111

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3.95) 95 28391

II

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents CFI and CELAYA were and now are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "the Code").

TTT

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CFI was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker. At all times herein mentioned to and until August 1, 1996, Respondent CFI was so licensed by and through Respondent CELAYA as designated officer-broker of Respondent CFI to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CELAYA was licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and, to and until August 1, 1996, as designated officer-broker of Respondent CFI. As said designated officer-broker, Respondent CELAYA was, at all times mentioned herein to and until August 1, 1996, responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of Respondent CFI for which a license is required.

///

1//



Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this
Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent CFI, such
allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,
employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or
associated with Respondent CFI committed such act or omission
while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of
Respondent CFI and while acting within the course and scope of
their corporate authority and employment.

V

VT

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CFI engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein Respondent arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans.

VII

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent CFI employed Ghanshyam Asnani, also known as Sham Asnani, to perform the acts and conduct the activities described in Paragraph VI, above.

25 1//

1

2

3

5

6 :

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 ///

27 1//

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-93)

- 3 -

VIII

Between on or about September 1, 1995 and on or about April 15, 1996, in the course of the activities described in Paragraphs VI and VII above, Respondent CFI, acting by and through Ghanshyam Asnani, solicited and obtained applications from Christopher N. Powell and Shandrika W. Powell for two or more loans to be secured by deeds of trust encumbering real property at 580 Primrose Lane, Benicia, Solano County, California, and thereafter solicited lenders for, arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated one or more of such loans.

IX

At no time mentioned herein was Ghanshyam Asnani licensed by the Department as either a real estate salesperson or as a real estate broker.

Χ

Respondent CELAYA failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of Respondent CFI in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described in Paragraphs VII through IX inclusive, above, to occur.

ΧI

The acts and omissions of Respondent CFI as described in Paragraphs VII through IX above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CFI under the provisions of Section 10137 of the Code.

///

26 ///

27 ///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-45) 95. 28391

XΙ

The acts and omissions of Respondent CELAYA as described in Paragraph XI above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CELAYA under Section 10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Francisco, California this 64 day of March, 1997.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3.95)