	FILED
1	ADRIANA Z. BADILAS (SBN 283331)
2	Real EstateFEB 2 0 2024Department of Real EstateFEB 2 0 2024
3	P.O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE By
4	Telephone: (916) 576-8700
5	Fax: (916) 263-3767
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Application of:) No. H-7307 SAC
12	ALICIA CASTRO RAMIREZ,) STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	Respondent.
14	
15	The Complainant, RUTH CORRAL, in her official capacity as a Supervising
16	Special Investigator for the Department of Real Estate ("Department") of the State of California,
17	brings this Statement of Issues against Respondent ALICIA CASTRO RAMIREZ
18	("Respondent"), and is informed and alleges as follows:
19	1
20	Respondent is currently licensed as a real estate salesperson, License No.
21	01199863, whose license became restricted effective October 18, 2023, pursuant to DRE Case
22	No. 3443 FR.
23	2
24	On or about September 16, 2022, Respondent submitted a Mortgage Loan
25	Originator License Endorsement Application ("MLO Endorsement Application") to the
26	Department.
27	///
	- 1 -

 $\sim \dot{z}$

(4)

1	REGULATORY ACTIONS AND GROUND FOR DENIAL
2	A. Department of Financial Protection and Innovation ("DFPI")
3	3
4	On or about March 29, 2021, in Case No. 256226, the California Department of
5	Financial Protection and Innovation ("DFPI") filed an Accusation against Respondent's
6	mortgage loan originator ("MLO") license. The Accusation, incorporated by reference herein,
7	sought to revoke Respondent's MLO license on the grounds that Respondent: (a) knowingly
8	misrepresented information on a loan application; and (b) lacks financial responsibility,
9	character, and general fitness sufficient to meet the California MLO licensing standards.
10	4
11	On or about September 1, 2021, Respondent entered into a Settlement Agreement
12	with DFPI, wherein she agreed to surrender her MLO license pursuant to the terms and
13	conditions referenced in the Settlement Agreement.
14	5
15	As part of the Settlement and Agreement, Respondent stipulated that the
16	Commissioner of the DFPI had sufficient grounds to revoke Respondents MLO license under
17	California Financing Law ("CFL") section 22109.1 and California Residential Mortgage
18	Lending Act ("CRMLA") section 50140, subdivision (a)(3), which read: The Commissioner of
19	the DFPI must deny any application for an MLO license unless he/she finds that "[t]he applicant
20	has demonstrated such financial responsibility, character, and general fitness to command the
21	confidence of the community and to warrant a determination that the mortgage loan originator
22	will operate honestly, fairly, and efficiently within the purpose of [the CFL and the CRMLA]."
23	6
24	Respondent's MLO license was revoked because she failed to demonstrate the
25	financial responsibility, character, and general fitness sufficient for an MLO license under the
26	CFL and the CRMLA in that:
27	///

ai 20

Э¥

1	(a) Respondent arranged for a gift to falsely demonstrate that the borrower had
2	sufficient funds to close:
3	(b) Respondent failed to accurately disclose the relationship with the borrower's
4	gift donor;
5	(c) Respondent knew and failed to disclose that the borrower received an
6	unusually large pay raise less than a month before requesting loan approval;
7	(d) Respondent knew and failed to disclose that the borrower's annual bonus was
8	unusually large and was awarded five months early specifically to bolster
9	borrower's loan application; and
10	(e) Respondent knew and failed to disclose that the loan applicant and
11	Respondent's broker and escrow agent were both employed by the same entity
12	(Kenco), thereby creating a clear conflict of interest due to its financial incentive
13	to misrepresent the borrower's personal finances. This resulted in the actual
14	manipulation of borrower's finances in an attempt to secure loan approval.
15	7
16	The facts alleged above in Paragraphs 3 through 7 constitute grounds for the
17	denial of Respondent's MLO endorsement pursuant to Sections 10166.05(c) (failure to
18	demonstrate financial responsibility, character, and general fitness), 10166.051 (ground for
19	denial), 10177(f) (revocation of license by another state agency), 10177(g) (demonstrated
20	negligence or incompetence), and 10177(j) (fraud or dishonest dealings) of the Code.
21	B. Department of Real Estate
22	8
23	On or about October 28, 2022, the Department filed an Accusation against
24	Respondent, Case No. H-3443 FR, seeking to revoke Respondent's real estate license based on
25	the facts alleged above in Paragraphs 3 through 7.
26	///
27	///
	- 3 -

ar 13

I

÷

1	9
2	On or about July 17, 2023, a hearing was held regarding the Accusation described
3	above in Paragraph 8 before the Office of Administrative Hearings. A Proposed Decision was
4	issued on July 25, 2023, which found the Department had grounds to revoke Respondent's
5	license pursuant to Sections 10177(d), 10177(f), 10177(g), 10177(j), and 10186.2 of the Code.
6	10
7	Effective October 18, 2023, the Department revoked Respondent's real estate
8	salesperson license and granted her the right to a restricted real estate salesperson license for five
9	(5) years.
10	11
11	The facts alleged above in Paragraphs 8 through 10 constitute grounds for the
12	denial of Respondent's MLO endorsement pursuant to Sections 10166.05(c) (failure to
13	demonstrate financial responsibility, character, and general fitness), 10166.051 (ground for
14	denial), 10177(f) (revocation of license by another state agency), 10177(g) (demonstrated
15	negligence or incompetence), and 10177(j) (fraud or dishonest dealings) of the Code, as well as
16	Section2945.2(b), Title10, California Code of Regulations.
17	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that the above-entitled matter be set for
18	hearing and, upon proof of the charges contained herein, that the Commissioner refuse to
19	authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of a real estate salesperson license to
20	Respondent, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of
21	law.
22	
23	RUTH CORRAL
24	Supervising Special Investigator
25	Dated at Sacramento, California
26	this 13th day of February, 2024.
27	
	- 4 -

I

2	
1	DISCOVERY DEMAND
2	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the
3	Department of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set
4	forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of
5	Real Estate may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other
6	sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25	
20	
2.	
	- 5 -