Department of Real Estate 185 Berry Street, Room 3400 San Francisco, CA 94107-1770

(415) 904-5917 Telephone:



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

5

6

8

In the Matter of the Accusation of )

H-7302 SF

ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI and CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC.,

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

Respondents.

15

16

It is hereby stipulated by and between ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI and CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC. (referred to as

17

18

Respondents), and their attorney of record, John J. Dacey, Dacey & Niesar, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L.

19 20 Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for

the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on

21

November 15, 1995, in this matter:

22 23

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents

24

25

at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative

26

27

Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be



submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement.

- 2. Respondents have read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA, and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On December 1, 1995, Respondents filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they will thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in Paragraphs I through III and V of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraphs IV, and VI through XXVI of the Accusation, Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a defense thereto. Respondents stipulate that the Department may issue



findings and determinations of issues that the acts and/or omissions of Respondents as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action as set forth herein. A true copy of the Accusation is attached hereto as Annex A and incorporated herein by reference.

- 6. No additional documentary, testimonial, or other evidence, except that which is necessary to establish Complainant's jurisdiction, shall be required to be presented by Complainant at any hearing in this proceeding in order to prove the Accusation as above stipulated.
- 7. Respondents enter into this stipulation for purposes of this Accusation only, and the execution of this stipulation shall not be construed to be an admission of liability except as may pertain to the grounds for the stipulation, and shall not be construed to be an admission for any purpose whatsoever, pursuant to the provisions of California Evidence Code Section 1152.
- 8. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement as his decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate licenses and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.



## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and/or admissions of Respondents ROBERT GARY

DEMBROWSKI and CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC. as stipulated in

Paragraphs 4 and 5 above constitute grounds for disciplinary

action as follows: as to the First Cause of Action, pursuant to
the provisions of Section 10130 in conjunction with Section

10177(d) of the California Business and Professions Code

(hereafter the Code), and Section 10177(f) of the Code; and as to
the Second Cause of Action, pursuant to the provisions of Section

10086 in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and
Sections 10137, 10177(c) and 10177(f) of the Code:

ΙI

The acts and/or admissions of Respondent ROBERT GARY

DEMBROWSKI as stipulated above as to the Third Cause of Action

constitute grounds for disciplinary action pursuant to the

provisions of Sections 10177(g) and 10177(h) of the Code.

<u>ORDER</u>

A. All real estate licenses and license rights of

Respondents ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI and CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC.

shall be suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of the Decision.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

B. The first thirty (30) days of said suspension as t
each Respondent are stayed for a period of one (1) year on the
condition that no cause for disciplinary action against each
Respondent occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of
the Decision. If the Real Estate Commissioner determines that
further cause for disciplinary action against each Respondent's
license has occurred within one (1) year from the effective date
of the Decision, the stay of suspension hereby granted to that
Respondent, or such portion of the stay as the Real Estate
Commissioner shall deem appropriate, shall be vacated. If no
further cause for disciplinary action occurs within said time
period, the stay hereby granted in this subparagraph B to each
Respondent shall become permanent.

C. If each Respondent petitions the Department in writing pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code, the remaining thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed in whole or in part upon the following conditions:

- pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$100.00 for each day of suspension for a maximum monetary penalty of \$ 3,000.00 as to each Respondent, and a total maximum monetary penalty of \$6,000 for both Respondents.
- (2) Said payment(s) shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check(s) must be delivered to the Department prior

to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.

- (3) No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of each Respondent occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision.
- penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension as to that Respondent, in which event, that Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of the Decision.
- (5) If each Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate licenses of that Respondent occurs within one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted in this subparagraph C. to that Respondent shall become permanent. If the Real Estate Commissioner determines that further cause for disciplinary action against each Respondent's license has occurred within one (1) year from the effective date of the Decision, the stay of suspension hereby granted to that Respondent, or such portion of the

stay as the Real Estate Commissioner shall deem appropriate, shall be vacated. 3

DATED:

Counsel for Complainant

8

2

4

5

6

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DATED:

6-14-96

me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509 and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights, including that right of requiring

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement,

hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses

the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a

have consulted with an attorney, and its terms are understood by

against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of

the charges.

DATED:

ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI

Respondent

CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC.

Respondent

ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI

D. 113 (REV. 3-95)

1	
2	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
3	
4	DATED: 4/1/96 JOHN J. DACEY
5	DACEY & NIESAR / /
6	Attorney for Respondent
7	
8	
9	* * *
10	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement is
11	hereby adopted as my Decision and Order and shall become effective
12	at 12 o'clock noon on September 4th , 1996.
13	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED $\frac{7/29}{}$ , 1996.
15	
16	JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner
17	Medi Iglade Commignationer
18	In touch A
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
ì	

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

## COPY

FJAN 2 6 1996

# BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE PARTMENT OF REAL ESTAT. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By Stada Montiel

Lynda Monfiel

					_
In	the	Matter	of the	Accusation	of
			-,		-,

ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI and CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC.,

Case No. H-7302 SF
OAH No. N 9512237

Respondent

## NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

## To the above named respondent:

	You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at
	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, In the WORLD SAVINGS TOWER,
	1970 Broadway, 2nd Floor, Oakland, CA 94612-3049
on_	Tues. & Weds., June 18 & June 19, 1996 (2 days) at the hour of 9:00 am
or a	s soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.
	Voy may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON,

COPY

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel Department of Real Estate 185 Berry Street, Room 3400 San Francisco, CA 94107-1770 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTA (415) 904-5917 Telephone: 4 5 6 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-7302 SF 12 ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI and, CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC., 13 ACCUSATION Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 16 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of Accusation against ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI and CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC., alleges as follows: FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 20 21 The Complainant, Les R. Bettencourt, a Deputy Real 22 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity and not otherwise. II 25 Respondents CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC. and ROBERT GARY 26 DEMBROWSKI are presently licensed and/or have license rights under

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 6-72)

95 3/780

the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code, hereafter the Code). 3 III 4 CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC. (hereafter CII) was and is 5 licensed by the State of California Department of Real Estate 6 (hereafter Department) as a real estate broker corporation, by and through ROBERT GARY DEMBROWSKI (hereafter DEMBROWSKI) as its designated broker officer. At all times mentioned, DEMBROWSKI was and is licensed as an individual real estate broker, and also licensed as the designated officer of CII. 11. 12 On or about May 15, 1995, Respondent CII purchased 100% 13 of the shares of NORTHCOAST LOAN SERVICES, INC. (hereafter NLS), 14 located in Eureka, California. CII had hired and retained NLS as 15 a mortgage loan broker to service its loans. On or about May 15, 16 1995, CII and DEMBROWSKI learned that NLS did not have a real. 17 estate license from the Department. 18 19 NLS made application to the Department for a real estate broker corporation license on or about June 9, 1995. The 21 application names DEMBROWSKI as its President and proposed designated broker officer. 23 24 At no time herein mentioned was NLS licensed by the 25 Department as a real estate broker corporation. Whenever

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

26

reference is made in an allegation in this pleading to an act or

omission of "NLS", such allegation shall be deemed to mean that

NLS and/or the officers, employees, and agents employed by or associated with NLS committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operation of NLS, and while acting within the course and scope of the authority and employment.

VII

During a period of time commencing at least on or after May 15, 1995, NLS solicited and/or negotiated with investors and/or owners of promissory notes secured directly or indirectly by liens on real property, for the purpose of servicing such loans for or in expectation of compensation, and serviced such loans.

VIII

NLS prepared, caused to be prepared, permitted, authorized and/or offered to investors and/or note owners a written contract for the above loan servicing. With respect to compensation, the contract provides that for an initial set up charge of \$25.00 per loan, and a note collection fee of \$10.00 per account per month, NLS agrees to and does collect all payments on each note, and disburses payments to the beneficiary.

ΙX

The acts, activities and services described in Paragraphs III through VIII above are acts, activities and services requiring a real estate broker license under the provisions of Section 10131(d) of the Code. CII and DEMBROWSKI employed and/or permitted the above acts, activities and services to be performed on NLS's behalf by persons who were not licensed

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72

by the Department either as a real estate broker or salesperson, including but not limited to Karen Mesa and/or Jodie Bubier. 3 CII and DEMBROWSKI employed and/or compensated NLS for engaging in the activities described in Paragraphs III through VIII above with respect to CII loans without first obtaining a real estate broker corporation license for NLS from the Department. XI 10 . The acts and/or omissions of CII and DEMBROWSKI alleged in Paragraph X above constitute grounds for disciplinary action 12 # pursuant to Section 10137 of the Code. 13 ΤŢΧ 14 In the alternative, as the owners and responsible 15 licensed brokers for NLS, Respondents CII and DEMBROWSKI stood in 16 the shoes of NLS, and engaging in the activities described in 17 Paragraphs III through VIII above as NLS without first obtaining a real estate broker corporation license for NLS from the 19 Department. Said acts and/or omissions violate Section 10130 of 20 the Code and constitute grounds for disciplinary action pursuant 21 to Section 10177(d) of the Code. 22 XIII 23 The acts and/or omissions of CII and DEMBROWSKI alleged 24 above would have warranted the denial of an application for a real 25 estate license for violation of Sections 10130 and 10137 of the 26 Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 8-72) Sections 10177(f) of the Code.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

fully set forth at this point.

VIX

X of the First Cause of Action are incorporated herein as though

ΧV

the Department, the Real Estate Commissioner issued an Order to

Desist and Refrain against NLS, the persons named in Paragraph IX

agents, employees, successors and assigns for violation of Section

10130 of the Code as set forth in the First Cause of Action above.

IVX

Risley), a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner using the fictitious

name of "Susan Carlson", made two calls to NLS to inquire about

(hereafter Mesa). Risley learned that NLS was open for business

and was servicing loans secured directly or collaterally by deeds

of trust to real property. Risley requested that an information

packet be mailed to her, asked what the fees for services would

IIVX

charges \$25.00 per loan, and a note collection fee of \$10.00 per

Mesa, on behalf of Respondent, informed Risley that NLS

representative who answered the telephone was Karen Mesa

having some real estate loans serviced by NLS.

be, and asked for references.

On or about July 18, 1995, Maxine Risley (hereafter

The order was served on all named parties on July 6, 1995.

above, the prior owner Raymond John Duff, Jr., and all officers,

All of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through

On or about June 19, 1995, in Case No. H-7256 SF before

2

1

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-5-

account per month to collect all payments on each note, and disburse payments to the beneficiary. On or about July 22, 1995, Risley received an information packet from NLS, including but not limited to two NLS collection or loan servicing contracts containing the compensation terms described above.

#### XVIII

NLS sent to Risley in the above packet a letter of recommendation from CII dated July 19, 1995, signed by DEMBROWSKI as President, purporting to be an independent, satisfied customer of NLS. Risley then telephoned DEMBROWSKI in Southern California, and he purported to confirm that he and his company were independent, satisfied customers. CII and DEMBROWSKI failed to disclose to Risley that: (1) CII was and is the owner of 100% of the shares of NLS; (2) DEMBROWSKI was and is nominated to be the designated broker officer of NLS and was acting as the de facto broker for the company; (3) NLS did not and does not have a real estate license authorizing it to perform the services offered; and (4) NLS was and is under an order to desist and refrain from any and all conduct requiring a real estate license.

#### XIX

CII and DEMBROWSKI failed to disclose the above material information to Risley for the purpose of inducing "Susan Carlson" into agreeing to hire or retain NLS to service "Carlson's" secured real estate loans for the fees and charges claimed in above the collection agreements that would then inure to the benefit of CII and DEMBROWSKI.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO, 113 (REV. 8-72) connived at, or aided in the publication, advertisement,

distribution, or circulation to Risley of material false

under Section 10177(c) of the Code.

statements or representations about NLS by virtue of the acts

CII and DEMBROWSKI constitute grounds for disciplinary action

and/or omissions described above. Said acts and/or omissions of

the above described telephone conversations and mailing are acts,

activities and services requiring a real estate broker license

under the provisions of Section 10131(d) of the Code. CII and

DEMBROWSKI permitted the above acts, activities and services to be

performed on behalf of NLS by Mesa, and so employed Mesa, when she

was not licensed by the Department either as a real estate broker

or salesperson. Said acts and/or omissions of CII and DEMBROWSKI

constitute grounds for disciplinary action under Section 10137 of

CII and DEMBROWSKI knowingly authorized, directed,

The acts, activities and services performed by Mesa in

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19. 20

the Code.

IIXX

above would have warranted the denial of an application for a real

estate license for violation of Sections 10130 and 10137 of the

Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under

Sections 10177(d), 10177(f), and/or 10177(j) of the Code.

The acts and/or omissions of CII and DEMBROWSKI alleged

21

22

26

27

///

///

CALIFORNIA

-7-

### XXIII

CII and DEMBROWSKI were and are persons to whom the above described Order to Desist and Refrain was and is directed, and failed and/or refused to immediately cease the activity OF NLS described in the order upon receipt of the order. Said acts and/or omissions violate Section 10086 of the Code and constitute cause for disciplinary action under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

#### XXIV

All of the allegations contained in the first and second causes of action above are incorporated herein as though fully set forth at this point.

#### VXX

At all times above mentioned, DEMBROWSKI was responsible, as the designated broker officer of CII, and as the de facto broker for NLS, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of NLS by its officers and employees, and failed to so exercise reasonable supervision and control. DEMBROWSKI was negligent and/or incompetent in performing acts for which a real estate license is required, in that he knew or should have known all the facts set forth in the above allegations, and in that he could have and should have taken steps to assure NLS's full compliance with the Real Estate Law and failed to do so. In the alternative, DEMBROWSKI knew or acted with reckless disregard for all the facts set forth in the above allegations, and failed and refused to assure NLS's full compliance with the Real Estate Law.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

#### IVXX

The above acts and/or omissions of DEMBROWSKI constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10177(g), 10177(h), and/or 10177(j) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of the Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

LES R. BETTENCOURT

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Francisco, California

this /4th day of Nivember, 1995.

COURT PAPER