

FILED

JUN 01 2022

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By                     

ADRIANA Z. BADILAS, Counsel (SBN 283331)  
Department of Real Estate  
P. O. Box 137007  
Sacramento, CA 95813-7007  
Telephone: (916) 576-8700 (Legal)  
(916) 263-3767(Fax)

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \*

In the Matter of the Application of

CLAUDIUS RICHARD JOHNSON,

Respondent.

No. H-7124 SAC

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

The Complainant, RUTH CORRAL, acting in her official capacity as a  
Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Statement of Issues  
against CLAUDIUS RICHARD JOHNSON ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:

1

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was and is presently licensed and/or  
has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and  
Professions Code ("Code") by the Department of Real Estate ("Department") as a real estate  
broker; License No. 1510962.

2

On or about June 21, 2021, Respondent made application to the Department for  
an individual Mortgage Loan Originator license endorsement ("MLO endorsement").

///

///

///

1  
2 FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL  
3 **(Conviction of a Crime Involving Fraud, Dishonesty, Breach of Trust and/or Money**  
4 **Laundering)**

5 3

6 Each and every allegation made in Paragraphs 1 through 2, inclusive, is  
7 incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

8 4

9 On or about November 17, 1975, in the Superior Court of California, County of  
10 Alameda, Case No. 59620, Respondent was convicted of violating California Penal Code  
11 Section 211 (second degree robbery – the taking of personal property in the possession of  
12 another, from his or her immediate presence, and against his/her will, accomplished by means of  
13 force or fear). On or about April 20, 1975, Respondent used force and/or the fear of force to rob  
14 David T. of his/her wallet and contents of the wallet, including money.

15 5

16 On or about November 17, 1975, in the Municipal Court for the Berkeley-  
17 Albany Judicial District, County of Alameda, State of California, Case No. 2-059286-2,  
18 Respondent was convicted of violating California Penal Code Section 211. On or about  
19 September 16, 1975, Respondent used force and/or the fear of force to rob Eugene M. of his/her  
20 money.

21 6

22 On or about May 1, 1981, in the Superior Court of the State of California,  
23 County of Alameda, Case No. H-3089, Respondent was convicted of violating California Penal  
24 Code Section 211. On or about October 26, 1981, Respondent used force and/or the fear of  
25 force to rob Shaygan S. of his/her money.

26 7

27 On or about November 26, 1984, in the Superior Court of the State of California,  
County of Alameda, Case No. H-6611, Respondent was convicted of violating California Penal

Code Section 488/666 (petty theft with three priors). On or about September 25, 1984, Respondent unlawfully took the property of Safeway Stores, Inc. of a value less than \$400.

## GROUNDS FOR DENIAL

8

The facts alleged above in the FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL constitute grounds for denial of Respondent's application for an MLO license endorsement pursuant to Sections 10166.05(b)(1) (felony conviction involving fraud, dishonesty, breach of trust, and/or money laundering), in conjunction with Chapter 6, Title 10, of the California Code of Regulations Sections 2945.3 (conviction of a crime).

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that the above-entitled matter be set for hearing and, upon proof of the charges contained herein, that the Commissioner refuse to authorize the issuance of, and deny the issuance of a License Endorsement to Respondent, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under the provisions of law.

RUTH CORRAL  
Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Sacramento, California,  
this 27 day of May, 2022.

## DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, *et seq.* of the *Administrative Procedure Act*, the Department of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the *Administrative Procedure Act*. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.