JUL 2 6 2001

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

NO. H-6998 SF

By Kath Toes Contraras

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On March 8, 1994, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent.

On May 10, 2000, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone

sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson. Respondent has no experience acting in a fiduciary capacity since the effective date of the Decision in this matter. Consequently, Respondent is not able to present any evidence of correction of practices that led to the disciplinary action in this matter. Respondent, therefore, has not demonstrated compliance with Section 2911(j), Title 10, California Code of Regulations.

Further, in response to a question in the petition application, "Have you ever been convicted of any violation of law?", "If yes, complete item 3B below", Respondent answered "Yes" and provided information about the criminal conviction that served as the basis for the disciplinary action in this matter. Respondent failed to disclose in his petition a conviction on or about July 15, 1998, for a violation of Section 23103 of the Vehicle Code and a conviction on or about May 11, 1999, for a violation of Section 12951 of the Vehicle Code. Consequently, Respondent has not presented evidence of compliance with Sections 2911 (j) and (m) of the Regulations.

Finally, Respondent was not scheduled to complete probation on the July 15, 1998, criminal conviction until July 15, 2001. Respondent, therefore, has not demonstrated compliance with Section 2911(d) of the Regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement of his real estate salesperson

license is denied.

This Order shall be effective at 12 o'clock noon on August 15 2001. PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

FILE NO. H-6998 SF

- 3 **-**

SCOTT EVERETT PIPER

JAN 1 6 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,

Respondent.

No. H-6998 SF

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On March 8, 1994, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent

On February 6, 1998, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support. Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license, in that Respondent

has no experience acting in a fiduciary capacity since the effective date of the Decision in this matter. Consequently, Respondent is not able to present any evidence of correction of 3 practices which led to the disciplinary action in this matter. Respondent, therefore, has not demonstrated compliance with Section 2911(j), Title 10, California Code of Regulations. Further, Respondent, while acting as a seller in a real estate transaction, received a \$3,000 deposit made by the buyer, and wrongfully retained the deposit. Based on the above, in my 10 judgment, additional time is required to establish that Respondent 11 is fully rehabilitated. 12 This Order shall be effective at 12 o'clock noon on February 1999. 13 Occamber 14 15 JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner 16 17 18 19 BY: John R. Liberator 20

Chief Deputy Commissioner

21

22

23

24

25

• COPY

The Sold

1	
2	
3	DEBARTMENT OF BEAUTIFUL DEPARTMENT OF BEAUTIFUL DEPART
4	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	By 10taxia Ellon
6	Victoria Diilon
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	· * * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-6998 SF
12	SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,) OAH N 9312002
13))
14	Respondent.)
15	ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE
16	On March 8, 1994, a Decision was rendered in the above-
17	entitled matter to become effective March 30, 1994.
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the
19	Decision of March 8, 1994, is stayed for a period of thirty
20	(30) days.
21	The Decision of March 8, 1994, shall become effective at
22	12 o'clock noon on April 29, 1994.
23	DATED: March 24, 1994.
24	CLARK WALLACE
25	Real Estate Commissioner
26	By: LES R. BETTENCOURT
27	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE	OF	CA	LIFORNIA	M
	*	*	*	Victoria Dillon
In the Matter of the Accusation	on	of)	No. H-6998 SF
SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,))))	OAH N 9312002
Respondent.))	

DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated February 22, 1994, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings is hereby adopted as the decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

The Decision suspends or revokes one or more real estate licenses on grounds of the conviction of a crime and knowingly making a false statement of fact required to be revealed in an application for license.

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license or to the reduction of a suspension is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's Criteria of Rehabilitation are attached hereto for the information of respondent.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon , 19 ⁹⁴ March 30 on IT IS SO ORDERED

CLARK WALLACE

Real Estate Commissioner

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-6998 SF)
OAH NO. N9312002
SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,)

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

Jerry Mitchell, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter on February 10, 1994, at San Francisco, California. Larry A. Alamao, Attorney in Charge, represented the Department of Real Estate. The respondent was present and was represented by James L. Crew, Attorney at Law.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

The accusation herein was made by Les R. Bettencourt in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California.

ΙI

On or about November 5, 1992, respondent Scott Everett Piper was arrested for the crimes of possession of, and attempt to pass, counterfeit money. On December 1, 1992, Felony Complaint No. F1404 was filed in the Justice Court of California, Colusa-Williams Judicial District, County of Colusa, charging him with violating Penal Code section 476 (MAKING, POSSESSING, UTTERING, ETC., FICTITIOUS INSTRUMENTS), a crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate salesperson.

III

On or about December 2, 1992, the Department of Real Estate received respondent's application for a real estate salesperson license.

IV

In response to Question 26 on the application, to wit: "Are there any criminal charges pending against you at this time?", respondent answered "No."

V

On December 31, 1992, a conditional real estate salesperson license was issued to respondent.

VI

On or about March 17, 1993, in said Case No. F1404, respondent was convicted, following his plea of nolo contendere, of violating Penal Code section 648 (MAKING, ISSUING OR CIRCULATING UNAUTHORIZED MONEY), a misdemeanor involving moral turpitude which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate salesperson. The charge of violating Penal Code section 476 was dismissed. He was placed on three years summary probation on various conditions, including 45 days in jail. He is still on probation.

VII

The circumstances of the offense were that on or about November 5, 1992, respondent made photostatic copies of a twenty-dollar bill and attempted to pass one of them.

VIII

There was no mitigation for respondent's offense or his failure to disclose on the application that criminal charges were pending against him.

IX

The evidence did not establish that respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to warrant licensure.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

I

The facts set forth in Finding II and IV constitute cause for denial of respondent's application for a real estate license, under Business and Professions Code sections 498 and 10177(a).

ΙI

The facts set forth in Finding VI constitute cause to

suspend or revoke respondent's license and license rights, under Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 10177(b).

III

All competent evidence of mitigation and rehabilitation having been considered, the following order is determined to be appropriate.

ORDER

The license and license rights of Scott Everett Piper are revoked.

DATED: February 22, 1994

JERRY MITCHELL

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

FIRE

COPY

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,

Respondent

Respondent

CONTINUED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

	You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at
	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, STATE BUILDING,
	455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, ROOM 2248, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
on _	February 10, 1994 (Thursday) (1 hour), at the hour of
or as	s soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

Department of Real ESTATE .

Dated: January 14, 1994

By LARRY & ALAMAO, Counsel

In the Matter of the Assumption of		Ву	By <u>lictaria Della</u> Case No. H-6998 SF Victoria Dillon			
In the Matter of the Accusation of		Case No.	H-6998 SF Vict	oria Dillon		
SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,	}	OAH No.	N 9312002			
P. com and and	J					
Respondent						

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

	You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at
	OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
	455 Golden Gate Ave., Room 2248, San Francisco, CA 94102
on_	January 25, 1994, (Tuesday) (1 hr. hearing), at the hour of 2:00 p.m.
ог а	s soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: December 13, 1993

By Saren a. Alamao, Counsel



Solog Soc

LARRY A. ALAMAO, Counsel
Department of Real Estate
185 Berry Street, Room 3400
San Francisco, California 94107-1770

F NOV 0 3 1993

Telephone: (415) 904-5917

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DEPARTMENT OF RUAL SETATE

Victoria Dillon

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of SCOTT EVERETT PIPER,

is informed and alleges as follows:

No. H- 6998 SF

ACCUSATION

Respondent.

The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against SCOTT EVERETT PIPER (hereinafter "Respondent"),

Ι

Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a real estate salesperson subject to Section 10153.4 of the Code.

II

The Complainant, LES R. BETTENCOURT, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

III

on or about December 31, 1992, following Respondent's application

IV

"Are there any criminal charges pending against you at this

for the crimes of possession and attempt to pass counterfeit

therefor filed on or about December 2, 1992.

time?", Respondent answered "No".

Respondent was issued a real estate salesperson license

In response to Question 26 of said application, to wit:

On or about November 5, 1992, Respondent was arrested

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

money.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER

VI

On or about March 17, 1993, in the Justice Court, Colusa-Williams Judicial District, County of Colusa, State of California, Respondent was convicted of violation of Section 648 of the California Penal Code (Uttering and Passing Counterfeit Paper), a crime involving moral turpitude which is substantially related under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations to the qualifications, functions or duties of a real estate licensee.

VII

Respondent's failure to reveal the criminal charges set forth in Paragraph V above in said application constitutes the procurement of a real estate license by fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit, or by making a material misstatement of fact in said application, which failure is cause for denial of Respondent's

-2-

1: application for a real estate license under Sections 498 and 2 10177(a) of the California Business and Professions Code. VIII 3 The facts alleged in Paragraph VI above constitute cause 4 . 5 under Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Code for suspension or 6; revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law. WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted 8 on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all 10 licenses and license rights of Respondent, under the Real Estate 11 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) 12 and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other 13 provisions of law. 14 15 16 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 17 Dated at San Francisco, California, 18 this / st day of November, 1993 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72

27