|            | · 40 | COPY Hlagtac  |
|------------|------|---|
|            | 1    | _ 7   |
|            | 2    |   |
|            | 3    | DEC 1 1 1995  |
|            | 4    | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE   |
|            | 5    | Viotoria Diala  |
|            | 6    | Victoria Dillon   |
| ·          | 7    |   |
| •          | 8    | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE                              |
|            | 9    | STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |
|            | 10   | * * *   |
|            | 11   | In the Matter of the Accusation of )                              |
|            | 12   | ) No. H-6990 SF<br>CHARLES JEFFREY KRUM, )                        |
|            | 13   | Respondent. )   |
|            | 14   | )   |
|            | 15   |   |
| . <b>-</b> | 16   | ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE                           |
|            | 17 ' | On April 18, 1994, a Decision was rendered herein                 |
|            | 18   | revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent.       |
|            | 19   | On June 15, 1995, Respondent petitioned for                       |
|            | 20   | reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license and the     |
|            | 21   | Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice |
|            | 22   | of the filing of said petition.                                   |
| :          | 23   | I have considered Respondent's petition and the evidence          |
| :          | 24   | and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to  |
| :          | 25   | my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for |
| :          | 26   | the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate         |
| 4          | 27   | ///   |
|            |      |   |

-1-

-----

.

COURT PAPER State of California Std. 113 (Rev. 9-72)

salesperson license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to him.

3 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition
4 for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate salesperson
5 license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the
6 following conditions within six (6) months from the date of this
7 Order:

8 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of 9 the fee for a real estate salesperson license.

Submittal of evidence of having, since the most
 recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license,
 taken and successfully completed the continuing education
 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law
 for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED: JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

|  | τ             | . COPY                                     | shlaqsac<br>X2                            |
|--|---------------|--|---|
|  | 1             |  |   |
|  | 2             |  |   |
|  | 3             |  | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE                 |
|  | 4             |  | 7/  |
|  | 5             |  | By Uctaria Dillon                         |
|  | 6             |  | Victoria Dillon                           |
|  | 7             | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT                      | C OF REAL ESTATE                          |
|  | 8             | STATE OF CAL                               | IFORNIA                                   |
|  | 9             | * * *                                      |   |
|  | 10            | In the Matter of the Accusation of )       |   |
|  | 11            | )<br>CHARLES JEFFERY KRUM                  | NO. H-6990 SF                             |
|  | 12            | )<br>(<br>Respondents.                     | OAH NO. N 9311053                         |
|  | 13            |  |   |
|  | 14<br>15      | ORDER DENYING RECO                         | ONSIDERATION                              |
|  | 16            | On April 18, 1994, a Decis                 | ion was rendered in the above-            |
|  | 17            | entitled matter. The Decision is to        | become effective June 10,                 |
|  | 18            | 1994.                                      |   |
|  | 19            | On May 2, 1994, Respondent                 | petitioned for                            |
|  | 20            | reconsideration of the Decision of $A_{j}$ | pril 18, 1994.                            |
|  | 21            | I have given due considera                 | tion to the petition of                   |
|  | 22            | Respondent. I find no good cause to        |   |
|  | 23            | April 18, 1994, and reconsideration        |   |
|  | 24            | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED                       | 6/7, 1994.                                |
|  | 25            |  | CLARK WALLACE<br>Real Estate Commissioner |
|  | 26            |  | MIDULADO                                  |
|  | 27            | -  | much                                      |
| OURT PAPER<br>TATE OF CALIFOR<br>TD. 113 (REV. | 811A<br>8-72) |  |   |
|  |               |  |   |

| ı        | . Allag And   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4        | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | Viene Cinate  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | By <u>Ictoria Dillon</u><br>Victoria Dillon                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10       | * * *   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-6990 SF                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | CHARLES JEFFERY KRUM, ) OAH N 9311053                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Respondent. )   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | On April 18, 1994, a Decision was rendered in the above-          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16<br>17 | entitled matter to become effective May 12, 1994.                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10       | Decision of April 18, 1994, is stayed for a period of twenty-nine |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | (29) days.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | The Decision of April 18, 1994, shall become effective            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       | at 12 o'clock noon on June 10, 1994.                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | DATED: May 2, 1994.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | CLARK WALLACE   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | Real Estate Commissioner  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | By: LES R. BETTENCOURT  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | Deputy Real Estate Commissioner                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |   |  |  |  |  |  |

.

OURT PAPER TATE OF CALIFORNIA TO, 113 (REV. 8-72)

.

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)

Bγ\_ /ictoria Dillor

No. H-6990 SF

OAH N 9311053

In the Matter of the Accusation of

CHARLES JEFFREY KRUM,

Respondent.

## DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated March 30, 1994, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings is hereby adopted as the decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

The Decision suspends or revokes one or more real estate licenses on grounds of the conviction of a crime and knowingly making a false statement of fact required to be revealed in an application for license.

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license or to the reduction of a suspension is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's <u>Criteria of Rehabilitation</u> are attached hereto for the information of respondent.

|  |     | This | Decision  | shall | become                   | effective                                  | at | 12                   | o'clock                                | noon                     |
|--|-----|------|---|-------|--------------------------|--|----|----------------------|--|--------------------------|
| on                                     | May | 12   | 999 <b>888</b> 1257792222222222222222222222222222222222 | , 199 |                          | and an |    | الله المراجع المراجع | - •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | an fan e Sussey (Sussey) |
| a::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::: |     |      |   |       | the second second second | size (                                     |    |                      |  |                          |

IT IS SO ORDERED

CLARK WALLACE Real Estate Commissioner

1994.

## BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of ) NO. H-6990 SF

CHARLES JEFFREY KRUM,

# OAH NO. N9311053

Respondent.

## PROPOSED DECISION

This matter was heard in San Francisco, California, on March 1, 1994, by Jerry Mitchell, Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California. The Department of Real Estate was represented by Larry A. Alamao, Counsel. The respondent was present and represented by David A. Boone, Attorney at Law.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

## Ι

The accusation herein was made by Norman G. Catalano in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California.

#### ΙI

Respondent submitted an application, dated January 30, 1993, for a real estate salesperson's license. The applied-for license was issued on February 19, 1993.

#### III

In response to Question 25 on his application, to wit: "Have you ever been convicted of any violation of law?", and the instruction in Question 27 to provide specified information about each violation, respondent answered "Yes" and provided the information that on July 31, 1985, he had been convicted of drunk driving. He failed to disclose the fact that he had been convicted of violation of Section 488 of the Penal Code as described below.

#### IV

On or about March 6, 1990, in the Municipal Court of California, Santa Clara County Judicial District, respondent was convicted upon his plea of guilty of violation of Section 488 of the Penal Code (Theft), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a substantial relationship to the qualifications, functions or duties of a real estate salesperson. He was placed on one year's court probation on conditions that included 75 hours of community service.

V

According to respondent, the circumstances resulting in his conviction of Section 488 were that after inadvertently taking a wallet from a store without paying for it, he returned to the store and tried to exchange the wallet for cash as if he had purchased it.

## VI

Respondent attributes his violation of Section 488 to depression and poor judgement resulting from alcoholism.

### VII

Respondent claims that he failed to disclose his conviction for violation of Section 488 because he forgot about it. It seems unlikely that he would forget a conviction for which he was required to perform 75 hours of community service. His explanation is therefore rejected and it is found that he knowingly failed to disclose the conviction on his application.

#### VIII

Respondent testified on his own behalf but did not establish that he is sufficiently rehabilitated at this time to warrant his continued licensure.

## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Ι

The facts set forth in Findings III, IV and VII constitute cause under Sections 498 and 10177(a) of the Business and Professions Code to suspend or revoke respondent's license.

#### ΙI

In making the following order, due consideration has been given to all competent evidence of mitigation and rehabilitation.

ORDER

The real estate salesperson's license heretofore issued to respondent Charles Jeffery Krum is hereby revoked.

Dated: March 30, 1994

munc JERRY MITCHELL

Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Hearings





BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE DEC 1 3 1993

In the Matter of the Accusation of

CHARLES JEFFERY KRUM,

By Aprila Montiel

OAH No. N 9311053

Case No. H-6990 SF

Respondent

# NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

# To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at \_\_\_\_\_

| OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS,   | STATE BUILDING,                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 2248,   | San Francisco, CA 94102           |
| on Tuesday, March 1, 1994<br>or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accu | (1 hour), at the hour of 1:30 pm, |

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter. The interpreter must be approved by the Administrative Law Judge conducting the hearing as someone who is proficient in both English and the language in which the witness will testify. You are required to pay the costs of the interpreter unless the Administrative Law Judge directs otherwise.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: December 13, 1993

ounsel

|    | COPY 22.  |
|----|---|
| 1  | LARRY A. ALAMAO, Counsel<br>Department of Real Estate               |
| 2  | 185 Berry Street, Room 3400<br>San Francisco, California 94107-1770 |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | Telephone: (415) 904-5917 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE                 |
| 5  | liata in Soll.  |
| 6  | Victoria Dillon   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE                                |
| 9  | STATE OF CALIFORNIA   |
| 10 | * * *   |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation of )                                |
| 12 | ) NO. H-6990 SF<br>CHARLES JEFFERY KRUM,                            |
| 13 | ) <u>ACCUSATION</u>   |
| 14 | Respondent. )   |
| 15 | /   |
| 16 | The Complainant, NORMAN G. CATALANO, a Deputy Real                  |
| 17 | Estate Commissioner of the State of California for cause of         |
| 18 | Accusation against CHARLES JEFFERY KRUM (hereinafter "Respondent")  |
| 19 | is informed and alleges as follows:                                 |
| 20 | I   |
| 21 | The Complainant, NORMAN G. CATALANO, a Deputy Real                  |
| 22 | Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this          |
| 23 | Accusation in his official capacity and not otherwise.              |
| 24 | II  |
| 25 | Respondent was issued a real estate salesperson license             |
| 26 | on or about February 16, 1993, following Respondent's application   |
| 27 | therefor filed on or about February 2, 1993.                        |
| R  |   |

- 1 -

COURT PAPER

l III 2 In response to Question 25 of said application, to wit: 3 "Have you ever been convicted of any violation of law?", 4 Respondent answered "Yes" and disclosed that on or about July 31, 5 1985, Respondent had been convicted of drunk driving. 6 ΙV 7 On or about March 6, 1990, in the Municipal Court, State 8 of California, County of Santa Clara Judicial District, Respondent 9 was convicted of violation of Section 488 of the California Penal 10 Code (Theft), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a 11 substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California 12 Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions or duties of 13 a real estate licensee. 14 77 15 Respondent's failure to reveal the conviction set forth 16 in Paragraph IV above in said application constitutes the 17 procurement of a real estate license by fraud, misrepresentation, 18 or deceit, or by making a material misstatement of fact in said 19 application. 20 VΙ 21 The facts alleged above constitute cause under Sections 22 498 and 10177(a) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of 23 all licenses and license rights of respondent under the Real 24 Estate Law. 25 111 26 111 27 111 TATE OF CALIFORNIA TD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

5 34769

OURT PAPER

2 -

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted 1 on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, 2 a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all 3 licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate 4 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), 5 and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other 6 provisions of law. 7 8 "talano 9 10 NORMAN G. CATALANŐ Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 11 12 Dated at San Francisco, California, 13 this 20th Atember day of N \_\_\_\_, 1993. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 : 22 23 24 25 26 27 OURT PAPER ITATE OF CALIFORNIA ITD. 113 (REV. 8-72) 3 -