1 2 3 4 5 6	ADRIANA Z. BADILAS, Counsel (SBN 283331) Bureau of Real Estate P. O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 Fax: (916) 263-3767 Telephone: (916) 263-8672 -or- (916) 263-8675 (Direct) FILE D NOV 2.1 2017 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE By COUR
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	* * * In the Matter of the Accusation of:
12) No. H-6570 SAC JAMES KUYKENDALL,
13	PARADIGM PROPERTIES, and) <u>ACCUSATION</u> PROPERTY MANAGEMENT)
14	SERVICES, INC.,
15	Respondents.
16	
17	The Complainant, TRICIA PARKHURST, in her official capacity as a Supervising
18	Special Investigator of the State of California, Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau"), brings this
19	Accusation against JAMES KUYKENDALL ("KUYKENDALL") and PARADIGM
20	PROPERTIES and PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("PARADIGM")
21	(collectively "Respondents"), and is informed and alleges as follows:
22	1
23	PARADIGM is presently licensed by the Bureau and/or has license rights under the
24	Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"), as
25	a corporate real estate broker.
26	///
27	<i>///</i>
- 1	

KUYKENDALL is presently licensed by the Bureau and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code, as a real estate broker.

Starting on or about March 20, 2014, KUYKRNDALL became the designated officer for PARADIGM and has been the designated officer thereafter.

At all times relevant herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate licensees within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code including the operation and conduct of a property management business with the public, wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents leased or rented or offered to lease or rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents from real property or improvements thereon.

Whenever reference is made in this Accusation to an act or omission of PARADIGM, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with PARADIGM committed such act or omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operations of PARADIGM and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

Beginning on or about April 19, 2017, and continuing intermittently through April 27, 2017, an audit was conducted of the real estate business activities of PARADIGM, located at 1924 Springs Road, Vallejo, CA 94591. The auditor examined the business records of PARADIGM for the period of June 1, 2014, through March 31, 2017, ("the audit period").

///

1///

1

2

While engaging in the real estate activities described in Paragraph 4, above, and within the audit period, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust ("trust funds") and deposited or caused the trust funds to be deposited into a bank account maintained as follows:

Trust Account #1

Bank Name:

Bank of the West

4300 Sonoma Blvd., Vallejo, CA 64589

Account Name:

Paradigm Properties & Property Management Services, Inc.

DBA Paradime Property Management Client Trust Account

Account No.:

Last 4 Digits: 2845

Description:

Handle property management activities related to

approximately 100 owners.

8

In the course of the real estate activities described in Paragraph 4, above, and during the audit period, it was discovered:

- (a) As of December 31, 2016, Trust Account #1 contained a shortage of \$120,403.12, in violation of Section 10145 of the Code;
- (b) Respondents failed to obtain written permission from owners of trust funds in Trust Account #1 to allow the balance to drop below accountability, in violation of Section 2832.1, Title 10, of the California Code of Regulations ("Regulations");
- (c) Respondents failed to maintain monthly reconciliations of the separate beneficiary records and control records for Trust Account #1, in violation of Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; and
- (d) KUYKENDALL, as the designated officer of Paradigm, failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the handling of trust funds by PARADIGM, in violation of Section 10159.2 of the Code, and Section 2725 of the Regulations.

1///

26

27

GROUNDS FOR DISCIPLINE

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents, as described above in Paragraph 8(a) through 8(c), are grounds for the discipline of Respondents' real estate licenses under Sections 10145 (trust fund handling) and 10177(d) (willfully disregarding of violating real estate law) of the Code, in conjunction with Sections 2831.2 (trust account reconciliation) and 2832.1 (trust account accountability and balance) of the Regulations.

The acts and/or omissions of KUYKENDALL, as described above in Paragraph 8(d), further constitute grounds for the discipline of her real estate license under Section 10159.2 (broker supervision) of the Code, in conjunction with Sections 2725 (broker supervision) of the Regulations.

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION AS TO KUYKENDALL

On or about September 20, 1990, an Accusation was filed against the real estate broker license of KUYKENDALL, in Bureau Case No. H-2628 SAC, alleging grounds for the revocation and/or suspension of KUYKENDALL's real estate broker license pursuant to Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code, in conjunction with Sections 2830, 2831, 2831.2, 2832, 2832.1 of the Regulations.

On or about May 6, 1991, a Decision was rendered against KUYKENDALL, which became effective on June 10, 1991, wherein it was found KUYKENDAL violated the Code and Regulation sections outlined above in Paragraph 11. KUYKENDALL's real estate broker license was revoked; however, a one-year restricted real estate broker license was issued to KUYKENDALL pursuant to the terms and conditions outlined in the Decision.

///

27 | ///

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

Effective on or about December 4, 1996, the Commissioner entered an Order Granting Reinstatement of License to KUYKENDALL.

AUDIT COSTS

14

The acts and/or omissions of Respondents as alleged above, entitle the Bureau to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) (audit costs for trust fund violations) of the Code.

COST OF INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT

15

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Sacramento, California,

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the
Bureau of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the
Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau of Real Estate may result
in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of
Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.