-3			
1	KATHY YI, Counsel (SBN 236736) Department of Real Estate		
2	320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 JUN 28 2022		
3	Telephone: (213) 576-6982		
4	Direct: (213) 576-6940 Attorney for Complainant By		
5			
6			
7			
8			
	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-05295 SD		
12	DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>		
14	Respondent.)		
16	The Complement Verenies Vilnetriels a Supervising Special Investigator for		
17	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator for		
18	the Department of Real Estate ("Department" or "DRE") of the State of California, for cause o		
19	Accusation against DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI ("Respondent") alleges as follows:		
20	1.		
21	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of th		
22	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.		
23	2.		
24	All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions		
25	Code, all references to the "Real Estate Law" are to Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code, and all		

references to "Regulations" are to the Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner, Title 10,

Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

26

1	<u>LICENSE HISTORY</u>			
2	3.			
3	a. Respondent DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI ("Respondent") is currently			
4	licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code,			
5	as a real estate broker, DRE License ID 01017739.			
6	b. Respondent's broker license is set to expire on April 27, 2023, unless			
7	renewed.			
8	c. Respondent was first licensed as a real estate salesperson from			
9	approximately February 17, 1989 until Respondent became licensed as a real estate broker on			
10	April 28, 2007.			
11	d. According to DRE records to date, Respondent's mailing address is 2055			
12	Hamner Avenue, Norco, California 92860.			
13	e. According to DRE records to date, Respondent has no branch offices under			
14	her DRE license.			
15	f. According to DRE records to date, Respondent has no affiliated licensed			
16	corporations under her DRE license.			
17	g. According to DRE records to date, Respondent has the following active			
18	DBAs under her DRE license:			
19	(1) Century 21 Gold, active as of June 24, 2010;			
20	(2) Hidden Valley Escrow A Non-Independent Broker Escrow, active as			
21	of October 30, 2013;			
22	(3) Century 21 Old Tyme, active as of May 31, 2016;			
23	(4) Gold Property Management, active as of April 17, 2018; and			
24	(5) Gold Real Estate, active as of January 15, 2021.			
25	h. According to DRE records to date, Respondent has the following two (2)			
26	broker associates under her DRE license:			
27	(1) Marcie Lynn Campbell, a licensed real estate salesperson, DRE			

1	License ID 01152980, whose license is set to expire on February 18, 2025; and
2	(2) Rosemary Anne Foker, a licensed real estate broker, DRE License
3	ID 00639404, whose license expired on June 30, 2020 and has licensing renewal rights for two
4	(2) years thereafter.
5	i. According to DRE records to date, Respondent has twenty-five (25) licensed
6	salesperson employees under her DRE license. One of these salespersons employees includes
7	Shelly Ann Chudzicki-Nagel, a.k.a. "Shelly Ann Chudzicki" and "Shelly Chudzicki." DRE
8	License ID 01371410, whose license is set to expire March 10, 2023.
9	BROKER ACTIVITIES
10	4.
11	At all times relevant herein, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the
12	capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker, when she engaged, for a
13	compensation or in expectation of a compensation, in the following activities:
14	a. Selling and offering to sell, buying or offering to buy, soliciting prospective
15	sellers or buyers of, soliciting or obtaining listings of, or negotiating the purchase, sale, or
16	exchange of real property or a business opportunity, within the meaning of section 10131(a) of
17	the Code, and performing non-independent broker escrow services in connection with said
18	activities; and
19	b. Performing the rental and collection of rents for real property on behalf of
20	others, within the meaning of section 10131(b) of the Code.
21	CAUSE FOR ACCUSATION
22	(AUDIT NOS. SD 210016 AND SD210017)
23	5.
24 -	On or about December 7, 2021, the Department held an entrance conference for
25	an audit examination of the books and records of Respondent DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI
26	("Respondent"). The audit examination was performed intermittently to February 8, 2022.
27	The audit was limited to Respondent's broker escrow activities (Audit No. SD210016) and

1	property management activities (Audit No. SD210017), which require a real estate license			
2	pursuant to Code section 10131(b). The audit examination covered the period of time from			
3	September 1, 2020 to October 29, 2021. The primary purpose of the examination was to			
4	determine whether Re	espondent conducted real estate activities in accordance with the Real		
5	Estate Law and the C	ommissioner's Regulations. The audit examination revealed numerous		
6	violations of the Code	e and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more		
7	fully discussed in the	consolidated Audit Report Nos. SD210016 and SD210017 and the		
8	exhibits and work pap	pers attached to said audit report.		
9	6.			
10	Based on the examination of records provided for the audit examination and			
11	discussions with Respondent, Respondent maintained one (1) trust fund bank account ("T/A 1")			
12	at Citizens Business I	Bank for handling the receipts and disbursements of trust funds in		
13	connection with the b	roker escrow activities during the audit period, and one (1) bank account		
14	("B/A 1") at Wells Fargo Bank for handling the receipts and disbursements of trust funds in			
15	connection with the property management activities during the audit period:			
16		Trust Fund Bank Account ("T/A 1")		
17	Bank:	Citizens Business Bank		
18	Bank Address:	225 West Sixth Street, Corona, CA 92882		
19	Account Name:	Donna Lynn Chudzicki		
20	DBA Hidden Valley Escrow A Non-Independent Broker Escrow			
21		Escrow Trust Account		
22	Account Number:	xxxxxx7234		
23	Signatory:	Donna Lynn Chudzicki (REB ID No. 01017739)		
24	Signatures required:	One (1) signature		
25	Purpose:	The trust fund bank account, T/A 1, for multiple beneficiaries, was		

27

maintained for handling the receipts and disbursements of trust funds in

connection with the broker escrow activity during the audit period.

1 Bank Account ("B/A 1") 2 Bank: Wells Fargo Bank 3 Bank Address: 140 Hidden Valley Pkwy., Suite G, Norco, CA 92860 4 Account Name: Gold Property Management 5 Account Number: xxxxxx0762 6 Signatories: Donna Lynn Chudzicki (REB ID No. 01017739) 7 Shelly Chudzicki (REB ID No. 01371410) 8 Signatures required: One (1) signature 9 Purpose: The bank account, B/A 1, for multiple beneficiaries, was maintained for 10 handling the receipts and disbursements of trust funds in connection with 11 the property management activities during the audit period. 12 Violations of the Real Estate Law 7 13 14 The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as 15 set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in the consolidated Audit Report 16 Nos. SD210016 and SD210017 and the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report. 17 8 18 Issue 1. Trust Fund Handling for Multiple Beneficiaries (Code section 19 10145 and Regulations 2832.1, 2950(g), and 2951). 20 a. T/A 1 as of October 29, 2021: 21 During the audit period, T/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund 22 receipts and disbursements in connection with the broker escrow activities. Bank reconciliation 23 for T/A 1 was prepared with the cut-off date of October 29, 2021. The adjusted bank balance 24 was compared to the total balances of the separate records (accountability), as follows: 25 Adjusted bank balance: \$ 52,957.11 26 Accountability: \$ 53,301.51

<344.40>

Trust fund shortage:

As of October 29, 2021, there was a minimum shortage of <\$344.40> in T/A 1. The cause for the trust fund shortage was negative escrow balances. Said negative balances or shortages were caused by disbursements related to escrows when there were no sufficient funds to do so. Some of the examples include the following:

Escrow No.	Negative Balance Amount
17251-AG	<\$0.40>
17312-AG	<\$45.00>
17387-AG	<\$45.00>
17399-AG	<\$100.00>
17393-AG	<\$99.00>
17397-AG	<\$30.00>

On November 19, 2021 and December 14, 2021, Respondent issued seven (7) checks from the general bank account for Hidden Valley Escrow, A Non Independent Broker Escrow (Citizens Business Bank, account no. xxxxx7242), and deposited the seven (7) checks into T/A 1 to cure the total trust fund shortage in T/A 1.

Respondent provided no evidence that the owners of the trust funds had given their written consent to allow Respondent to reduce the balance of the funds in T/A 1 to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust fund liabilities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1, 2950(g), and 2951.

b. B/A 1 as of October 29, 2021:

During the audit period, B/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with property management activities. Bank reconciliation for B/A 1 was prepared with a October 29, 2021 cut-off date. The adjusted bank balance was compared to the total balances of the separate records (accountability), as follows:

\$ 116,456.37

Adjusted bank balance:

Accountability: \$ 152,181.42

Trust fund shortage: \$ <35,725.05>

 As of October 29, 2021, there was a minimum shortage of <\$35,725.05> in B/A

1. The causes for the trust fund shortage were negative property balance and an unidentified shortage of \$35,065.05. Said negative property balance or shortages was caused by

disbursements related to the property located at 1967 S. Belle Avenue for the property owner,

Nancie Smith Pepin Family Trust, when there were no sufficient funds to do so. The cause of the unidentified shortage of \$35,065.05 could not be identified.

Respondent provided no evidence that the owners of the trust funds had given their written consent to allow Respondent to reduce the balance of the funds in B/A 1 to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust fund liabilities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1.

c. **B/A 1 as of December 30, 2020**:

During the audit period, B/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with property management activities. Bank reconciliation for B/A 1 was prepared with the cut-off date of December 31, 2020. The adjusted bank balance was compared to the total balances of the separate records (accountability), as follows:

Adjusted bank balance: \$ 105.586.16

Accountability: \$ 141,311.21

Trust fund shortage: \$ <35,725.05>

As of December 30, 2020, there was a minimum shortage of <\$35,725.05> in B/A 1. The causes for the trust fund shortage were the negative property balance and an unidentified shortage of \$35,065.05. Said negative property balance or shortages was caused by disbursements related to the property located at 1967 S. Belle Avenue for the property owner, Nancie Smith Pepin Family Trust, when there were no sufficient funds to do so. The cause of the unidentified shortage of \$35,065.05 could not be identified.

On February 2, 2022, Respondent issued two (2) checks from her real estate sales commission bank account for Gold Real Estate (Wells Fargo Bank, account no.

xxxxxx6830) and deposited the two (2) checks, check no. 1170 for \$35,065.05 and check no. 1171 for \$660, totaling \$35,725.05, into B/A 1 to cure the trust fund shortage in B/A 1.

Respondent provided no evidence that the owners of the trust funds had given their written consent to allow Respondent to reduce the balance of the funds in B/A 1 to an amount less than the existing aggregate trust fund liabilities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1.

<u>Issue 2. Trust Fund Records to be Maintained / When Broker Handles</u> <u>Escrow (Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831, 2950(d), and 2951)</u>.

a. <u>Trust Fund Records to be maintained when broker handles escrow</u>: During the audit period, T/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with the broker escrow activities. Respondent failed to maintain a complete and accurate records of all trust funds received and disbursed (control records) for T/A 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d), and 2951.

Respondent deposited some receipts into T/A 1 in 2014 but did not record them on the control record (receipts report) of T/A 1. Therefore, the control record of T/A 1 did not have accurate daily balances during the audit period and as of the audit cut-off date of October 29, 2021. Some of the examples include, but are not limited to:

Date of deposit:	Amount:	Escrow No.:
03/27/2014	\$5,000.00	14114-MV
05/27/2014	\$1,067.16	14111-MV
07/07/2014	\$ 615.00	14126-MV

b. <u>Trust Fund Records to be maintained</u>: During the audit period, B/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with the property management activities. Respondent failed to maintain a complete and accurate records of all trust funds received and disbursed (control records) for B/A 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2381.

|| ///

4 5

deposited, from whom the trust funds were received, and the daily balance. Some of the examples include, but are not limited to:

Date received: Date deposited: Amount: Tenant: Property:

Date received:	Date deposited:	Amount:	Tenant:	Property:
10/02/2020	10/02/020	\$1,800.00	C.M.	1002 Redwood Ct.
06/07/2021	06/07/2021	\$1,450.00	M.B.	10563 Latour Ln.
07/02/2021	07/02/2021	\$2,300.00	R.D.L.	5090 Viceroy Ave.
10/04/2021	10/04/2021	\$1,815.00	M.S.	341 Andes Way

Respondent failed to record in the control records the date that trust funds were

Issue 3. Separate Record for Each Beneficiary of Transaction / When Broker Handles Escrow (Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1, 2950(d), and 2951).

handles escrow. During the audit period, T/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with the broker escrow activities. Respondent failed to maintain a complete and/or accurate separate records for each beneficiary or transaction for T/A 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1, 2950(d), and 2951.

Most of the separate records sampled for examination by the Department's auditor showed that only the net amount between the rents received and deposited into B/A 1 and the owners' proceeds disbursed from B/A 1 were recorded on the separate records, which did not affect the running balance on the separate records. However, the actual rent received and deposited into B/A 1 and the owners' proceeds disbursed from B/A 1 should have been recorded on the separate records.

Some receipts were deposited into T/A 1 for year 2014 but were not recorded on the separate records of T/A 1, resulting in separate records not have accurate running balances during the audit period and as of the audit cut-off date of October 29, 2021. Some of the examples include, but are not limited to:

///

27 | | ///

1	Date of dep	osit: Amount:	Escrow No.:
2	03/27/2014	\$5,000.00	14114-MV
3	05/28/2014	\$1,979.41	14113-MV
4	07/07/2014	\$ 615.00	14126-MV
5	b. <u>Separa</u>	te record for each bene	eficiary of transaction
6	period, B/A 1 was used by	Respondent to handle to	rust fund receipts and

b. Separate record for each beneficiary of transaction: During the audit period, B/A 1 was used by Respondent to handle trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with the property management activities. Respondent failed to maintain a complete and/or accurate separate records for each beneficiary or transaction for B/A 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.1. Some receipts were deposited into B/A 1 but were not recorded on the separate records of B/A 1. Some of the examples include, but are not limited to:

Date of deposit:	Amount:	Property:
10/05/2020	\$2,400.00	1030 Morning Sun Ln.
07/02/2021	\$2,600.00	2948 Sierra Ave.
10/05/2021	\$2,350.00	7795 Corte Castillo

In addition, some disbursements were disbursed from B/A 1, but were not recorded on the separate records of B/A 1. Some of the examples include, but are not limited to:

<u>Date</u> :	Amount:	Property:
10/02/2020	\$2,256.00	1030 Morning Sun Ln.
04/02/2021	\$2,162.00	19538 Brisbane Dr.
07/08/2021	\$2,254.00	5106 Trail Canyon

Issue 4. Trust Account Reconciliation / When Broker Handles Escrow (Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2, 2950(d), and 2951).

a. <u>Trust Account Reconciliation when broker handles escrow</u>. During the audit period, Respondent failed to perform and maintain an accurate monthly reconciliation comparing the balance of all the separate beneficiary or transaction records (separate records) to

the balance of all trust funds received and disbursed (control records) for T/A 1 as required in connection with the broker escrow activities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2, 2950(d), and 2951.

b. <u>Trust Account Reconciliation</u>. During the audit period, Respondent failed to perform and maintain an accurate monthly reconciliation comparing the balance of all the separate beneficiary or transaction records (separate records) to the balance of all trust funds received and disbursed (control records) for B/A 1 as required in connection with the property management activities, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2.

Issue 5. Trust Fund Handling / Trust Account Designation (Code section 10145(a) and Regulation 2832). Based on an examination of the most recent bank signature card/addendum to certificate of authority dated July 6, 2018 maintained at Wells Fargo Bank for B/A 1, which was used for handling of receipts and disbursements of trust funds in connection with Respondent's property management activities during the audit period, B/A 1 was not designated as a trust account, in violation of Code section 10145(a) and Regulation 2832.

Issue 6. Commingling – Personal Funds Deposited into B/A 1 (Code sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832). During the audit period, Respondent owned a property located at 10563 Latour Lane in Jurupa Valley, California ("Latour Lane property"). Based on an examination of records, Respondent commingled personal funds with trust funds by depositing rents collected on the Latour Lane property into B/A 1, which was used for handling the receipts and disbursements of trust funds in connection with Respondent's property management activities, in violation of Code sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832. Some examples of rents collected on the Latour Lane property that were deposited into B/A 1 are:

///

Date of deposit:	Amount:
04/05/2021	\$1,450.00
06/07/2021	\$1,450.00
08/17/2021	\$1,595.00
10/14/2021	\$1.595.00

Issue 7. Notification of Escrow Activities (Code sections 10141.6). Based on an examination records and discussions with Respondent, Respondent closed about thirty-seven (37) escrow transactions and the dollar volume of escrowed transactions was about \$14.2 million in the 2020 calendar year. Therefore, Respondent met the escrow threshold for the calendar year of 2020 when she conducted five (5) or more escrow transactions and the dollar volume of escrowed transactions exceeded \$1,000,000 in the 2020 calendar year. Some of the examples include:

Escrow No.:	<u>Date Escrow Closed</u> :
17360-AG	10/08/2020
17364-AG	11/13/2020
17-366-AG	12/18/2020

However, Respondent failed to submit to the Department the Escrow Activity Report (RE 890) which was due within sixty (60) days after the end of the 2020 calendar year, in violation of Code section 10141.6. Instead, Respondent submitted the Escrow Activity Report (RE 890) for the 2020 calendar year to the Department on November 24, 2021.

<u>Issue 8. Failure to Disclose Interest in the Agency Holding the Escrow</u> — <u>When Broker Handles Escrow (Regulation 2950(h))</u>. Based on an examination of records and discussions with Respondent, Respondent failed to advise all parties in writing that she has a financial interest as the owner of the agency holding the escrow in all of the escrow files sampled for examination, in violation of Regulation 2950(h). Some of the examples include: Escrow Nos. 17360-AG, 17364-AG, 17367-AG, 17375-AG, 17405-SH, and 17-410-SH.

3

5

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

27 |

///

///

Issue 9. Broker Supervision (Code section 10177(h), (d), and/or (g) and

Regulation 2725). Respondent failed to exercise adequate supervision and control over her broker escrow and property management activities conducted by her licensees and employees and failed to establish policies, rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect, and manage transactions requiring a real estate license and the handling of trust funds to ensure compliance with the Real Estate Law, in violation of Regulation 2725.

Some of the examples of Respondent's failure to adequately supervise as a broker include:

- Failure to maintain complete and accurate control records, separate records, and trust account reconciliations for her broker escrow and property management activities;
- Failure to designate B/A 1 as a trust account during the audit period;
- Commingling personal funds with trust funds by depositing rents collected on broker-owned property located at 10563 Latour Lane in Jurupa Valley into B/A 1;
- Failure to submit to the Department the 2020 calendar year Escrow
 Activity Report (RE 890) which was due within sixty (60) days after the
 end of 2020 calendar year;
- Mishandling of trust funds and reducing the balance of trust funds in T/A 1 as of October 29, 2021 and in B/A 1 as of October 29, 2021 and as of December 31, 2020, to an amount less than the aggregate trust fund liabilities to all the owners of the funds without their written consent. There was a shortage in T/A 1 of <\$344,40> as of October 29, 2021. There was a shortage in B/A 1 of <\$35,725.05> as of October 29, 2021 and as of December 21, 2020.

1	Said conduct, acts, or omissions constitute cause to suspend or revoke the real		
2	estate license and license rights of Respondent pursuant to Code section 10177(h), (d), and/or		
3	(g).		
4		9.	
5	The conduct	of Respondent described in Paragraph 8 above, violated the Code	
6	and Regulations as set forth below:		
7	<u>Issue</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED	
8	la	Code section 10145 and Regulations 2832.1, 2950(g), and 2951	
9	1b	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1	
10	1c	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2832.1	
11	2a	Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831, 2950(d), and 2951	
12	2b	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831	
13	3a	Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831.1, 2950(d), and 2951	
14	3b	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2381.1	
15	4a	Code section 10145 and Regulations 2831.2, 2950(d), and 2951'	
16	4b	Code section 10145 and Regulation 2831.2	
17	5	Code section 10145(a) and Regulation 2832	
18	6	Code sections 10145 and 10176(e) and Regulation 2832	
19	7	Code section 10141.6	
20	8	Regulation 2950(h)	
21	9	Code sections 10177(h), 10177(d), and/or (g) and Regulation	
22		2725	
23	Each of the	foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or	
24	revocation of the real estate license and/or license rights of Respondents under the provisions of		
25	Code sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g).		
26	///		
27	///		
		ACCUSATION OF DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI	
	I	Acceptation of Donata Linn Chopsen	

COSTS (AUDIT COSTS)

10.

Code section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, that the Real Estate

Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the costs of any audit if the Commissioner has found in a final decision, following a disciplinary hearing, that the broker has violated Section 10145 of the Code or a regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said Code section.

(INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS)

11.

Section 10106 of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and/or license rights of Respondent DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Dated at San Diego, California this 28 day of June , 2022

Veronica Kilpatrick

Veronica Kilpatrick Supervising Special Investigator

cc:

DONNA LYNN CHUDZICKI Veronica Kilpatrick Sacto. Audits – Zaky Wanis