

JAN 12 2022 DEPT. OF REAL ESTATE By

DIANE LEE, Counsel (SBN 247222) Department of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013

Telephone:

(213) 576-6982

(Direct)

(213) 576-6907

6

5

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

111

///

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-05261 SD
MARTA QUIROZ JAVIER,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
Respondent.)
)

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California for cause of Accusation against MARTA QUIROZ JAVIER ("Respondent") alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Maria Suarez, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code as a real estate salesperson (license no. 01809390).

- 1 -

(CRIMINAL CONVICTION)

3.

On or about August 27, 2013, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, case no. SCN293983, Respondent was convicted of California Penal Code section 550(b)(1) (presenting an insurance claim with false facts), a misdemeanor. In part, Respondent was sentenced to serve three (3) years of probation, perform fifty (50) hours of volunteer work, and pay various fines and fees. This crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee under Section 2910, Title 10, Chapter 6, California

Code of Regulations.

10

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(FAILURE TO REPORT CONVICTION)

4.

5.

12

13

14

As of on or about August 1, 2021, Respondent had failed to report the convictions, as described in Paragraph 3, above, to the Department of Real Estate.

15

16

17

18

Respondent's failure to report the conviction, as described in described in Paragraph 3, above, constitutes cause under California Business and Professions Code section 10186.2 for the suspension or revocation of the license and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

20

19

(FAILURE TO REVEAL CONVICTION)

6.

22

23

24

25

21

On or about June 13, 2019, Respondent filed a Salesperson Renewal Application. In response to Question 13 of her license renewal application, to wit: "WITHIN THE SIX-YEAR PERIOD PRIOR TO FILING THIS APPLICATION, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED (SEE PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 1) OF ANY VIOLATION OF THE LAW AT THE MISDEMEANOR OR FELONY LEVEL? IF YES, COMPLETE ITEM 19

26 27

WITH INFORMATION ON EACH CONVICTION," Respondent answered, "No," and failed to reveal the conviction described in Paragraph 3, above.

7.

In aggravation, on or about July 11, 2015, Respondent filed a Salesperson Renewal Application. In response to Question 13 of her license renewal application, to wit: "WITHIN THE SIX-YEAR PERIOD PRIOR TO FILING THIS APPLICATION, HAVE YOU EVER BEEN CONVICTED (SEE PARAGRAPH ON PAGE 1) OF ANY VIOLATION OF THE LAW AT THE MISDEMEANOR OR FELONY LEVEL? IF YES, COMPLETE ITEM 19 WITH INFORMATION ON EACH CONVICTION," Respondent answered, "No," and failed to reveal the conviction described in Paragraph 3, above.

8.

Respondent's failure to reveal the conviction on her 2019 license renewal application constitutes knowingly making a false statement of material facts required to be revealed in said application, which is grounds for denial of the issuance of a license under California Business and Professions Code sections 475(a)(1), 480(d), and 10177(a).

9.

These proceedings are brought under the provisions of Section 10100, Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code of the State of California and Sections 11500 through 11528 of the California Government Code.

(COSTS)

10.

California Business and Professions Code section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and/or license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code) of Respondent MARTA QUIROZ JAVIER for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under applicable provisions of law. January 10, 2022 . Dated at Los Angeles, California: Supervising Special Investigator MARTA QUIROZ JAVIER cc: New Palace Realty & Investments, Inc. Veronica Kilpatrick Maria Suarez Sacto.