

Kevin H. Sun, Counsel (SBN 276539)
Department of Real Estate
320 West 4th Street, Suite 350
Los Angeles, California 90013-1105
Telephone: (213) 576-6982
Fax: (213) 576-6917

JUN - 3 2019

By CORREAL ESTATE

Email: Kevin.Sun@dre.ca.gov

Attorney for Complainant

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

* * *

In the Matter of the Accusation of)	No. H- 05066 SD
EAGLE ESTATES INC and DUSTIN ANDREW BRADLEY, individually and as designated officer of Eagle Estates Inc.))	ACCUSATION
Respondents.) _) _)	

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against EAGLE ESTATES INC and DUSTIN ANDREW BRADLEY (collectively "Respondents") alleges as follows:

1.

The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.

2.

All references to the "Code" are to the California Business and Professions Code and all references to "Regulations" are to Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations.

26 ///

27 | //

ACCUSATION

LICENSE HISTORY

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(EAGLE ESTATES, INC.)

3.

(a) Respondent EAGLE ESTATES INC ("EEI") is presently licensed and/or has
license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and
Professions Code, as a real estate corporation, Department of Real Estate ¹ ("Department")
license ID 00746788.

- (b) The Department originally issued Respondent EEI's corporate license on August 15, 1979. EEI's license is scheduled to expire on March 26, 2022, unless renewed.
- (c) According to the Department's records to date, Respondent EEI's main office address is 10175 Rancho Carmel Drive, #124, in San Diego, California.
- (d) According to the Department's records to date, Respondent EEI currently employs one (1) salesperson under its real estate broker license.
- (e) According to the Department's records to date, Respondent EEI maintains the authorized fictitious business names "Era Eagle Estates Inc" and "Era Eagle Estates Realty."

(DUSTIN ANDREW BRADLEY)

4.

- (a) Respondent BRADLEY was licensed under the Code, as a real estate broker, Department license ID 01290229.
- (b) The Department originally issued BRADLEY's broker license on June 8, 2000. BRADLEY's license expired on February 16, 2019, with rights to renewal pursuant to Code Section 10201.
- (c) Respondent DUSTIN ANDREW BRADLEY ("BRADLEY") is the designated officer for Respondent EEI. His designation is scheduled to expire on March 26,

ACCUSATION

¹ Between July 1, 2013 and July 1, 2018, the Department of Real Estate operated as the Bureau of Real Estate under the Department of Consumer Affairs.

2022, unless renewed. As designated officer, Respondent BRADLEY is responsible for the supervision of the activities conducted on behalf of Respondent EEI by its officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code.

(PRIOR DISCIPLINE)

5.

- (a) On August 2, 2006 in case no. H-03470 SD, a Stipulation and Agreement was entered into between the Department and Respondents, effective October 25, 2006, issuing suspensions of 150 days (stayed for 2 years) for violation of Code sections 10145, 10161.8, 10163, 10165, 10176(e), and 10177(d), and Regulations sections 2752, 2831, 2831.2, 2832, and 2950(h).
- (b) On April 11, 2013 in case no. H-04301 SD, a Stipulation and Agreement was entered into between the Department and Respondent EEI, effective August 13, 2013, issuing suspensions of 60 days (stayed for 1 year) for violation of Code sections 10145, 10177(d), and 10177(g), and Regulations sections 2831, 2831.2, and 2832.

6.

- (a) At all times relevant herein Respondents were engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate corporation, within the meaning of Section 10131(b) of the Code. Respondents' activities included the leasing or renting of real property and the collection of rents and security deposits for real property on behalf of others for compensation or in expectation of compensation. Respondents also conducted broker escrow activities.
- (b) In addition, Respondents conducted broker-controlled escrows through their escrow division under the exemption set forth in California Financial Code section 17006(a)(4) for real estate brokers performing escrows incidental to a real estate transaction where the broker is a party and where the broker is performing acts for which a real estate license is required.

///

 $\| \cdot \|$

б

(AUDIT SD160004 - PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES)

7.

On or about April 27, 2017, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of Respondent EEI pertaining to the real estate activities described in Paragraph 6 above. The audit examination covered the period of time from November 1, 2013, through September 30, 2016 ("audit period"). The primary purpose of the examination was to determine Respondent's compliance with the Real Estate Law. This audit was limited to Respondent EEI's property management activity. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit No. SD160004 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.

8.

According to Respondent BRADLEY and the documents examined, Respondent EEI managed approximately twenty six (26) properties for twenty six (26) property owners. Respondent EEI handled one (1) trust fund account from January 2013 through September 2016 for their property management activities for multiple beneficiaries. Respondent EEI collected rent receipts in the amount of approximately \$623,137.00 during the period between October 1, 2015 to September 30, 2016.

9.

In addition, Respondents were engaged in broker escrow activities during the audit period. Respondents also handled a trust fund for their broker escrow activities for multiple beneficiaries. This audit, however, was limited to Respondent's property management activities during audit period.

10.

An exit conference was held on April 26, 2017, with Respondent BRADLEY at Respondent EEI's office located at 10175 Rancho Carmel Drive, #124, San Diego, CA 92128.

1 The auditor discussed the audit findings and methods of correction with Respondent 2 BRADLEY. 3 11. 4 At all times mentioned herein, and in connection with the property management 5 activities described in Paragraph 6, above, Respondent EEI accepted or received funds, 6 including funds in trust ("trust funds") from or on behalf of the owners of the properties 7 managed by Respondent EEI, doing business as Era Eagle Estates Realty, and thereafter made 8 deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. According to Respondent BRADLEY. 9 Respondent EEI maintained one (1) bank account for handling of the receipts and 10 disbursements of rents during the audit period in connection with the property management 11 activity. The bank accounts are as follows: 12 Trust Account 1 ("TA 1") 13 Bank: JP Morgan Chase Bank 14 Account Name: Eagle Estates, Inc. dba Era Eagle Estates Realty 15 Account Number: xxxxxxxxx8172 16 Signatories: Dustin Bradley, Walter G. Tamulinas, Jeanne A. Tamulinas, Lesley J. 17 Anderson 18 Signatures Required: One 19 Purpose: TA 1 was maintained for the receipts and disbursements of trust funds for multiple beneficiaries in connection with Respondents' property management activity. 20 21 According to Respondent and TA 1's bank signature card provided for the audit, TA 1 was opened on June 20, 2013. As of September 30, 2016, TA 1 had a bank balance of \$50,189.00. 22 23 Violations of the Real Estate Law 24 12. 25

The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. SD160004 and the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:

26

27

1.2

(a) Trust Account Record to be Maintained (Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831 and 2832.1). Respondent EEI failed to maintain complete and accurate control record for TA 1, which was used for trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with ERGI's property management activities during the audit period in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831. The TA 1 control record included the following problems: (1) two deposits were recorded subsequent to the actual deposit date, (2) recorded deposits that failed to identify from whom and to which property the trust funds were received, (3) the running balance was inaccurate, and (4) on June 12, 2015, there was a \$2,600 bank transfer from TA 1 to the general account that was not recorded. Further, there was a

(b) Separate Records for Each Beneficiary (Code section 10145(g) and

Regulations sections 2831.1). Respondent EEI failed to maintain complete and accurate separate records for each beneficiary or transaction of all trust fund receipts and disbursements for TA 1 in connection with EEI's property activities during the audit period in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831.1. The security deposits received and dispersed were not recorded in a separate record and did not have a running balance. TA 1's separate records did not have an accurate running daily balance. On June 12, 2015, there was a \$2,600.00 bank transfer from TA 1 to the general account that was not recorded. There was an unidentified shortage of \$378.00, which did not have a separate record.

shortage of \$2,978.00 as of September 30, 2016.

(c) <u>Trust Account Reconciliation (Code section 10145 and Regulations</u> <u>sections 2831.2)</u>. Respondent EEI did not maintain a complete and accurate monthly reconciliation of the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records to the balance of the records of all trust funds received and disbursed for TA 1, in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831.2. Respondent EEI also failed to reconcile and identify the shortage of \$378.00.

(d) <u>Handling of Trust Funds (Code section 10145 and Regulations section</u>

2832). Respondent EEI failed to deposit, within 3 business days, trust funds received into TA 1.

4 5

7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Three (3) examples of such were: (1) \$1,700.00 received on June 3, 2015, but deposited on June 17, 2015, (2) \$1,800.00 received on January 5, 2016, but deposited on January 19, 2016, and (3) \$2,100.00 received on February 12, 2016, but deposited on February 29, 2016.

(AUDIT SD160042 - BROKER ESCROW ACTIVITIES)

13.

On or about October 27, 2017, the Department completed an audit examination of the books and records of Respondent pertaining to the broker escrow activities described in Paragraph 6 above. The audit examination covered the audit period (from November 1, 2013, through September 30, 2016). The primary purpose of the examination was a follow-up audit to determine Respondent's compliance with the Real Estate Law pursuant to the Stipulation and Agreement from H-4301SD. This audit was limited to Respondent's broker escrow activities and related trust funds. The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit No. SD160042 and the exhibits and work papers attached to said audit report.

14.

An entrance conference was held on January 11, 2017, with Respondent BRADLEY at Respondent EEI's office located at 10175 Rancho Carmel Drive, #124, San Diego, CA 92128. The auditor met with Respondent BRADLEY, designated officer of Respondent EEI, who was the primary person who provided records for the examination. According to the auditor's discussion with Respondent BRADLEY, the ownership of EEI is as follows: Respondent BRADLEY owns 50%; Walter George Tamulinas (REB 00578945) owns 25%; and Jeanne Anne Tamulinas (RES 00875854) owns 25%. During the period between October 1, 2015 and September 30, 2016, Respondent EEI closed thirty-six (36) escrow transactions and collected approximately \$6,152,411.

15.

At all times mentioned herein, and in connection with the broker escrow activities described in Paragraph 6, above, Respondents accepted or received funds, including

1	trust funds from or or	behalf of actual or prospective parties to transactions handled by		
2	Respondents, doing b	usiness as Era Eagle Estates, Inc. and Era Eagle Estates Realty, and		
3	thereafter made deposits and/or disbursements of such funds. According to Respondent			
4	BRADLEY, Respondents maintained two (2) bank accounts for handling of the receipts and			
5	disbursements of rents during the audit period in connection with the broker escrow activities.			
6	The bank accounts are	e as follows:		
7		Trust Account 2 ("TA 2")		
8	Bank:	JP Morgan Chase Bank		
9	Account Name:	Eagle Estates, Inc. dba Eagle Estates Realty Trust Account		
10	Account Number:	xxxxxxxx6283		
11	Signatories:	Dustin Bradley, Walter G. Tamulinas, and Lesley J. Anderson		
12	Signatures Required:	One		
13	Purpose:	TA 2 was maintained for the receipts and disbursements of trust funds		
14	for multiple beneficiaries in connection with EEI's broker escrow activities. According to			
15	Respondent and TA 2's bank signature card provided for the audit, TA 2 was opened on June			
16	20, 2013 to replace EEI's TA 3 (see below), which was closed on September 30, 2014.			
17		Trust Account 3 ("TA 3")		
18	Bank:	City National Bank		
19	Account Name:	Eagle Estates, Inc. dba Era Eagle Estates Realty Escrow Trust Account		
20	Account Number:	xxxxxxxx1227		
21	Signatories:	Dustin Bradley, Walter G. Tamulinas, and Fred Bradley		
22	Signatures Required:	One		
23	Purpose:	TA 3 was maintained for the receipts and disbursements of trust funds		
24	for multiple beneficiar	ries in connection with EEI's broker escrow activities. According to		
25	Respondent BRADLE	EY, TA 3 was opened on August 8, 2008 and was closed on September 30,		
26	2014. Due to some trust funds receipts and disbursements of TA 2 and TA 3 were combined, a			
27	combined reconciliation	on of the accounts was performed.		

["

Violations of the Real Estate Law

16.

The audit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as set forth in the following paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. SD160042 and the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:

Escrow (Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2832.1, 2950(g) and 2951. Based on an examination of TA 2 and TA 3's records, there was a combined minimum trust fund shortage of \$110,610.10 as of September 30, 2016 in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2832.1, 2950(g), and 2951. There is no evidence that Respondents were given written consent from the owners of the trust funds to allow Respondents to reduce the balance of the funds in TA 2 and TA 3 to an amount less than the aggregate trust fund liabilities of Respondent EEI to all owners of the trust funds.

(b) <u>Compliance with Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement and Order No. H-4301 SD</u>. Based on an examination of the records in the audit, Respondent EEI was in compliance with Regulation section 2832 as cited in the Stipulation and Agreement No. H-4301SD. Respondent EEI is not compliant with Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2832.1, 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, 2950(d), and 2951.

(c) <u>Trust Account Record to be Maintained/When Broker Handles Escrow</u> (<u>Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831, 2950(d), 2951</u>). Respondent EEI failed to maintain complete and accurate control record for TA 2 and 3, which was used for trust fund receipts and disbursements in connection with EEI's broker escrow activities during the audit period in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831, 2950(d), and 2951. The control records maintained had incorrect dates, incorrect amount of trust fund received, as well as missing records of deposits, checks, withdrawals, and bank adjustments.

-11///

withdrawals, and bank adjustments.

1.4

 (d) <u>Separate Records for Each Beneficiary/When Broker Handles Escrow</u>
(<u>Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831.1, 2950(d), 2951</u>). Respondent EEI failed to maintain complete and accurate separate records for each beneficiary or transaction of all trust fund receipts and disbursements for TA 2 and 3 in connection with EEI's broker escrow activities during the audit period in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations section 2831.1, 2950(d), and 2951. The control records maintained had incorrect dates,

(e) <u>Trust Account Reconciliation/When Broker Handles Escrow (Code</u> <u>section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831.2, 2950(d), and 2951)</u>. Respondent EEI did not maintain a complete and accurate monthly reconciliation of the balance of all separate beneficiary and/or transaction records to the balance of the records of all trust funds received and disbursed for TA 2 in violation of Code section 10145 and Regulations sections 2831.2,

2950(d), and 2951. Records for TA 3 were not available for examination because they were allegedly destroyed in a fire on or about October 3, 2014.

incorrect amount of trust fund received, as well as missing records of deposits, checks,

and Regulations section 2950(e). The Department served a subpoena duces tecum to Respondent BRADLEY on April 18, 2017. The subpoena served on Respondent BRADLEY requested Respondent EEI produce records on May 3, 2017 related to its real estate activities during the audit period. Respondent EEI failed to maintain and/or make available all books, accounts, and records related to its real estate activities for the DRE auditor's examination, inspection, and copying in violation of Code section 10148 and Regulation section 2950(e). Respondent EEI failed to make available the following records: (1) monthly records of reconciliation for TA 3 were not available for examination due to being destroyed in the October 3, 2014 fire and (2) records for a bank transfer on May 30, 2014 in the amount of \$10,000.00 from TA 3 to a City National Bank account (account no. xxxxxxxxx9972), which

Respondent BRADLEY).

1	
2	COSTS
3	(AUDIT COSTS)
4	18.
5	Section 10148(b) of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that the Real Estate
6	Commissioner shall charge a real estate broker for the costs of any audit if the Commissioner
7	has found in a final decision, following a disciplinary hearing, that the broker has violated
8	Section 10145 of the Code or a regulation or rule of the Commissioner interpreting said Code
9	section.
10	(INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT COSTS)
11	19.
12	Section 10106 of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
13	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request
14	the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part
15	to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.
16	<i>///</i>
17	
18	<i>///</i>
19	
20	
21	<i>///</i>
22	///
23	<i> </i>
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///

 \parallel

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all the licenses and/or license rights of Respondent EAGLE ESTATES INC and DUSTIN ANDREW BRADLEY, individually and as designated officer of Eagle Estates, Inc., under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, for the cost of the audit, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

cc:

29 day of May , 201

Veronica Kilpatrick

Supervising Special Investigator

EAGLE ESTATES INC
DUSTIN ANDREW BRADLEY
Veronica Kilpatrick

Sacto.

Audits - Linda Davies

Dated at San Diego, California this