FLAG			
, · · ·			
1	CHERYL D. KEILY, SBN# 94008		
2	Bureau of Real Estate 320 West Fourth Street, Ste. 350		
. 3	Los Angeles, California 90013		
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 (Direct) (213) 576-6905		
5	(Direct) (213) 576-6905		
, 6.	DEC 2 3 2015		
7	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE		
8	By		
9			
10	BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE		
	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	***		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation No. H-04762 SD		
14	CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC.;)		
15	STEPHEN P. DONVITO; and THOMAS) <u>A C C U S A T I O N</u> JERRY COPELAND,)		
16			
. 17	Respondents.		
18	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator, for		
19	cause of Accusation against CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC. ("CALIFORNIA		
20	REALTY"); STEPHEN P. DONVITO ("DONVITO"); and THOMAS JERRY COPELAND		
21	("COPELAND") is informed and alleges as follows:		
22	1.		
23	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Supervising Special Investigator, makes		
24	this Accusation in her official capacity.		
25			
26			
27			
	- 1 -		
	ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.		
	I (

64 ·
Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY is presently licensed and/or has license
rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code,
"Code") as a real estate corporation.
3.
Respondent COPELAND is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the
Real Estate Law as a real estate broker, and has been the designated broker-officer of Respondent
CALIFORNIA REALTY since August 22, 2014.
· 4.
Respondent DONVITO is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the
Real Estate Law as a real estate broker, and during the period December 27, 2013, to July 22,
2014, was the designated broker-officer of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY.

5.

During the periods alleged in Paragraphs 3 and 4, Respondents COPELAND and 14 DONVITO, as the officers designated by Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY pursuant to 15Section 10211 of the Code, were responsible for the supervision and control of the activities 16 17conducted on behalf of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law as set forth in Section 10159.2 of $\mathbf{18}$ 19 the Code.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

6.

21 At all times relevant herein each Respondent was engaged in the business of, 22 acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker, within the meaning 23 of Code Section 10131(b). Said activities included offering to negotiate and negotiating leases and rental agreements on behalf of prospective real property tenants, and offering to perform and 24 performing the rental and collection of rents and security deposits for real property on behalf of 25 26 others for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

27

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

- 2 -

	1			
, E				
	•			
	1		FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION	
	2		(Audit Violations)	
	3		7.	
	4	Compl	ainant hereby incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in	
	5	Paragraphs 1 through	6, above.	
	6		8.	
	7	On or a	about February 24, 2015, the Bureau completed an audit examination of the	
	- 8	books and records of	Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY pertaining to the real estate activities	
	9	described in Paragrap	h 6, above, covering a period from August 27, 2013, to October 31, 2014.	
	10	9		
	11	At all times mentioned herein, and in connection with the property management		
	12	activities described in Paragraph 6, above, Respondent accepted or received funds, including		
	13	funds in trust (hereafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of owners of the real property managed		
	14	by Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY, and thereafter made deposits and/or disbursements of		
	15	such funds. From time-to-time herein mentioned during the audit period, said trust funds were		
	16	deposited into accounts maintained by Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY as follows:		
	17	"Bank Account #1"	BA 1	
	18	Bank:	Union Bank	
	19		26407 Ynez Road	
	20		Temecula, CA. 92591	
	21	Account Name:	California Realty Group Inc.	
	22	Account No.:	xxxxx4499	
	23	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)	
	24		Thomas Jerry Copeland (Current D.O.)	
	25	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory	
	26	Purpose:	Receipt and disbursement of rental payments	
	27		- 3 -	
		ACCU	SATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.	
			·	
		E		

1	"Bank Account #2"	BA 2
2	Bank:	Union Bank
3		26407 Ynez Road
4	· •	Temecula, CA. 92591
5	Account Name:	California Realty Group Inc.
6	Account No.:	xxxxx4465
7	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)
8		Thomas Jerry Copeland (Current D.O.)
9	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory
10	Purpose:	Receipt and disbursement of tenant security deposits
11	"Bank Account #3"	BA 3
12	Bank:	Union Bank
13		26407 Ynez Road
14		Temecula, CA. 92591
15	Account Name:	California Realty Group Inc.
16	Account No.:	xxxxx3426
17	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)
18		Jeffery Schlansky (Surrendered RES)
19	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory
20	Purpose:	General business account (not used to handle trust funds)
21	<u>"Bank Account #4"</u>	BA 4
22	Bank:	Union Bank
23		26407 Ynez Road
24		Temecula, CA. 92591
25	Account Name:	California Realty Group Inc.
26	Account No.:	xxxxx4648
27		_ 1 _

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

1	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)
2		Jeffery Schlansky (Surrendered RES)
3	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory
4	Purpose:	General business account (not used to handle trust funds)
5	"Bank Account #5"	BA 5
6	Bank:	Union Bank
7		26407 Ynez Road
8.		Temecula, CA. 92591
9	Account Name:	Jeffrey Schlansky
10		DBA CA-Realty
11		DBA California Realty Group
12	Account No.:	xxxxx0538
13	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)
14		Jeffery Schlansky (Surrendered RES)
15	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory
16	Purpose:	Receipt and disbursement of application screening fees for multiple
17		beneficiaries received and disbursed (trust funds)
18	"Bank Account #6"	BA 6
19	Bank:	Union Bank
20		26407 Ynez Road
21		Temecula, CA. 92591
22	Account Name:	California Realty Group Inc.
23	Account No.:	xxxxxx3087
24	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)
25		Thomas Jerry Copeland (current D.O.)
26	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory
27		- 5 -
		SATION RECALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC. ET AL

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

· .			
,			
1	Purpose:	Receipt and disbursement of single beneficiary ¹ rent (trust funds)	
2	<u>"Bank Account #7"</u>	BA 7	
3	Bank:	Union Bank	
4		26407 Ynez Road	
. 5		Temecula, CA. 92591	
6	Account Name:	California Realty Group Inc.	
7	Account No.:	xxxxx4630	
. 8	Signatories:	Kristin Schlansky (Former D.O./REB)	
9	· ·	Thomas Jerry Copeland (current D.O.)	
10	Signatures Required:	One (1) Signatory	
11	Purpose:	Receipt and disbursement of single beneficiary ² security deposits (trust	
. 12		funds)	
13		10.	
14	• The au	dit examination revealed violations of the Code and the Regulations, as set	
15	forth in the following	paragraphs, and more fully discussed in Audit Report No. SD 140026 and	
16	⁶ the exhibits and work papers attached to the audit report:		
17	(a) During the period when Respondent COPELAND was the responsible broker,		
18	the trust fund accountability and balances showed that as of October 31, 2014, in <u>BA 1</u> there		
19	were unidentified/unaccounted for funds totaling \$23,301.74 and funds of Respondent		
20	CALIFORNIA REALTY totaling \$75,450.33.		
21	(b) During the period when Respondent COPELAND was the responsible broker,		
22	the trust fund accountability and balances showed that as of October 31, 2014, in <u>BA 2</u> there		
23	were unidentified/una	ccounted for funds totaling \$833.11 and funds of Respondent	
24			
25	¹ 42081-3 rd Street, Ter	necula, CA	
26	² 42081-3 rd Street, Ter		
27	· ·	- 6 -	
	ACCUS	ATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.	

1 CALIFORNIA REALTY totaling \$7,850.00.

4

26

27

2 (c) During the period when Respondent DONVITO was the responsible broker, the trust fund accountability and balances showed that as of July 22, 2014, in BA 1 there were 3 unidentified/unaccounted for funds totaling \$18,743.03 and funds of Respondent CALIFORNA 5 REALTY totaling \$10,262.42.

6 (d) During the period when Respondent DONVITO was the responsible broker, 7 the trust fund accountability and balances showed that as of July 22, 2014, in <u>BA 2</u> there were unidentified/unaccounted for funds totaling \$64.11 and funds of Respondent CALIFORNA 8 REALTY totaling \$7,850.00. 9

(e) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were 10 responsible brokers, Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY failed to maintain a control record for 11 trust funds (application screening fees) received and disbursed in connection with the property 12management activity for <u>BA 4</u> and <u>BA 5</u>. Also the control record maintained for <u>BA 2</u> was 13 incomplete. The foregoing is in violation of Code Section 10145 and Section 2831, Title 10, 1415 Chapter 6, Code of Regulations ("Regulations").

(f) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the 16 responsible brokers, Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY did not maintain a separate record for 17 each beneficiary of the trust funds (application screening fees) received and disbursed for <u>BA 4</u> 18and BA 5. The separate records for <u>BA 1</u> were inaccurate and incomplete. Additionally, 19 Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY failed to maintain a separate record for the 20 unidentified/unaccounted for funds totaling \$23,301.74 (October 31, 2014) and \$18,743.03 (July 21 22, 2014) held in BA 1. Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY did not maintain a separate record 22 for each beneficiary for BA 2 and for the unidentified/unaccounted for funds totaling \$833.211 23 (October 31, 2014) and \$64.11 (July 22, 2014) held in <u>BA 2</u>. The foregoing is in violation of 24 Code Section 10145 and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations. 25

(g) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

responsible brokers, Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY did not maintain the monthly reconciliation of all the separate records to the control record of all trust funds received and disbursed for <u>BA 1</u>, <u>BA 2</u> and <u>BA 5</u>. Also there were unidentified/unaccounted for funds for October 31, 2014 and July 22, 2014, held in <u>BA 1</u> and <u>BA 2</u>. All of the foregoing is in violation of Code Section 10145 and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.

6 (h) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the 7 responsible brokers, Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY failed to designate BA 1, BA 2, BA 6 8 and <u>BA 7</u> as trust accounts during the period December 26, 2013, to October 31, 2014. <u>BA 5</u> was 9 not set up in the name of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY as trustee. The foregoing is in 10 violation of Code Section 10145 and Section 2832 of the Regulations.

11 (i) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the 12 responsible brokers, Respondent DONVITO was not a signatory on BA 1, BA 2, BA 6 and BA 7. 13 Respondent COPELAND was not a signatory on BA 1, BA 2, BA 6 and BA 7 during the period 14from August 22, 2014, until he became a signatory on December 12, 2014. Kristin Marie Schlansky, a former D.O., was the signer on said accounts without written authorization from 1516 Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO. As to <u>BA 5</u> Respondents DONVITO and 17 COPELAND allowed Jeffrey Schlansky, who was not licensed under the license of Respondent 18 CALIFORNIA REALTY and whose real estate salesperson license expired on February 4, 2014, 19 to be a signer on the account without fidelity bond coverage. Also, Respondents DONVITO and 20 COPELAND were not signers on BA 5. The foregoing is in violation of Code Section 10145 and Section 2834 of the Regulations. 21

22

1

2

3

4

5

(j) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the 23 responsible brokers, from August 27, 2013, until September, 2014, trust funds were deposited into <u>BA 5</u> (Jeffrey Schlansky account), resulting in the commingling of trust funds with Jeffrey 24 25 Schlansky's funds. Trust funds were also deposited to BA 4, a business expense account, in 26 October, 2014, resulting in the commingling of trust funds with the general funds of Respondent

27

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

8 -

CALIFORNIA REALTY and Jeffrey Schlansky. Further commingling occurred when
 Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY retained more than \$200 of its own funds in <u>BA 1</u> and <u>BA</u>
 <u>2</u> as is alleged in Paragraph 10(a), (b), (c) and (d), above. The foregoing commingling is in
 violation of Code Section 10145 and Section 10176(e).

(k) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the
responsible brokers, Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY used the unlicensed fictitious business
name "CA-Realty Group" without obtaining a license from the Bureau. Also the fictitious
business name "CA-Realty" was used before Respondent registered it under its license on April
2, 2014. The foregoing is in violation of Code Section 10159.5 and Section 2731 of the
Regulations.

(1) During the period when Respondent COPELAND was the responsible broker,
 commencing in or around October 13, 2014, Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY performed
 real estate activity at a location that was not registered with the Bureau as its main office address
 in violation of Code Section 10162.

(m) During the periods when Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO were the
 responsible broker, each failed to exercise reasonable control and supervision over the handling
 of trust funds and supervision over the licensed activity of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY
 to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law and regulations in violation of Code Sections
 10159.2 and 10177(h) and Section 2725 of the Regulations.

DISCIPLINE STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

20

21

24

25

26

27

11.

The conduct of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY described in Paragraph 10,
 above, violated the Code and the Regulations as set forth below:

<u>PARAGRAPH</u>	PROVISIONS VIOLATED
10(e)	Code Section 10145; Section 2831 of the
	Regulations

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

g

1	10(f)	Code Section 10145; Section 2831.1 of the	
2		Regulations	
3	10(g)	Code Section 10145; Section 2831.2 of the	
. 4		Regulations	
5	10(h)	Code Section 10145; Section 2832 of the	
6		Regulations	
7 8	10(i)	Code Section 10145; Section 2834 of the	
. 9		Regulations	
10	10(j)	Code Sections 10145 and 10176(e)	
11	10(k)	Code Section 10159.5 and Section 2731 of	
12		the Regulations	
13	10(1)	Code Section 10162	
14			
. 15	10(m)	10159.2 and 10177(h); Section 2725 of the Regulations	
16		12.	
17	The forecasing violati		
18 19	The foregoing violations constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the		
20	real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under the provisions of Code Sections 10177(d) for violation of the Real Estate Law, 10177(g) for negligence or incompetence and		
21	21 10176(e) for commingling.		
22			
23			
24		13.	
25	Complainant hereby i	ncorporates by reference the allegations set forth in	
26	Paragraphs 1 through 12, above.		
27		- 10 -	
	ACCUSATION RE: C	ALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.	

Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO ordered, caused, authorized or participated in the conduct of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY, during the periods alleged in Paragraphs 3 and 4, above.

15.

6 The conduct, acts and/or omissions, of Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO 7 in allowing Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY to violate the Real Estate Law, as set forth 8 above, constitutes a failure by Respondents COPELAND and DONVITO, as the officers designated by a corporate broker licensee, to exercise the supervision and control over the 9 10 activities of Respondent CALIFORNIA REALTY, as required by Code Section 10159.2, and is 11 cause to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents 12 COPELAND and DONVITO under Code Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and/or 10177(h). 13 Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the 14 15 administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to

Code Section 10148(b) provides, in pertinent part, that in the event that
respondent has violated Code Section 10145, or a regulation interpreting said section, the
respondent shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable costs for (a) the audit which led to the
disciplinary action, and (b) a subsequent audit to determine if the respondent has corrected the
violations found in the original audit.

pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

22 ||///

16

1

2

3

4

5

- 23 ///
- 24 ///
- 25 ///

111

- 26
- 27

ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.

11 -

•	
5 A ¹⁰ 1 1	
1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
2	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
3	action against all the licenses and license rights of Respondents CALIFORNIA REALTY
4	GROUP INC., THOMAS JERRY COPELAND and STEPHEN P. DONVITO under the Real
5	Estate Law, for the cost of investigation and enforcement as permitted by Code Section 10106,
6	for audit costs pursuant to Code Section 10148(b) and for such other and further relief as may be
7	proper under other applicable provisions of law.
8	Dated at San Diego, California
9	this 15th day of December, 2015.
10	1/ Kilnothicr
. 11	Veronica Kilpatrick
12	Supervising Special Investigator
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	cc: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC.
23	THOMAS JERRY COPELAND STEPHEN P. DONVITO
24	Veronica Kilpatrick
25	Sacto.
26	
27	- 12 -
	ACCUSATION RE: CALIFORNIA REALTY GROUP INC., ET AL.