1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
glal v. do 1	
$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{2}$	CHERYL D. KEILY SBN# 94008 Bureau of Real Estate 320 West 4th Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90013-1105 JAN -9 2014
4	Telephone: (213) 576-6982 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE (Direct) (213) 576-6905 By
, 6 7	
8 9	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
10 11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA * * * In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-4564 SD
12	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-4564 SD DANIEL ALVAREZ,) $\underline{A \ C \ U \ S \ A \ T \ I \ O \ N}$
14 15) Respondent.))
16 17	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of
18 19	Accusation against DANIEL ALVAREZ (hereafter "Respondent"), is
20 21	informed and alleges as follows: 1.
22 23	The Complainant, Veronica Kilpatrick, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this
24	Accusation in her official capacity.
.26 27	///
	- 1 -

2.

1

18

23

Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license 2 rights under the Real Estate Law as a real estate broker. On or 3 about July 21, 2012, Respondent's license expired. On or about 4 August 14, 2013, Respondent's license was suspended indefinitely 5 pursuant to payment by the Bureau on Recovery Account No. R-6 4985. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") Section 7 8 10201 Respondent retains renewal rights. Pursuant to Section 9 10103 of the Code the Bureau retains jurisdiction. 10

3.

¹¹ On or about January 4, 2012, the final judgment of the ¹² Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, ¹³ Case No. 37-2010-9511-CU-FR-CTL, was entered against Respondent ¹⁴ based on the ground of fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit with ¹⁵ reference to a transaction for which a real estate license is ¹⁶ required. Punitive damages in the amount of \$20,000 were awarded ¹⁷ against Respondent.

4.

The facts set forth in Paragraph 3 constitute cause under Section 10177.5 of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

5.

On June 2, 2013, the Commissioner, based on the judgment referred to in Paragraph 3, above, issued a decision directing payment from the Recovery Account to Basilio D. and Angela M. The decision was based on a determination that this

- 2 -

judgment was based on fraud in connection with acts for which respondent's real estate license was required.

Code Section 10106 provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the 5 administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have 6 committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement of the case.

10 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 11 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 12 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 13 action against all licenses and/or license rights of Respondent 14 DANIEL ALVAREZ under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of 15 investigation and enforcement as permitted by Code Section 16 10106, and for such other and further relief as may be proper 17 under applicable provisions of law. 18

Dated at San Diego, California

this 26 day of December 20

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

2014.

Kilpatrick onica Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

26 DANIEL ALVAREZ Veronica Kilpatrick 27 Sacto.

3