Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

JAN 12 2007

Telephone: (916) 227-0789 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10 In the Matter of the Accusation of) 11

NO. H-4494 SAC

CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT IN SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

Respondent.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12

13

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

It is hereby stipulated by and between CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI (Respondent) and his attorney of record, Mark A. Cameron of Miller, Starr & Regalia, and the Complainant, acting by and through David B. Seals, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on July 6, 2006, in this matter:

21 22

23

24

25

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

26 27

H-4494 SAC

///

ACCUSATION OF CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI

- 1 -

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- Respondent, pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real 6. Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI under Sections 10177 (d) of the Code.

3

27

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

-4494 SAC

ORDER

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent
CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI under the Real Estate Law are
revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson
license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section
10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes
application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate
the appropriate fee for the restricted license within 90 days
from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license
issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions
of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to
the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed
under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- 1. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

26 | ///

27 | ///

- 4. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
 - of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and
 - (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
- 5. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent

1

3

5

6

7

Я

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

1	the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative
2	Procedure Act to present such evidence.
3	1 1/42)
4	DATED: Novi 24 2006 DAVID B. SEALS, Counsel
5	DAVID B. SEALS, COMISEI DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
6	* * *
7.	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have
8	discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me
9	and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am
10	waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative
11	Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506,
12	11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I
13	willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights,
14	including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the
15	allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have
16	the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present
17	evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.
19	DATED: 11-16-06 (Hopel C. 1).
20	CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI Respondent
21	* * *
22	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to
23	form and content and have advised my client accordingly.
24	
25	
26	DATED: 11-13-06
27	MARKA. CAMERON Attorney for Respondent

ACCUSATION OF CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI

H-4494 SAC

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement

is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his

Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon FEB - 1 2007

IT IS SO ORDERED

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

·;

H-4494 SAC

ACCUSATION OF CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI DAVID B. SEALS, Counsel (SBN 69378) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-0789

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

(916) 227-0792 (Direct) -or-

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

In the Matter of the Accusation of) CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI,

NO. H-4494 SAC

Respondent.

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California for cause of Accusation against CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY TRINGALI (hereinafter "Respondent") is informed and alleges as follows:

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

ΙI

Respondent is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a real estate broker employed by Realty World All Services.

1 -

2

3

4

5

7

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

26

III

On or about October 28, 2005, Julia La'Court

(hereinafter the "Seller") entered into a Residential Listing

Agreement (hereinafter the "Agreement") with Realty World All

Services prepared by the Respondent to sell her home located at

Hilborn Ave., Vallejo (hereinafter the "Property").

IV

Respondent failed to provide the Seller with a copy of the signed Agreement at the time she signed it or at any other time in violation of Section 10142 of the Code.

V

On or about November 16, 2005 Respondent received a letter from the Seller dated November 15, 2005 indicating that she was terminating the Agreement because she had changed her mind about selling the Property.

VI

On or about November 18, 2005 Respondent and Realty World All Services informed the Seller they would not cancel the Agreement.

VII

Between November 16, 2005 and January 7, 2006

Respondent lowered the listing price of the Property on the Bay

Area Real Estate Information Services Multiple Listing Service

from \$415,000 to \$399,000 to \$389,000 to \$375,000 all without the authorization of the Seller.

26 | ///

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27 | | / / /

VIII

On or about January 18, 2006 Respondent wrote a letter to the Seller demanding a \$20,750 commission "... earned when you terminated our listing agreement in writing on November 15, 2005."

·IX

The Seller had not sold the Property on at anytime during the period covered by the Agreement nor at any time thereafter.

Х

The facts alleged above are grounds for suspension or revocation of Respondent's licenses and license rights under Section 10176(i) of the Code and Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10142 of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California, this day of June, 2006.