	FILED	
1	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel August 23, 2012 State Bar No. 223266	
2	Department of Real Estate	
3	Sacramento, CA 95818-7007	
4	Telephone: (916) 227-0789	
5	(916) 227-0781 (Direct)	
6		
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE	
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	* * *	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) No. H-4402 SD	
12	RE/MAX DIRECT INC., JAN KAREN	
13	Respondents.	
14	Kespondents)	
15	The Complainant, VERONICA KILPATRICK, a Deputy Real Estate	
16	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against RE/MAX DIRECT	
17	INC., JAN KAREN RYAN, and DALIA R. NOGUEDA (Respondents), is informed and alleges	
18	as follows:	
19	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS	
20	1	
21	The Complainant, VERONICA KILPATRICK, a Deputy Real Estate	
22	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.	
23	2	
24	Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real	
25	Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code).	
26	///	
27	///	
	-1-	
1		

•

1	3	
2	Beginning on or about July 7, 2000, Respondent RE/MAX DIRECT	
3	INC.(RE/MAX) was and is licensed by the State of California Department of Real Estate	
4	(Department) as a real estate broker corporation. On or about March 8, 2004, RE/MAX changed	
5	its name with the State of California Secretary of State Office to Jan Ryan Direct, Inc.	
6	4	
7	At all times mentioned, Respondent JAN KAREN RYAN (RYAN) was and is	
8	licensed by the Department individually and as the designated broker officer of RE/MAX. As	
9	said designated officer-broker, RYAN was and now is responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2	
10	of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and	
11	employees of RYAN for which a license is required.	
12	5	
13	At all times mentioned, Respondent DALIA R. NOGUEDA (NOGUEDA) was	
14	and is licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson. From on or about March 8, 2005,	
15	through April 21, 2009, NOGUEDA was licensed under the employ of RE/MAX. From April	
16	22, 2009, through January 30, 2011, NOGUEDA was licensed with no broker association. From	
17	January 31, 2011, to present, NOGUEDA was and is licensed under the employ of RE/MAX.	
18	Prior to August 31, 2011, NOGUEDA was licensed under the name Dalia R. Martinez.	
19	6	
20	Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or	
21	omission of RE/MAX, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors,	
22	employees, agents and/or real estate licensees employed by or associated with RE/MAX	
23	committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of	
24	such corporate Respondent and while acting within the course and scope of their authority and	
25	employment.	
26	///	
27	///	

- 2 -

	·	
1	7	
· 2	At all times mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the	
3	capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California within	
4	the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate	
5	resale brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation	
6	of compensation, Respondents sold and offered to sell, bought and offered to buy, solicited	
7	prospective sellers and purchasers of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated the	
8	purchase and resale of real property.	
9	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
10	8	
11	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 7, inclusive, are incorporated	
12	by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
13	9	
14	Between on or about August 5, 2009, and January 30, 2011, RE/MAX employed	
15	and compensated NOGUEDA, while NOGUEDA's license was not associated under RE/MAX's	
16	license, to perform the acts and conduct the real estate activities described in Paragraph 7,	
17	including but not limited to, the activities described in Paragraph 10.	
18		
19	///	
. 20		
21	///	
22	///	
23		
24	///	
25		
26	///	
27		
		t t

1	10		
2	Between on or about August 5, 2009, and on or about January 30, 2011, in course		
3	of the employment and activities described in Paragraph 9, above, NOGUEDA negotiated and		
4	arranged the purchase and sale of real property, including but not necessarily limited to:		
5			
	Property Address	Buyer/Seller	
6	1555 Mendocino Drive #141, Chula Vista	Luis Oscar Romero and Vanesa Romero	
7	440 W. Citricaso Parkway #18, Ramona	Carmen Romero	
	830 W. Lincoln Avenue #176, Escondido	Etsuko Arata	
8	830 W. Lincoln Avenue #176, Escondido	Etsuko Arata	
9	12079 Casa Vista Road, Lakeside	Joelene M. Avery	
	1212 H Street #40, Ramona	Wesley Ray Eldridge and Donna Mery	
10		Gentz-Wallis	
	724 F Street, Ramona	Manuel Rafael Barahona	
11	923 B Street, Ramona	HSBC Bank USA	
12	14080 Fernbrook, Ramona	Travis Miller	
	24722 Bjoin Road, Ramona	Joey Nelson	
13	24334 Watt Road, Ramona	Duane and Sharlia Hartness	
1.4	24140 Saint Helena Court, Ramona	John and Simeona Casias	
14	16613 Open View Road, Ramona	Steve Stachelski	
15	207 Woodland Parkway #230, San Marcos	Estuko Arata	
16	11		
17	In acting as described in Paragraph	s 8 through 10, RE/MAX and NOGUEDA	
18	violated and/or willfully disregarded the provision	s of Sections 10130 and 10137 of the Code.	
19	12		
20	The facts described above as to the	First Cause of Accusation constitute cause to	
21	suspend or revoke all licenses and license rights o	f Respondents RE/MAX and NOGUEDA	
22	pursuant to the provisions of Sections 10130 and	10137 of the Code in conjunction with Section	
23	10177(d) of the Code.		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
	- 4	-	

.

1	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	13	
3	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 13 are incorporated by this	
4	reference as if fully set forth herein.	
5	14	
6	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 7, RE/MAX changed its	
7	corporation name to Jan Ryan Direct, Inc. and failed to notify the Department of the change	
8	within 5 days after the effective date of the change in violation of Section 2710(c) of Title 10 of	
9	the Code of Regulations (Regulations).	
· 10	15	
11	The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of	
12	RE/MAX licenses and license rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with	
13	Section 2710(c) of the Regulations.	
14	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
15	16	
16	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, is incorporated	
17	by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
18	17	
19	RYAN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of RE/MAX in such	
20	a manner as to allow the acts and events described above to occur.	
21	18	
22	The acts and/or omissions of RYAN described in Paragraph 17 constitute failure	
23	on the part of RYAN, as designated broker-officer for RE/MAX, to exercise reasonable	
24	supervision and control over the licensed activities of RE/MAX required by Section 10159.2 of	
25	the Code.	
26		
27		
	- 5 -	

1	19
2	The facts described above as to the Third Cause of Accusation constitute cause for
3	the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of RYAN under Section 10177(g)
4	and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with
5	Section 10177(d) of the Code.
6	PRIOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION
7	20
8	Effective June 20, 2005, in Case No. H-1771 FR, the Real Estate Commissioner
9	disciplined the real estate broker licenses of RYAN and RE/MAX for RYAN's violation of
10	Sections 10137, 10177(g), 10177(h), and 10159.2 of the Code, and for RE/MAX's violation of
11	Section 10137 of the Code. The discipline imposed upon RYAN and RE/MAX was a 30-day
12	suspension stayed upon terms and conditions.
13	21
14	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
15	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the
16	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
17	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
18	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations
19	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary
20	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, for the cost of
21	investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may
22	be proper under the provisions of law.
23	1 h patrick
24	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner
25	
26	Dated at San Diego, California,
27	this 2 day of $AVGUST$, 2012
	- 6 -

19

1	
	DISCOVERY DEMAND
1	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department of
2	Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the
3	Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the
4	Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 7 -
	11