•	
1	MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel
2	State Bar No. 84257 Department of Real Estate
3	P. O. Box 187000
4	Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 NOV 0 5 2010
5	Telephone: (916) 227-1126 DirectDEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE(916)-227-0789 LegalBy
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
_11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-4151 SD
12) ECHO LOANS, INC., a California corporation,) ACCUSATION
13	KELLY DAVID CHRISTENSEN, and) RICARDO PERDOMO,)
14)
15	Respondents.)
16	
17	The Complainant, JOSEPH AIU, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the
18	State of California, for Accusation against Respondent ECHO LOANS, INC., a California
19	corporation, doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow, KELLY DAVID
20	CHRISTENSEN doing business under the fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law
21	Group, and RICARDO PERDOMO, is informed and alleges as follows:
22	1
23	The Complainant, JOSEPH AIU, a Deputy Real Estate, Commissioner of the
24	State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity.
25	111 .
26	111
27	
	-1-

Ш

•	
1	2
2	Respondent ECHO LOANS, INC., a California corporation (hereinafter
3	"Respondent ELI"), was licensed and/or presently has license rights under the Real Estate Law,
4	Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "the Code").
5	3
6	Respondent KELLY DAVID CHRISTENSEN (hereinafter "Respondent
7	CHRISTENSEN") and Respondent RICARDO PERDOMO (hereinafter "Respondent
8	PERDOMO") are licensed and/or presently have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1
9	of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "the Code").
10	4
11	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent ELI was licensed by the Department
12	of Real Estate (hereinafter "Department") as a corporate real estate broker.
13	5
14	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN was and is licensed
15	by the Department as an individual real estate broker.
16	6
17	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN was licensed by the
18	Department as the designated broker/officer of Respondent ELI. As said designated
19	broker/officer, Respondent CHRISTENSEN was, at all times herein mentioned, responsible
20	pursuant to Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code and Section 2725 of Chapter 6, Title 10,
21	California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "Regulations") for the supervision of the activities
22	of the officers, agents, and employees of, and of the real estate licensees employed by,
23	Respondent ELI and of the activities of said corporation for which a real estate license is
24	required.
25	7
26	Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or
27	omission of Respondent ELI, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers,
	- 2 -

directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with
 Respondent ELI committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the
 business or operations of Respondent ELI and while acting within the course and scope of their
 corporate authority and employment.

8

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN is and was an
officer, principal director, and principal stockholder of Respondent ELI and, therefore,
Respondent ELI was and is the alter ego of Respondent CHRISTENSEN and whenever a
reference is made to an act, omission or representation of Respondent ELI such allegation shall
be deemed to mean that Respondent CHRISTENSEN was so acting, failing to act, and/or
speaking, or that such act, failure to act, and/or representation was done at the direction of or
ratified by Respondent CHRISTENSEN.

13

5

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent PERDOMO was and is licensed by
the Department as a real estate salesperson. At no time herein mentioned was Respondent
PERDOMO licensed in the employ of Respondent ELI or in the employ of Respondent
CHRISTENSEN or in the employ of any other licensed real estate broker.

10

9

At all times herein mentioned, Financial Services Law Group, A Professional
Corporation (hereinafter "FSLG"), was a California corporation. At no time did the Department
issue a real estate broker license to FSLG.

11

22

18

- |

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN is and was the chief
executive officer, agent for service of process, sole director, and principal stockholder of FSLG,
and, therefore, FSLG was and is the alter ego of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, and whenever a
reference is made to an act, omission or representation of FSLG, such allegation shall be
deemed to mean that Respondent CHRISTENSEN was so acting, failing to act, and/or speaking,

or that such act, failure to act, and/or representation was done at the direction of or ratified by 1 2 Respondent CHRISTENSEN. 3 12 Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or 4 5 omission of FSLG, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with FSLG committed 6 such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of FSLG and 7 while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment. 8 9 13 10 At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN, Respondent PERDOMO, Respondent ELI, and FSLG, collectively and individually and each of them, 11 engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate 12 broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, 13 including on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, solicited 14 15 borrowers or lenders for or negotiated loans or collected payments or performed services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by 16 17 liens on real property or on a business opportunity. 18 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION 19 14 There is hereby incorporated in this First, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, 20 all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, of the Accusation with the 21 same force and effect as if herein fully set forth. 22 23 15 Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in connection 24 25 with the real estate activities described in Paragraph 13, above, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law 26 Group, Respondent ELI individually and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum 27 - 4 -

Escrow and at the direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, and FSLG at 1 the direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, claimed, demanded, 2 charged, received, collected, and provided a written contract for advance fees from borrowers 3 for services Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the fictitious 4 business name of Financial Solutions Law Group, Respondent ELI individually and at the 5 direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, and FSLG at the direction and 6 under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, was to perform thereafter in obtaining 7 modifications of loans secured or to be secured directly or collaterally by liens on the borrowers' 8 real property including, but not limited to, the following transactions: 9

Borrower	Property	Lender	Advance Fee Received	Date Paid
Randy Hunwardson	798 Holsteiner Ct. Galt, CA	Provident Funding	\$2,000.00	3/23/09
D 1		2	\$2,000.00	5/7/09
Randy Hunwardson	129 Wagon Way Galt, CA	Chase Home Finance	¢2 000 00	<i>C 10 100</i>
Tranwardson	Uall, CA	<u>Total</u>	<u>\$2,000,00</u> <u>\$6,000.00</u>	6/2/09
		<u>1.0.001</u>	<u> </u>	
		• • •		
Harlan & Norma	1011 Darwin Place	Wells Fargo	\$1,000.00	3/25/09
Hulst	San Diego, CA	Greentree	\$1,000.00	4/24/09
			<u>\$1,500,00</u>	5/5/09
		<u>Total</u>	\$3,500.00	
	• -			
Carla Smith	5314 S. Victoria Ave. Los Angeles, CA	IndyMac	\$2,500.00	11/6/08
Carla Smith	5153 - 7th Ave.	Countrywide/	\$2,500.00	11/6/08
	Los Angeles, CA	Bank of America		11/0/00
		<u>Total</u>	\$5,000.00	
Norice Davis-Lee &	780 Onimer Ann			
Otis Lee	789 Quince Ave. Upland, CA	Countrywide/ Bank of America	\$1,750.00	1/19/09
	- [,			
	-	5 -		

1	Randy & Marivel	5700 - 19th Ave.	Countrywide/	\$1,500.00	2/6/09
2	Camasura	Sacramento, CA	Bank of America Total	<u>\$1,500.00</u> \$3,000.00	2/11/09
3					
4	Keith Kalna	206 2-1 54	тт	#1 0 6 0 00	
5	Kelul Kaina	205 - 2nd Street West Palm Beach, FL	Unknown ,	\$1,850.00	1/30/09
6		· · ·			
7	Marilyn Mapile	8035 S.E. Boise St.	Citimortgage	\$2,500.00	3/20/09
8		Portland, OR	Chimorigage	\$2,500.00	3/20/09
9			- -		
10	Emma Smith	3011 Kirtland Ave	UBS SSG	\$ 595.00	4/3/08
11		District Heights, MD		<u>\$_625.00</u>	5/5/09
12			Total	<u>\$1,220.00</u>	
13					
14	Randall & Barbara	617 Saddlehorn Dr.	IndyMac	\$1,750.00	11/19/08
15	Hodge	Chesapeake, VA	Total	<u>\$1,750.00</u> <u>\$3,500.00</u>	12/5/08
16					
17			•		
18	Wilson Afoa	94-495 Palai Street Waipahu, HI	Unknown	\$ 500.00 \$1,300.00	10/23/08 11/3/08
19			<u>Total</u>	<u>\$1,700.00</u> \$3,500.00	12/1/08
20			<u>10tal</u>	<u>\$5,500.00</u>	
21		1	6		
22	The fees described in Paragraph 15, above, constituted "advance fees" within the				
23	meaning of Section 10026 of the Code (advance fee is a fee, regardless of form, demanded,				nded,
24	charged, received or collected from principal before completing each and every service				e
25	contracted or represented	to be performed) and S	Section 10131.2 of	the Code (broker d	efined as
26	person in the business of o	demanding, charging, r	receiving or collecti	ing advance fee in o	connection
27	with licensed acts including	ng obtaining loans secu	ared by real propert	y). Said fees const	ituted

Ш

•

-6-

.

.

trust funds within the meaning of Section 10145 of the Code (broker who accepts funds 1 belonging to others in connection with a transaction subject to acts requiring a real estate license 2 3 shall deposit funds not placed into principal's hands or neutral escrow into a trust fund account maintained by broker) and Section 10146 of the Code (any broker who contracts for or collects 4 5 an advance fee, such fee is a trust fund, shall deposit such amount into a trust account).

17

7 In connection with the collection, receipt, and handling of the advance fees as described in Paragraph 15, above, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business 8 under the fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group, Respondent ELI 9 individually and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the 10 direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, and FSLG at the direction and 11 under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, provided to the aforesaid borrowers an 12 advance fee contract that had not been approved by the Department of Real Estate prior to use as 13 required by Section 10085 of the Code (authorizing commissioner to require submission and 14 approval of advance fee contract, materials and advertising prior to use), Section 10085.5 of the 15 Code (unlawful to demand, charge, receive, collect or contract for advance fee for performing 16 services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by lien 17 on real property unless licensed as a real estate broker) and Section 2970 of the Regulations 18 (person proposing to collect advance fee shall submit all advance fee materials to commissioner 19 20 not less than 10 days prior to use or publication).

21

6

22

18

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in connection with the collection, receipt and handling of advance fees described in Paragraphs 15 and 16, 23 above, Respondent CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI were required to provide to the 24 borrowers a verified accounting of the advance fee trust funds collected and disbursed as 25 required by Section 10146 of the Code (each principal shall be furnished a verified accounting 26 at end of each quarter and at completion of contract) and containing the information required by 27

- 7 -

Section 2972 of the Regulations (verified accounting shall include agent's name, principal's name, description of services rendered or to be rendered, identity of trust fund account, amount of advance fee collected, amounts allocated or disbursed describing services performed, commissions paid, overhead and profit, etc.).

19

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in connection 6 with the collection, receipt and handling of advance fees described in Paragraphs 15 and 16, 7 above, Respondent CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI failed to provide to the borrowers the 8 required verified accounting of the advance fee trust funds and failed to provide any accounting 9 expressly representing the amounts of trust funds disbursed, the date of trust fund disbursement, 10 the commission paid, and overhead and profit.

12 20 Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, Respondent 13 CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI compensated and/or employed FSLG to perform services 14 for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally 15 by liens on real property and to solicit borrowers and negotiate on behalf of borrowers or lenders 16

as set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above.

21

19 The acts of Respondent CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI as set forth in Paragraph 20, above, violated Section 10137 of the Code (unlawful for real estate broker to 20 employ or compensate any person for performing any acts requiring a real estate license who is 21 not a salesperson licensee licensed under said broker or who is not a licensed broker). 22

23

1

2

3

4

5

11

17

18

22

24 The acts and/or omissions of Respondent CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI described in Paragraphs 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21, above, are grounds for the suspension or 25 revocation of the license and license rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI 26 27 as follows:

1	a.) As alleged in Paragraphs 15, 16 and 17, under Sections 10085 and
2	10085.5 of the Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations all in
3	conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation
4	of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§
5	10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations);
6	b.) As alleged in Paragraphs 15, 16, 18 and 19, under Section 10146 of the
7	Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section
8	10177(d) of the Code; and,
9	c.) As alleged in Paragraphs 13, 15, 20 and 21, under Section 10137 of the
10	Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.
11	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
12	23
13	There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of
14	Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive, of the Accusation
15	with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.
16	24
17	Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course
18	and scope of the activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, Respondent
19	CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the fictitious business name of Financia
20	Solutions Law Group, Respondent ELI individually and doing business under the fictitious
21	name of Quantum Escrow and at the direction and under the control of Respondent
22	CHRISTENSEN, and FSLG at the direction and under the control of Respondent
23	CHRISTENSEN, represented to each of the borrowers set forth in Paragraph 15, contrary to
24	fact, as said Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of
25	reasonable diligence, that Respondents: 1.) could modify the borrowers' existing loans secured
26	by liens on their real properties as identified in Paragraph 15; 2.) would negotiate with each of
27	the borrowers' lenders; 3.) would reduce the borrowers' monthly loan payments, interest rates,

· · · ·

and/or loan balances; 4.) would refund the fees said borrowers paid, as set forth in Paragraph 15,
 for the loan modification service if a loan modification was not achieved; and, 5.) represented to
 the borrowers that a loan modification would not result or would be unlikely unless said
 borrowers stopped making their monthly mortgage payments to their respective lenders.

25

The representations described in Paragraph 24, above, were false and misleading 6 7 and were known by Respondent CHRISTENSEN and Respondent ELI to be false and misleading when made or made at their direction and under their control and/or ratified by said 8 Respondents, and were made with no reasonable grounds for believing said representations to 9 be true, and/or said Respondents should have known at the time through the exercise of 10 reasonable diligence that such representations were false and misleading. In truth and in fact: 11 1.) there was no certainty that a loan modification could be achieved on behalf of the borrowers 12 set forth in Paragraph 15; 2.) there was no certainty that any loan terms could be changed or the 13 monthly loan payments or principal could be lowered; 3.) a loan modification would not be 14 15 accomplished; 4) Respondents would not and did not negotiate with the borrowers' lenders as set forth in Paragraph 15; 5.) Respondents would not and did not submit anything to the 16 borrowers' lenders; 6.) Respondents would not and did not, and/or Respondents would not and 17 did not direct anyone to, refund the advance fees received from the borrowers set forth in 18 Paragraph 15; 7.) directing the borrowers to cease making their monthly loan payments would 19 not and did not enhance the likelihood of obtaining a loan modification; and, 8.) directing the 20 borrowers to cease making their monthly loan payments would cause the borrowers to lose their 21 real properties through foreclosure and/or caused said borrowers financial or credit worthiness 22 23 consequences.

24

5

The acts and omissions of Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing
business under the fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group, Respondent ELI
individually and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the

- 10 -

26

1	direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, and FSLG at the direction and				
2	under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, described in Paragraphs 24 and 25, above,				
3.	constitute misrepresentation, fraud, deceit, and dishonest dealing.				
4	27				
5	The facts alleged in Paragraphs 24, 25 and 26, above, are grounds for the				
6	suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN				
7	and Respondent ELI under the following provisions:				
. 8	(a) Under Sections 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial				
9	misrepresentation);				
10	(b) Under Section 10176(b) of the Code (making any false promises of a				
11	character likely to influence, persuade or induce);				
12	(c) Under Section 10176(i) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the				
13	same or a different character than specified in this section, which				
14	constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing);				
15	(d) Under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or				
16	incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to ho				
17	a license); and,				
18	(e) Under Section 10177(j) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the				
· 19	same or a different character than specified in this section, which				
20	constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing).				
21	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION				
22	28				
23	There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate and distinct, Cause of Actio				
24	all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, of the Accusation with the				
25	same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.				
26	///				
27	///				

29 1 2 Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times 3 herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group and/or Respondent ELI individually 4 and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the direction and under 5 the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, employed Respondent PERDOMO to perform and 6 7 engage in the activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above. 8 30 9 At no time did the Department license Respondent PERDOMO as a real estate broker. 10 11 31 12 Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, on or about 13 December 9, 2008, in the course and scope of the activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, 14 above, Respondent PERDOMO, at the direction and under the control of and/or as ratified by 15 Respondent CHRISTENSEN, represented to Harlan and Norma Hulst as set forth in Paragraph 16 15, contrary to fact, as said Respondent PERDOMO knew or should have known at the time 17 through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Respondents: 1.) could modify the borrowers' existing loans secured by liens on their real property as identified in Paragraph 15, as 1011 18 19 Darwin Place, San Diego, California; 2.) would negotiate with said borrowers' lenders; 3.) 20 would reduce the borrowers' monthly loan payments, interest rates, and/or loan balances; 4.) would refund the fees said borrowers paid, as set forth in Paragraph 15, for the loan 21 22 modification service if a loan modification was not achieved; and, 5.) represented to the borrowers that a loan modification would not result or would be unlikely unless said borrowers 23 24 stopped making their monthly mortgage payments to their lenders. 25 32 The representations described in Paragraph 31, above, were false and misleading 26 and were known by Respondent PERDOMO to be false and misleading when made and were 27 - 12 -

· .	
•	
1	directed to be made with no reasonable grounds for believing said representations to be true,
2	and/or said Respondent should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable
. 3	diligence that such representations were false and misleading. In truth and in fact: 1.) there was
4	no certainty that a loan modification could be achieved on behalf of the borrowers Harlan and
5	Norma Hulst as set forth in Paragraph 15; 2.) there was no certainty that any loan terms could be
6	changed or the monthly loan payments or principal could be lowered; 3.) a loan modification
7	would not be accomplished; 4) Respondents would not and did not negotiate with the
8	borrowers' lender; 5.) Respondents would not and did not submit anything to the borrowers'
9	lenders; 6.) Respondents would not and did not, and/or Respondents would not and did not
['] 10	direct anyone to, refund the advance fees received from the borrowers Harlan and Norma Hulst
11	set forth in Paragraph 15; 7.) directing the borrowers to cease making their monthly loan
12	payments would not and did not enhance the likelihood of obtaining a loan modification; and,
. 13	8.) directing the borrowers to cease making their monthly loan payments placed said borrowers
14	in default and risked foreclosure.
15	33
16	The acts and omissions of Respondent PERDOMO described in Paragraphs 31
17	and 32, above, constitute misrepresentation, fraud, deceit, and dishonest dealing.
18	34
19	The facts alleged in Paragraphs 31, 32 and 33, above, are grounds for the
20	suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent PERDOMO under
21	the following provisions:
22	(a) Under Sections 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial
23	misrepresentation);
24	(b) Under Section 10176(b) of the Code (making any false promises of a
25	character likely to influence, persuade or induce);
26	(c) Under Section 10176(i) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the
27	same or a different character than specified in this section, which
	- 13 -

1	constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing);			
2	(d) Under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or			
3	incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold			
4	a license);			
5	(e) Under Section 10177(j) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the			
6	same or a different character than specified in this section, which			
7	constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing); and,			
8	(f) Under Section 10137 of the Code (unlawful for real estate salesperson to			
9	be employed by or accept compensation for licensed acts from any person			
10	other than the broker under whom he is at the time licensed) in			
. 11	conjunction with Section 10177(d) the Code (suspension or revocation of			
12	license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000			
13	et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations).			
14	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
15	35			
[`] 16	There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct, Cause of			
17	Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 34, inclusive, of the Accusation			
18	with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.			
19	36			
20	At no time herein mentioned has the Department issued a real estate broker			
21	license or a real estate salesperson license to Robert Kutz, Fred Kasra, Mike Scales, and Sonja			
22	Graef.			
23	37			
24	Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times			
25	herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the			
26	fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group and/or Respondent ELI individually			
27	and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the direction and under			
	- 14 -			

.

•

,

the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, employed Robert Kutz to perform and engage in the activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, including, but not limited to the following transaction:

1

2

3

10

4	Borrower	Property	Lender	Advance Fee Received	Date Paid
6	Carla Smith	5314 S. Victoria Ave. Los Angeles, CA	IndyMac	\$2,500.00	11/6/08
7 8 9	Carla Smith	5153 - 7th Ave. Los Angeles, CA	Countrywide/ Bank of America <u>Total</u>	\$2,500.00 <u>\$5,000.00</u>	11/6/08

38

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group and/or Respondent ELI individually and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, employed Fred Kasra to perform and engage in the activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, including, but not limited to the following transaction:

18	Borrower	Property	Lender	Advance Fee Received	Date Paid
19	· · · · ·	······		Receiveu	Faid
20	Emma Smith	3011 Kirtland Ave District Heights, MD	UBS SSG	\$ 595.00 \$ 625.00	4/3/08 5/5/09
21			<u>Total</u>	\$1,220.00	
22			39		

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times
herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the
fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group and/or Respondent ELI individually
and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the direction and under
the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, employed Mike Scales to perform and engage in

the activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, including, but not limited to the 1

2 following transactions:

13

22

3	Borrower	Property _	Lender ,	Advance Fee	Date
4				Received	<u>Paid</u>
5	Randall & Barbara	617 Saddlehorn Dr.	IndyMac	\$1,750.00	11/19/08
	Hodge	Chesapeake, VA		<u>\$1,750.00</u>	12/5/08
6			<u>Total</u>	<u>\$3,500.00</u>	
7	Norice Davis-Lee &	789 Quince Ave.	Countrywide/	\$1,750.00	1/19/09
8	Otis Lee	Upland, CA	Bank of America		
9	40				
10	Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times				
11	herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN individually and doing business under the				
12	fictitious business name of Financial Solutions Law Group and/or Respondent ELI individually				

and doing business under the fictitious name of Quantum Escrow and at the direction and under the control of Respondent CHRISTENSEN, employed Sonja Graef to perform and engage in the 14 activities set forth in Paragraphs 13 and 15, above, including, but not limited to the following 15 16 transactions:

17	Borrower	Property	Lender	Advance Fee	Date
18				Received	Paid
19	Wilson Afoa	94-495 Palai Street Waipahu, HI	Unknown	\$ 500.00 \$1,300.00	10/23/08 11/3/08
20				<u>\$1,700.00</u>	12/1/08
21			Total	\$3,500.00	

41

23 The facts alleged in Paragraphs 36, 37, 38, 39 and 40, above, are grounds for the 24 suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN 25 and Respondent ELI under Section 10137 of the Code (unlawful for real estate broker to employ 26 or compensate any person for performing any acts requiring a real estate license who is not a salesperson licensee licensed under said broker or who is not a licensed broker) in conjunction 27

with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations).

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

42

There is hereby incorporated in this Fifth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 41, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

43 -

9 At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CHRISTENSEN was responsible, as the designated broker officer of Respondent ELI, for the supervision and control of the activities 10 11 conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees and of the corporate 12 activities requiring a real estate license. Respondent CHRISTENSEN failed to exercise 13 reasonable supervision and control over the loan services, mortgage brokering, and advance fee 14 collection activities of Respondent ELI and its employees. In particular, Respondent 15 CHRISTENSEN participated in, permitted, ratified, and/or caused the conduct described in the First, Second, Third, and Fourth Causes of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable 16 17 steps to insure, including, but not limited to, approval for advance fee contracts would be 18 obtained prior to use, insure that no false representations would be made to borrowers, insure 19 that quarterly accounting for advance fees would be provided to borrowers, insure proper 20 employment of individuals having a real estate license to engage in activities requiring a real estate license, insure proper supervision of employees, and to insure the implementation of 21 22 policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation and its 23 employees with the Real Estate Law (Business and Professions Code Sections 10000 et seq. and 24 Sections 11000 et seq.) and the Commissioner's Regulations (Chapter 6, Title 10, California 25 Code of Regulations). 111

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

111 27

 (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furtion relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>M</u> day of <u>Mathedia</u>, 2010. 		
The acts and/or omissions of Respondent CHRISTENSEN as described in Paragraph 43, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN under the provisions Section 10159.2 of the C (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furt relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this day of		
 Paragraph 43, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN under the provisions Section 10159.2 of the C (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and Professions Code), and for such other and furt relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>a</u> day of <u>A</u> <u>a</u>	1	
4 license rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN under the provisions Section 10159.2 of the C (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furtion relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. 18 20 21 22 23 24 24 25	2	
 (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furtion retief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>M</u> day of <u>Mattandar</u>, 2010. 	3	Paragraph 43, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and
 behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>Mathematic</u>, 2010. 	4	license rights of Respondent CHRISTENSEN under the provisions Section 10159.2 of the Code
 with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonal supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>Markanaka</u>, 2010. 	5	(designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on
 supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furtion. Intervention of the provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this day of day of	6	behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance
 Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; et in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>M</u> day of <u>Mythodex</u>, 2010. 	7	with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonable
 in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this day of	8	supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with
 (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this day of, 2010. 	9	Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; etc.)
reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation). WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. B Dated at San Diego, California, this <u>M</u> day of <u>M</u> , 2010.	. 10	in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code
WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution.	11	(suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise
 allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. OSEPH AIU Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at San Diego, California, this day of, 2010. 	-12	reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation).
 disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estat Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and furth relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. 0 	13	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the
 Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. In this including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this including the payment of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this including the payment of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. Dated at San Diego, California, this including the payment of the Business and Professions of law, including the payment of restitution. 	14	allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing
 relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution. In the second second	15	disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate
18 19 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 24 25	16	Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further
19 20 21 21 21 22 24 25 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	17	relief as may be proper under other provisions of law, including the payment of restitution.
20 21 21 22 23 24 25 20 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	. 18	
21 22 Dated at San Diego, California, 23 this day of, 2010. 24 25	19	
Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at San Diego, California, this day of, 2010.	20	
23 this <u>V</u> day of <u>Japa Kanabac</u> , 2010. 24 25	21	
24 25	22	Dated at San Diego, California,
25	23	this day of, 2010.
	24	
	25	
	26	
27		
- 18 -	· ••• • •••	- 18 -