



NO. H-4082 SD

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

In the Matter of the Accusation of

CORP., a New York Corporation,

1.

this Stipulation and Agreement.

INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a California corporation, JACK EVAN PROBER,

SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER

Respondents.

March 30, 2010, in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"):

5

1

2

3

4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

2526

27

///

H-4082 SD

JACK EVAN PROBER

-1-

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent JACK EVAN PROBER

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be

(hereinafter referred to as "Respondent" or "Respondent PROBER"), acting in pro per, and the

Complainant, acting by and through Michael B. Rich, Counsel for the Department of Real

Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on

presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which

hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act

(APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of

.

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On April 12, 2010, Respondent PROBER filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Department of Real Estate Commissioner (hereinafter "the Commissioner") to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in the Accusation pertaining to Respondent are true and correct and stipulates and agrees that the Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as her decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

6. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and omissions of Respondent JACK EVAN PROBER described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code") and/or Section 10159.2 of the Code and Section 2725 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "Regulations") all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

<u>ORDER</u>

Ι

- A. All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent JACK EVAN PROBER under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that thirty (30) days of said suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years upon the following terms and conditions:
 - 1. Respondent shall obey all laws, rules and regulations governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a real estate licensee in the State of California; and,
 - 2. That no final subsequent determination be made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred within two (2) years of the effective date of this Decision. Should such a determination be

H-4082 SD

27

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

11/22/2011	
DATED	

ACK ELAN PROBER

Respondent

* * *

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby adopted by me as my

Decision in this matter as to Respondent JACK EVAN PROBER and shall become effective at

12 o'clock noon on **FEB 1 6 2012**

IT IS SO ORDERED_

1/19/12

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

Dayana & Deglas

FILED

ر

August 11, 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE



BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

) NO. H-4082 SD
INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a
California Corporation, JACK EVAN PROBER,
SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER
CORP., a New York Corporation,

Respondents.
)

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On April 1, 2010, an Accusation under Case No. H-4082 SD was filed in this matter against Respondent INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a California Corporation.

On April 15, 2010, Respondent petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender its corporate real estate broker license(s) pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petition of Respondent INSTANT

MORTGAGE LENDING CORP. for voluntary surrender of its corporate real estate broker license is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the

understanding and agreement expressed in Respondent's Declaration dated July 21, 2011 .1 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). Respondent's license certificate(s) and any branch office license certificate(s) shall be sent to the below listed address so that such will reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order: **DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE** Attn: Licensing Flag Section P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 SEP 0 1 2011 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on DATED: August 11, 2611 BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner Assistant Commissioner, Enforcement

2 3 5 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-4082 SD 12 INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a California corporation, JACK EVAN PROBER, 13 SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER CORP., a New York corporation, 14 15 Respondents. 16 17 DECLARATION 18 19 My name is ISRAEL HECHTER, and I am currently an officer of INSTANT 20 MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, which is licensed as a real estate broker and/or has license 21 rights with respect to said license. I am authorized and empowered to sign this declaration on 22 behalf of INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, which is acting in pro per in this matter. 23 In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the 24 Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Government Code), INSTANT 25 MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, wishes to voluntarily surrender its real estate license(s) issued 26 by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code 27 Section 10100.2.

INSTANT MORT

H-4082 SD

ĺ

INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it may be relicensed as a broker only by petitioning for reinstatement pursuant to Section 11522 of the Government Code. INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, also understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it agrees to the following:

- 1. INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, admits that all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter are true and correct.
- 2. The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as the petition of INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, for voluntary surrender.
- 3. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, that it waives all rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that it also waives other rights afforded to it in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, further agrees that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-4082 SD, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether to grant relicensure or reinstatement pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.
- 5. INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP, freely and voluntarily surrenders all of its licenses and license rights under the Real Estate Law.

26 | / / /

27 | 1

1		nder the laws of the State of California that
2	the above is true and correct and that this declaration	on was executed $\frac{2}{1}$
3	11 ~ -	•
4		
5	5 INST	ANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP,
6	5	
7	7 By: 	- Committee of the comm
.8		ISRAEL HECHTER
9	_	Dresident:
10		(Title)
11		
12		
13	3	
14	- 1	
15		
16		
17		•
18		
19		
20		
21	· ·	
22		·
23	· ·	•
25	i I	
26		
,,,		

H-4082 SD

INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP

FILE

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

August 11, 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H-4082 SD INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a California Corporation, JACK EVAN PROBER, SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER CORP., a New York Corporation. Respondents.

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On April 1, 2010, an Accusation under Case No. H-4082 SD was filed in this matter against Respondent NOTE TRACKER CORP., a New York Corporation.

On April 15, 2010, Respondent petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender its corporate real estate broker license(s) pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petition of Respondent NOTE TRACKER CORP. for voluntary surrender of its corporate real estate broker license is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement expressed in Respondent's Declaration dated July 21, 2011 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto).

Respondent's license certificate(s) and any branch office license certificate(s) shall be sent to the below listed address so that such will reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
Attn: Licensing Flag Section
P. O. Box 187000
Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

SEP 0 1 2011

DATED: August 11, 2011.

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

By WILLIAM E. MORAN
Assistant Commissioner, Enforcement

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a
California corporation, JACK EVAN PROBER,
SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER
CORP., a New York corporation,

Respondents.

. 19

NO. H-4082 SD

DECLARATION

My name is ISRAEL HECHTER, and I am currently an officer of NOTE TRACKER CORP, which is licensed as a real estate broker and/or has license rights with respect to said license. I am authorized and empowered to sign this declaration on behalf of NOTE TRACKER CORP, which is acting in pro per in this matter.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 et seq., of the Government Code), NOTE TRACKER CORP, wishes to voluntarily surrender its real estate license(s) issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

H-4082 SD

NOTE T

NOTE TRACKER CORP, understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it may be relicensed as a broker only by petitioning for reinstatement pursuant to Section 11522 of the Government Code. NOTE TRACKER CORP, also understands that by so voluntarily surrendering its license(s), it agrees to the following:

- 1. NOTE TRACKER CORP, admits that all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter are true and correct.
- 2. The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as the petition of NOTE TRACKER CORP, for voluntary surrender.
- TRACKER CORP, that it waives all rights it has to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that it also waives other rights afforded to it in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. NOTE TRACKER CORP, further agrees that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-4082 SD, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether to grant relicensure or reinstatement pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.
- 5. NOTE TRACKER CORP, freely and voluntarily surrenders all of its licenses and license rights under the Real Estate Law.

||///

25 /

H-4082 SD

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that							
2	the above is true and correct and that this declaration was executed 7/2///,							
3	2011, at Son Visco, California.							
4								
5	NOTE TRACKER CORP,							
6								
7	By:-							
8	ISRAEL HECHTER							
9	president (Title)							
10	(Title)							
11								
12								
13								
14								
15 16								
17								
18								
19								
20	•							
21								
22	·							
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								

H-4082 SD



August 26, 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Allison

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-4082 SD SYDNEY ERIC KAHN,) Respondent.

DISMISSAL

Respondent, SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, passed away on March 5, 2011.

The Accusation herein filed on March 30, 2010, is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED 8/25/

BARBARA J. BIGBY Acting Real Estate Commissioner

Barra Bagger

1 MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel State Bar No. 84257 2 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 3 MAR 3 0 2010 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 4 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-1126 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 NO. H-4082 SD 12 INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., a California corporation, JACK EVAN PROBER, ACCUSATION 13 SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER CORP., a New York corporation, 14 15 Respondents. 16 17 The Complainant, JOSEPH AIU, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 18 State of California, for Accusation against Respondent INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING 19 CORP., a California Corporation, Respondent JACK EVAN PROBER, Respondent SYDNEY 20 ERIC KAHN, and Respondent NOTE TRACKER CORP., a New York corporation, is informed 21 and alleges as follows: 22 23 The Complainant, JOSEPH AIU, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 24 State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity. 25 26 Respondents INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP., JACK EVAN

PROBER, SYDNEY ERIC KAHN, and NOTE TRACKER CORP., are presently licensed

and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "the Code").

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent INSTANT MORTGAGE LENDING CORP. (hereafter "Respondent IMLC") was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent JACK EVAN PROBER (hereinafter "Respondent PROBER") was and is licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker.

At all times beginning on June 8, 2003, through August 6, 2007, Respondent SYDNEY ERIC KAHN (hereinafter "Respondent KAHN") was licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker. At all times beginning on and after August 7, 2007, the individual real estate broker license and corporate officer license or license rights of Respondent KAHN were and remain suspended.

At all times beginning on and after April 13, 2009, Respondent PROBER was and is licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of Respondent IMLC. As said designated broker/officer, Respondent PROBER was at all times on and after April 13, 2009, responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 and Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 2725 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the Regulations") for the supervision and control of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of Respondent IMLC and of the activities of the corporation for which a real estate license is required.

26 | / / /

27 11///

•

At all times beginning on February 21, 2006, through August 6, 2007, inclusive, Respondent KAHN was licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of Respondent IMLC. Beginning on and after August 7, 2007, the broker/officer license or license rights of Respondent KAHN were indefinitely suspended. Said broker/officer license expired on February 20, 2010. As said designated broker/officer, Respondent KAHN was at all times from February 21, 2006, through August 6, 2007, inclusive, responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 and Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 2725 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations for the supervision and control of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of Respondent IMLC and of the activities of the corporation for which a real estate license is required.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent IMLC, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent IMLC committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent IMLC and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

At all times beginning on October 5, 2009, Respondent NOTE TRACKER CORP., (hereinafter "Respondent NTC") was and is licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker with Respondent PROBER licensed by the Department as its designated broker/officer. At no time prior to October 5, 2009, was Respondent NTC licensed by the Department as a real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, Israel Hechter, an individual not licensed by the Department as either a real estate broker or as a real estate salesperson, was and is the chief

executive officer and sole stockholder of Respondent IMLC and was and is the agent for service of process for, the chief executive officer of, and a stockholder of Respondent NTC, with each corporate Respondent located at and conducting business from the same principal place of business, and, therefore, having such unity of ownership, direction, management and control, that, as hereinafter set forth, all actions and omissions taken to serve the objectives, purposes and benefits of one corporation were taken to serve the objectives, purposes and benefits of the other corporation and vice versa such that each was the alter ego of the other and each was the alter ego of Israel Hechter.

At all times as hereinafter set forth, Respondent IMLC, Respondent KAHN, Respondent PROBER and Respondent NTC engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited borrowers or lenders for or negotiated loans or collected payments or performed services for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this First, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

-13

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, from August 7, 2007, through April 12, 2009, inclusive, Respondent IMLC solicited borrowers and/or lenders for, and/or negotiated loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans

were to be brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others, and/or solicited and negotiated to perform services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity, pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

6	Borrower	Property ·	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
7 8	Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick	\$ 38,486.01	7/16/07
9 10	Joe Coccimiglio	919-923 S. State Street Salt Lake City, Utah	Joseph Oppedisano	\$200,000.00	8/14/07
11	Jeronimo Martinez	1366 Corbie Circle Vista, California	Eddie Schwartz	\$ 50,000.00	8/15/07
13	Alex & Stephanie Dominguez	2365 W. Claxton Street Yuma, Arizona	Circuit Lenders	\$ 40,000.00	8/27/07
14 15	Catherina Van Veen	8663 Forsythe Street Sunland, California	Gayle Kern	\$ 85,000.00	9/1/07
16 17.	Hector Avalos	796 Cedar Avenue Chula Vista, California	Weintraub	\$ 26,090.00	9/18/07
18 19	Paul Lee & David Lee	344 W. Lancaster Blvd. Lancaster, California	Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 60,000.00	10/11/07
20	Maureen Seiferling	15655 Fantail Court San Leandro, California	Marilyn Goldman	\$125,000.00	11/7/07
21 22	Thomas Necaster	10227 Haskell Avenue North Hills, California	Suzanne Siegal	\$ 65,000.00	12/17/07
23	Patricia Friedman	845 Woodstream Street Stockton, California	CLOF1	\$ 11,000.00	1/9/08
25 26	David S. Bryant	1750 N. Mariposa Ave. Ontario, California	Eddie Schwartz	\$ 65,000.00	1/31/08
27	///				

Joseph Arceneaux	702 York Court San Diego, California	John Davito	\$	100,000.00	2/5/08
Regina Diaz	713 Glenoaks Blvd. San Fernando, California	Irma Schwartz	\$	50,000.00	2/8/08
Alberto & Alicia Canchola	1900 Ferrera Way Oxnard, California	Robert Berk	\$	22,000.00	3/26/08
Juan C. Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	\$	35,000.00	3/26/08
Neil D. Hartstein	407 - 2nd Street Fillmore, California	Michael Goldberg	\$	60,000.00	4/17/08
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Joseph Oppedisano	.\$	3,500.00	7/8/08
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Paul Velick	\$	55,000.00	3/18/09

At all times from August 7, 2007, through April 12, 2009, inclusive, Respondent IMLC engaged in activities requiring a real estate broker license as set forth in Paragraphs 11, and 13, above, when Respondent IMLC did not have a designated broker/officer licensee through whom it engaged in the business of a real estate broker.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 13 and 14, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under Section 10211 of the Code (corporate broker licensee must designate in license application one officer of the corporation through whom it engages in the business of a real estate broker), Section 10159 of the Code (each officer of a corporate broker through whom the corporation is licensed to act as a broker is, while so employed under such license, a licensed real estate broker, but licensed only to act as such for and on behalf of the corporation), and Section 10130 of the Code (unlawful to engage in the business of or act in the capacity of a real estate broker without obtaining a real estate license) all in conjunction with Section

10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, and at all times prior to October 5, 2009, Respondent NTC solicited borrowers and/or lenders for, and/or negotiated to perform services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity, pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick	\$ 38,486.01	7/16/07
Joe Coccimiglio	919-923 S. State Street Salt Lake City, Utah	Joseph Oppedisano	\$200,000.00	8/14/07
Jeronimo Martinez	1366 Corbie Circle Vista, California	Eddie Schwartz	\$ 50,000.00	8/15/07
Alex & Stephanie Dominguez	2365 W. Claxton Street Yuma, Arizona	Multiple Investors	\$ 40,000.00	8/27/07
Catherina Van Veen	8663 Forsythe Street Sunland, California	Gayle Kern	\$ 85,000.00	9/1/07
111				

1	Hector Avalos	796 Cedar Avenue	Weintraub	\$	26,090.00	9/18/07
2		Chula Vista, California				
3	Paul Lee & David Lee	344 W. Lancaster Blvd. Lancaster, California	Joseph Oppedisano	\$	60,000.00	10/11/07
5	Maureen Seiferling	15655 Fantail Court San Leandro, California	Marilyn Goldman	\$	125,000.00	11/7/07
6 7	Thomas Necaster	10227 Haskell Avenue North Hills, California	Suzanne Siegal	\$	65,000.00	12/17/07
9	Patricia Friedman	845 Woodstream Street Stockton, California	CLOF1	\$	11,000.00	1/9/08
10 11	David S. Bryant	1750 N. Mariposa Ave. Ontario, California	Eddie Schwartz	\$	65,000.00	1/31/08
12	Joseph Arceneaux	702 York Court San Diego, California	John Davito	\$	100,000.00	2/5/08
14	Regina Diaz	713 Glenoaks Blvd. San Fernando, California	Irma Schwartz	\$	50,000.00	2/8/08
15 16	Alberto & Alicia Canchola	1900 Ferrera Way Oxnard, California	Robert Berk	\$	22,000.00	3/26/08
17 18	Juan C, Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	\$	35,000.00	3/26/08
19 20	Neil D. Hartstein	407 - 2nd Street Fillmore, California	Michael Goldberg	\$	60,000.00	4/17/08
21	Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Joseph Oppedisano	\$	3,500.00	7/8/08
22	Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Paul Velick	\$	55,000.00	3/18/09
24		•	18		•	
25	At	all times from July 17, 2007	, through March 19,	20	09, inclusive	, Respondent
26]	ctivities requiring a real esta				_
27	111					-
1	Ē.					

- 8 -

17, above, when Respondent NTC did not have a real estate broker license issued by the Department.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent NTC as alleged in Paragraphs 17 and 18, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent NTC under Section 10130 of the Code (unlawful to engage in the business of or act in the capacity of a real estate broker without obtaining a real estate license) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations) and/or Section 10177(f) of the Code (acted or conducted itself in a manner that would have warranted the denial of its application for a real estate license).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, from March 8, 2007, through August 7, 2007, inclusive, while Respondent KAHN was designated broker/officer, Respondent IMLC solicited borrowers and/or lenders for, and/or negotiated loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were to be brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others, and/or solicited and negotiated to perform services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity, pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

1	Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
2	Juan De La Rosa	2867 Todd Street Oceanside, California	Annette Bosch	\$ 80,000.00	3/8/07
4 5	Luz H. Mata	515 Center Street Chula Vista, California	Judi Goldman Eddie Schwartz	\$ 22,000.00 \$ 50,000.00	4/3/07 4/3/07
6 7	Althea Lett	209 Lonetree Court Milpitas, California	Tina Armstrong	\$ 17,500.00	4/6/07
8	Rick & Rita Rivas	2326 S. Goldcrest Avenue Los Gatos, California	Anna Oppedisano Joseph Giovinazzo	\$ 43,000.00 \$ 43,000.00	4/20/07 4/20/07
10	John & Beverly Herrera	3121 Fillmore Avenue Bakersfield, California	Eddie Schwartz	\$ 45,000.00	6/11/07
11 12	Rudolph & Pimpa Huzar	19907 Wilmington Avenue Los Angeles, California	Patricia Litrenta	\$ 10,000.00	6/15/07
13 14	Flora James	1281 - 61st Avenue Oakland, California	Robert Berk	\$ 85,000.00	6/18/07
15	1		22		
16	Wi	thin the three-year period pr	ior to the filing of thi	s Accusation, i	n the course
17	and scope of the a	ctivities described in Paragra	aph 11, above, from A	April 13, 2009,	through June
18		e, while Respondent PROBI			
19	IMLC solicited bo	errowers and/or lenders for, a	and/or negotiated loan	ns secured dire	ctly or
20	collaterally by lier	s on real property, wherein	such loans were to be	brokered, arra	nged,
21	processed, and/or	consummated on behalf of o	others, and/or solicite	d and negotiate	ed to perform
22	services for borrov	wers or lenders in connection	n with loans secured	directly or colla	aterally by
23	liens on real prope	erty or on a business opportu	nity, pursuant to Sect	tion 10131(d) c	of the Code,
24	for or in expectation	on of compensation, including	ng, but not limited to,	, the following	transactions:
25	Borrower	Property	Lender/	Amount	Date
26 27	John Burdette	9183 Nightingdale Road ? , California	Investor WestgateMtgProfit	\$ 65,000.00	Funded 4/9/09

1	David P. Noyes	68 Monarch Bay Drive	WestgateMtgProfit	\$325,000.00	5/4/09			
2		Dana Point, California						
3	Janice Poythress	1588 Borman Way Chico, California	Anna Oppedisano WestgateMtgProfit	\$191,896.00 \$125.000.00	5/4/09 5/4/09			
4				4120,000.00	2, 1, 02			
5	Kerri L. Quaglino	20592 Charlotte Court Soulsbyville, California	Eddie Prober	\$ 30,000.00	5/4/09			
6 7	Richard Rameriz	1750 W. Citracado Pkwy. Escondido, California	Tina Armstrong	\$ 79,516.00	5/4/09			
8	Elizabeth C.	722 Navy Largay Assampa	Wastestal Manager	# # 0.000.00	(124/00			
٥	Hennessy	723 New Jersey Avenue Norfolk, Virginia	WestgateMtgProfit	\$ 50,000.00	6/24/09			
9		,g						
10			23					
11	Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times							
12	herein mentioned, in acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraphs 11, 13, 21, and 22,							
13	above, Respondent IMLC, accepted or received and/or disbursed funds in trust, including							
14	investor funds, borrower loan funds, borrower payments, and foreclosure proceeds (hereinafter							
15	"trust funds") fror	m or on behalf of investors, l	enders, note owners,	borrowers, and	l/or others in			
16	connection with sa	aid mortgage activities and l	oan services conduct	ed on behalf of	lenders and			
17	borrowers.							
18			24					
19	Wi	thin the three-year period pr	ior to the filing of thi	s Accusation a	nd at all times			
20	herein mentioned, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, the							
21	aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondent IMLC were deposited or caused to be							
1								

23

24

25

26

27

25

Drive, in San Diego, California, Account No. 3827445044, entitled "Note Tracker Corp"

(hereinafter "Account #1) with Israel Hechter as the sole signatory on said account.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, as set forth

in Paragraphs 23 and 24, above, Respondent IMLC failed to deposit and maintain the trust funds in a trust account or neutral escrow depository, or to deliver them into the hands of the owners of the funds, as required by Section 10145 of the Code (requiring broker to place trust funds into neutral escrow, into broker's trust fund, or into hands of principal), in such a manner that as of June 30, 2009, there was a trust fund shortage in Account #1 in the sum of \$258,245.15.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds held on behalf of another or others, Respondent IMLC and Respondent NTC commingled trust funds with Respondents' own money or property and converted said trust funds to their own use and benefit or to purposes not authorized by the rightful owners of said funds in violation of Sections 10145 and 10176(e) of the Code (commingling broker funds with trust funds grounds for suspension or revocation) and Section 2835 of the Regulations (prohibiting commingling and requiring broker owned fees to be disbursed from trust account within 25 days). The exact amount of said converted trust funds is unknown to Complainant, but well known to Respondents and is not less than \$218,210.85.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, as alleged above, Respondent IMLC:

- (a) Failed to maintain a control record for trust funds received and disbursed and containing the information as required by Section 2831 of the Regulations (requiring record of trust funds received and disbursed in columnar form, in chronological sequence, dates of receipt, from whom received, dates disbursed, date deposited, identity of depository, daily balance, etc.) for Account #1;
- (b) Failed to maintain a separate record for each beneficiary of trust funds received and disbursed as required by Section 10145(g) of the Code

(broker shall maintain separate record of receipt and disposition of all trust funds) and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations (broker shall keep separate record for each beneficiary, accounting for all funds deposited to trust account, in columnar chronological form deposit date and amount, disbursement dates, disbursement check numbers, and balance after posting);

- (c) Failed to perform, at least once a month, a reconciliation of all the separate beneficiary records with the control record, and/or failed to maintain a record of such reconciliations as required by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations (perform monthly a balance of all separate beneficiary funds and reconcile funds received with funds disbursed, and maintain a record of such reconciliations) for Account #1;
- (d) Failed to designate Account #1 as a trust account in the name of
 Respondent IMLC as broker and as trustee and deposited client trust
 funds into that account in violation of Section 2832 of the Regulations
 (requiring account into which trust funds deposited be designated a trust
 account in the name of the broker or the broker's licensed fictitious
 business name);
- (e) Permitted Israel Hechter, a person who neither held a California real estate license nor was bonded, to be a signatory on Account #1 in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations (requiring withdrawals from account holding trust funds to be performed only by authorized signatories who must be broker as trustee, designated broker/officer, licensed broker employee or real estate salesperson licensed to broker, or an unlicensed employee having fidelity bond coverage equal to maximum amount of trust funds in account); and,

(f) Failed to maintain canceled checks and deposit receipts for Account #1 in violation of Section 10148 of the Code (broker shall retain for three years copies of all deposit receipts, canceled checks, trust records obtained or executed by broker in connection with any transaction requiring a real estate license) and/or Section 2836 of the Regulations (broker shall maintain all trust fund records described in Section 10148 of the Code).

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged above constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under the following provisions:

- (a) As alleged in Paragraph 25, under Section 10145 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations);
- (b) As alleged in Paragraph 26, under Section 10145 of the Code and Section
 2835 of the Regulations all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the
 Code and under Section 10176(e) of the Code;
- (c) As alleged in Paragraph 27(a), under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As alleged in Paragraph 27(b), under Section 10145(g) of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) As alleged in Paragraph 27(c), under Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (f) As alleged in Paragraph 27(d), under Section 2832 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

///

- (g) As alleged in Paragraph 27(e), under Section 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (h) As alleged in Paragraph 27(f), under Section 10148 of the Code and Section 2836 of the Regulations all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent NTC as alleged in Paragraph 26, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent NTC under Section 10177(f) of the Code (acted or conducted itself in a manner that would have warranted the denial of its application for a real estate license) and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code (engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing) and/or Section 10177(d) of the Code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraphs 11, 13, 21, and 22, above, and in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, the aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondent IMLC that were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondent IMLC into Account #1 were held in said account as follows:

25 | / / /

26 ///

27 1///

1	Investor/ Lender	Loan Payoff Received by IMLC	Loan/ Borrower	Date Received	Remaining in Account Until/After
2	Gayle Kern	\$ 90,000.00 \$ 85,000.00	Stephens loan Van Veen loan	8/9/07 8/9/07	6/30/09 6/30/09
4 5	Tina Armstrong	\$ 44,102.00	(Floating - no specific loan)	2/09	6/30/09
6	Keith Youngswick	\$ 26,373.44	(Floating - no specific loan)	11/08	6/30/09
7 8	Annette Bosch	\$ 56,290.00	Faust loan	1/26/09	6/30/09
9 10	Anna Maria Oppedisano	\$ 70,000.00	Garcia loan	1/7/09	6/30/09
11	Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 95,000.00	Garcia loan	1/7/09	6/30/09
13	Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 30,000.00	Huggins loan	12/10/07	6/30/09
14	Joseph Giovinazzo	\$ 25,000.00	Hessick loan	4/27/09	6/30/09
16 17			32		

At no time herein mentioned did Respondent IMLC have a written agreement with the lenders set forth in Paragraph 31, above, allowing Respondent IMLC to retain for a period exceeding 25 days in Account #1 the loan funds of said lenders after said loans were paid pursuant to the terms of the promissory notes.

At no time herein mentioned did Respondent NTC have a written agreement with the lenders set forth in Paragraph 31, above, allowing Respondent NTC to retain for a period exceeding 25 days in Account #1 the loan funds of said lenders after said loans were paid pursuant to the terms of the promissory notes.

M/M

27 ||///

The acts and omissions of Respondent IMLC as set forth in Paragraphs 31 and 32, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under Section 10231 of the Code (broker shall not accept loan funds from a lender, or directly or indirectly cause such funds to be deposited to an escrow, except as to a specific loan to be secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property) and Sections 10231.1 and 10232.4(c) (broker shall not retain funds payable pursuant to terms of promissory note secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property for a period longer than 25 days except pursuant to written agreement with lender) all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations) and/or Sections 10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code (engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing).

The acts and omissions of Respondent NTC as set forth in Paragraphs 31 and 33, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under Section 10130 of the Code (unlawful to engage in the business of or act in the capacity of a real estate broker without obtaining a real estate license) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations) and/or Section 10177(f) of the Code (acted or conducted itself in a manner that would have warranted the denial of its application for a real estate license) and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code (engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing) and/or Section 10177(d) of the Code.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Fifth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 35, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, Respondent IMLC was a real estate broker meeting the "threshold" criteria of Section 10232(a) of the Code (within a 12 month period either negotiates 10 or more loans secured directly or collaterally by real property aggregating \$1,000,000.00 or more, or collects note payments on behalf of lenders or obligors aggregating \$250,000 or more) and Section 10232(b) of the Code (negotiates two or more new loans secured directly or collaterally by real property aggregating more than \$250,000 within 3 consecutive months or within 6 consecutive months sells 5 or more new loans or existing promissory notes secured directly or collaterally by real property aggregating more than \$500,000) when Respondent negotiated and closed 22 loans having an aggregate total exceeding \$2.06 million exclusive of loans in which Israel Hechter was an investor.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, Respondent IMLC was, and/or Respondent NTC acted as, a real estate broker meeting the "threshold" criteria of Section 10232(a) of the Code (within a 12 month period either negotiates 10 or more loans secured directly or collaterally by real property aggregating \$1,000,000.00 or more, or collects note payments on behalf of lenders or obligors aggregating \$250,000 or more) by collecting payments totaling more than \$299,000.00 from borrowers on behalf of private lenders during a three month period from May 1, 2007 to July 31, 2007.

26 ///

27 ||///

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in so acting as a mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 38,

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in so acting as a mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraphs 37 and 38, above, Respondent IMLC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate within thirty (30) days after the end of the first three quarters of Respondent's 2007 fiscal year the trust fund status reports required by Section 10232.25 of the Code (requiring threshold lender within 30 days after the end of each of the first three fiscal quarters to submit to the Commissioner a trust fund status report).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in so acting as a mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraphs 37 and 38, above, Respondent IMLC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate an annual status report for Respondent's 2007 and 2008 fiscal years containing the information set forth in Section 10232.2 of the Code (broker shall within 90 days after end of fiscal year annually file with Commissioner report of review by independent public accountant of trust fund financial statements, receipt and disposition of all funds applied to loans and loan servicing, with itemized trust fund accounting).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in so acting as a mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraphs 37 and 38, above, Respondent IMLC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate written notification that it met the threshold criteria as required by Section 10232(e) of the Code (broker meeting the criteria of Section 10232 shall notify the Department in writing within 30 days after making that determination).

above, Respondent NTC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate within thirty (30) days after the end of the first three quarters of Respondent's 2007 fiscal year the trust fund status reports required by Section 10232.25 of the Code (requiring threshold lender within 30 days after the end of each of the first three fiscal quarters to submit to the Commissioner a trust fund status report).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in so acting as a mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 38, above, Respondent NTC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate an annual status report for Respondent's 2007 and 2008 fiscal years containing the information set forth in Section 10232.2 of the Code (broker shall within 90 days after end of fiscal year annually file with Commissioner report of review by independent public accountant of trust fund financial statements, receipt and disposition of all funds applied to loans and loan servicing, with itemized trust fund accounting).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in so acting as a mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 38, above, Respondent NTC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate written notification that it met the threshold criteria as required by Section 10232(e) of the Code (broker meeting the criteria of Section 10232 shall notify the department in writing within 30 days after making that determination).

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 37, 38, 39, 40 and 41, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under the following provisions:

(a) As alleged in Paragraph 39, under Section 10232.25 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation

of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations);

- (b) As alleged in Paragraph 40, under Section 10232.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (c) As alleged in Paragraph 41, under Section 10232(e) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent NTC as alleged in Paragraphs 38, 42, 43, and 44, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent NTC under the following provisions:

- (a) As alleged in Paragraphs 38, 42, 43, and 44, under Section 10130 of the Code (unlawful to engage in the business of or act in the capacity of a real estate broker without obtaining a real estate license) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations) and/or Section 10177(f) of the Code (acted or conducted itself in a manner that would have warranted the denial of its application for a real estate license);
- (b) As alleged in Paragraph 42, under Section 10232.25 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations);
- (c) As alleged in Paragraph 43, under Section 10232.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (d) As alleged in Paragraph 44, under Section 10232(e) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Sixth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 46, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, from July 17, 2007, through March 24, 2008, inclusive, Respondent IMLC solicited borrowers and/or lenders for, and/or negotiated loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were to be brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others, and/or solicited and negotiated to perform services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity, pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Closed
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick Joseph Oppedisano	,	7/16/07 7/8/08
Alex & Stephanie Dominguez	2365 W. Claxton Street Yuma, Arizona	Circuit Investors	\$ 40,000.00	8/28/07
Neil D. Hartstein	407 - 2nd Street Fillmore, California	Michael Goldberg	\$ 60,000.00	4/18/08
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Paul Velick	\$ 55,000.00	3/18/09
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Michael Goldberg	\$ 62,000.00	3/18/09
Catherina Van Veen	8663 Forsythe Street Sunland, California	Gayle Kern	\$ 85,000.00	9/13/07

Catherina Van Veen	8663 Forsythe Street Sunland, California	Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 40,000.00	9/13/07
Georginna Vega	4431 Berwick Drive San Diego, California	Michael Barone	\$ 85,000.00	10/16/08
Alberto & Alicia Canchola	1900 Ferrera Way Oxnard, California	Robert Berk	\$ 22,000.00	3/20/08
Juan C, Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	\$ 35,000.00	3/24/08

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, and at all times herein mentioned, in the course and scope of soliciting and negotiating with lenders to lend and/or to purchase promissory notes as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, Respondent IMLC was required under Section 10232.5 of the Code to provide a Lender Purchaser Disclosure Statement (hereinafter "LPDS") to each lender containing the information required by Section 10232.5 of the Code (broker must provide disclosure to lender containing property address, estimated fair market value, type of construction, borrower income and credit, note terms, property encumbrances, other anticipated loans, loan servicing provisions, broker's commissions, joint beneficiary arrangements).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, and at all times herein mentioned, in the course and scope of soliciting and negotiating with lenders to loan or to purchase promissory notes as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, Respondent IMLC failed to provide a LPDS to the lenders as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, failed to keep a copy of the LPDS provided to the aforesaid lenders, and/or failed to make a copy of the LPDS as provided to the aforesaid lenders available to the Commissioner's representative after notice.

||///

||///

 Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, and at all times herein mentioned, in the course and scope of soliciting and negotiating with borrowers as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, Respondent IMLC was required under Section 10240 of the Code (broker shall within 3 days after acceptance of a loan application or before borrower becomes obligated on note provide statement in writing signed by borrower containing all information required by Section 10241 of the Code) to provide to each borrower a copy of the "Mortgage Lender Disclosure Statement" (hereinafter "MLDS") that conformed to the requirements of Section 10241 of the Code (requiring MLDS to disclose: all costs and expenses of loan; commissions, points and bonuses paid to broker; liens encumbering property; amounts to be paid by borrower to others; loan balance; funds due to borrower; principal; interest rate; balloon payments; name, address and license number of broker; inclusion of broker controlled funds; prepayment terms; a statement that the MLDS does not constitute a loan commitment; name and license number of broker's salesperson employee.).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, and at all times herein mentioned, in the course and scope of soliciting and negotiating with borrowers as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, Respondent IMLC failed to provide a MLDS to the borrowers as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, failed to keep a copy of the MLDS provided to the aforesaid borrowers as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, and/or failed to make a copy of the MLDS as provided to the aforesaid lenders as set forth in Paragraph 48, above, available to the Commissioner's representative after notice.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 49, 50, 51, and 52, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under the following provisions:

///

	- 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
7 8	İ
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

26

27

(a) As alleged in Paragraphs 49 and 50, under Section 10232.5 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations), and/or under Section 10148 of the Code (broker shall retain for three years copies of all documents and records executed or obtained by broker in connection with any transaction requiring a real estate license and shall make such available to the Commissioner's representative upon notice) and/or Section 10232.4(a) of the Code (requiring broker to keep copy of LPDS for three years) all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

- (b) As alleged in Paragraphs 51 and 52, under Sections 10240 and 10241 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or under Section 10148 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (c) As alleged in Paragraphs 49, 50, 51, and 52, under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license)

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

54

There is hereby incorporated in this Seventh, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 53, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

55

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, Respondent IMLC solicited lenders for, and/or negotiated loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein

such loans were to be brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others, and solicited and negotiated to perform services for lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity, pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
Alex & Stephanie Dominguez	2365 W. Claxton Street Yuma, Arizona	Circuit Investors	\$ 40,000.00	8/28/07
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Paul Velick	\$ 55,000.00	3/18/09
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Michael Goldberg	\$ 62,000.00	3/18/09
Neil D. Hartstein	407 - 2nd Street Fillmore, California	Michael Goldberg	\$ 60,000.00	4/18/08
Georginna Vega	4431 Berwick Drive San Diego, California	Michael Barone	\$ 85,000.00	10/16/08
Marion Foster	3056 Slivkoff Drive Escondido, California	Edwin A. Prober	\$100,000.00	12/7/05
Craig & Judy Woods	Unknown	Joseph Oppedisano	\$100,000.00	4/26/07
Charles Faust	Unknown	Annette Bosch	\$ 60,000.00	3/19/07
Loreto & Genoveva Garcia	Unknown	Joseph Oppedisano Anna Oppedisano	\$ 95,000.00 \$ 70,000.00	9/25/07 9/25/07
Jo Ann Hessick	8585 El Dorado Road Atascadero, California	Joseph Giovinazzo	\$125,000.00	4/9/07
Huggins	Unknown	Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 30,000.00	12/10/07
Juan C. Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	\$ 35,000.00	3/26/08 .

1	1	1900 Ferrera Way	Robert Berk	\$ 22,000.00	3/26/08
2	Canchola	Oxnard, California			
3	Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick Joseph Oppedisano	•	7/16/07 7/8/08
4					
5			56		
6	Wi	ithin the three-year period p	rior to the filing of th	is Accusation,	and at all times
7	herein mentioned,	, in the course and scope of	soliciting and negotia	ting with lende	ers for services
8	to collect loan pay	ments from borrowers and	to disburse same to le	enders as set fo	rth in
9	Paragraph 55, abo	ove, Respondent IMLC nego	otiated with said lende	ers either on its	own behalf to
10	perform as a loan servicer or on behalf of Respondent NTC to be the loan servicer, at a time				r, at a time
11	when Respondent NTC was neither licensed by the Department of Real Estate as a real estate				a real estate
12	broker nor exempt from licensure as a real estate broker.				
13			57		
14	Wi	ithin the three-year period p	rior to the filing of th	is Accusation,	and at all times
15	herein mentioned,	, in the course and scope of	the activities describe	ed in Paragraph	s 55 and 56,
16	above, Responden	nt IMLC was required under	Section 10233(a) of	the Code (requ	iring broker
17	undertaking to ser	vice promissory notes secur	red directly or collate	rally by real pro	operty to have
18	written authorizat	ion from the lender or note	owner that is complia	ant with the terr	ns of Section
19	10238(k)(1), (k)(2	2), (k)(4) and (k)(5) of the C	code) to provide a wri	tten agreement	to the lenders
20	obligating either a	licensed real estate broker	or a person exempted	from the licen	sing
21	requirement to act	as agent for the lenders for	the purpose of collec	cting and disbu	rsing loan
22	payments as requi	red under Section 10238(k)	of the Code (requirir	ng servicer of n	otes and trust
23	deeds to be a licer	nsed real estate broker or a p	person exempted from	n licensure und	er Chapter 3 of
24	the Real Estate La	w, Business and Profession	s Code, Sections 101	30 et seq.)	
25			58		
26	Wi	thin the three-year period p	rior to the filing of the	is Accusation,	and at all times

27 herein mentioned, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraphs 55 and 56,

above, Respondent IMLC was required to provide to the lenders a written agreement for servicing notes and trust deeds that contained pursuant to Section 10238(k) of the Code the following terms:

- (a) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(1)(A) of the Code, terms stating that payments received on the notes would be immediately deposited to a trust account maintained in accordance with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2830.1 et seq. of the Regulations;
- (b) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(1)(B) of the Code, terms stating that payments received on the notes would not be commingled with the assets of the servicing agent or used for any other transactions for which the funds were received;
- (c) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(2) of the Code, terms stating that payments received on the notes shall be transmitted to the lender within 25 days after receipt by the agent;
- (d) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(4) of the Code, terms stating that the servicing agent shall file a request for notice of default upon any prior encumbrances and promptly notify the note purchasers or lenders of any default on prior encumbrances or notes subject to the servicing agreement; and,
- (e) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(5) of the Code, terms stating that the servicing agent shall promptly forward copies to each note purchaser or lender any notice of trustee sale filed on behalf of the lender's or purchaser's behalf and any request for reconveyance of the deed of trust.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraphs 55, 56, 57, and 58, above, Respondent IMLC failed to provide to and/or failed to obtain for the lenders written loan servicing agreements

containing the terms and language required under Section 10233 and 10238(k) of the Code as set forth in paragraph 58, above, in all of the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
Alex & Stephanie Dominguez	2365 W. Claxton Street Yuma, Arizona	Circuit Investors	\$ 40,000.00	8/28/07
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Paul Velick	\$ 55,000.00	3/18/09
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Michael Goldberg	\$ 62,000.00	3/18/09
Neil D. Hartstein	407 - 2nd Street Fillmore, California	Michael Goldberg	\$ 60,000.00	4/18/08
GeorginnaVega	4431 Berwick Drive San Diego, California	Michael Barone	\$ 85,000.00	10/16/08
Marion Foster	3056 Slivkoff Drive Escondido, California	Edwin A. Prober	\$100,000.00	12/7/05
Jo Ann Hessick	8585 El Dorado Road Atascadero, California	Joseph Giovinazzo	\$125,000.00 ·	4/9/07
Juan C. Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	\$ 35,000.00	3/26/08
Alberto & Alicia Canchola	1900 Ferrera Way Oxnard, California	Robert Berk	\$ 22,000.00	3/26/08
		60		

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraphs 55, 56, 57, 58 and 59, above, Respondent IMLC failed to make available to the Commissioner's representative after notice and/or failed to retain a copy for three years the loan servicing agreements Respondent negotiated for and/or obtained for lenders in all of the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
Craig & Judy Woods	Unknown	Joseph Oppedisano	\$100,000.00	4/26/07
Charles Faust	Unknown	Annette Bosch	\$ 60,000.00	3/19/07
Loreto & Genoveva Garcia	Unknown	Joseph Oppedisano Anna Oppedisano	\$ 95,000.00 \$ 70,000.00	9/25/07 9/25/07
Huggins	Unknown	Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 30,000.00	12/10/07
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick Joseph Oppedisano	•	7/16/07 7/8/08
·		61		,

. 18

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraphs 55, 56, 57, 58 and 59, above, Respondent IMLC lowered the rate of interest due and the monthly amount payable under the promissory notes to the lenders without any written agreement with said lenders, or failed to make the new written agreements available to the Commissioner's representative after notice and/or failed to retain a copy for three years any new written loan servicing agreements lowering the interest rate, in, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Note Rate	Lowered to	Date Lowered
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick Joseph Oppedisano	14.5% 14.5%	10% 5%	5/09 1/09
A. & A. Canchola	1900 Ferrera Way Oxnard, California	Robert Berk	12%	7%	1/09
Juan C. Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	12%	7%	1/09
///				•	
///					
///		·			

.

26 || 27 || The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 55 through 61, inclusive, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under the following provisions:

- (a) As alleged in Paragraphs 55 through 61, inclusive, above, under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license);
- (b) As alleged in Paragraph 59, above, under Sections 10233 and 10238(k) of the Code all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations);
- (c) As alleged in Paragraph 60, above, under Section 10148 of the Code (broker shall retain for three years copies of all documents and records executed or obtained by broker in connection with any transaction requiring a real estate license and shall make such available to the Commissioner's representative upon notice) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and,
- (d) As alleged in Paragraph 61, above, under Sections 10233 and 10238(k) of the Code and/or Section 10148 of the Code all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and/or Sections 10176(i) and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code (engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing).

///

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Eighth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 62, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, Respondent IMLC solicited borrowers and/or lenders for, and/or negotiated loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein such loans were to be brokered, arranged, processed, and/or consummated on behalf of others, and/or solicited and negotiated to perform services for borrowers or lenders in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity, pursuant to Section 10131(d) of the Code, for or in expectation of compensation, wherein Respondent IMLC secured the loans by recording deeds of trust in the name of Respondent IMLC as beneficiary in, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender Investor	Date Closed	Trust Deed Recorded
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C	Keith Youngswick	7/17/07	7/17/07
	Ojai, California	Joseph Oppedisano	7/17/07	7/17/07
Alex & Stephanie Dominguez	2365 W. Claxton Street Yuma, Arizona	Circuit Lenders	8/28/07	9/11/07
Neil D. Hartstein	407 - 2nd Street Fillmore, California	Michael Goldberg	4/18/08	4/18/08
Thomas Rey	82 Hitchcock Canyon	Paul Velick	3/20/09	3/20/09
Ellington	Carmel Valley, California	Michael Goldberg	3/20/09	3/20/09
Catherina	8663 Forsythe Street	Gayle Kern	9/13/07	9/12/07
Van Veen	Sunland, California	Joseph Oppedisano	9/13/07	9/12/07

- 32 -

1 2

ĺ					
	Georginna Vega	4431 Berwick Drive San Diego, California	Michael Barone	10/16/08	10/16/08
	Alberto & Alicia Canchola	1900 Ferrera Way Oxnard, California	Robert Berk	3/20/08	3/25/08
	Juan C. Chavez	3151 N. Corona Place Nogales, Arizona	Robert Berk	3/24/08	3/24/08
ļ			65		

At no time within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at no time prior to June 30, 2009, in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 64, above, did Respondent IMLC record an assignment of its interests as beneficiary in the deeds of trust to the investors and lenders as set forth in Paragraph 64, above.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 64 and 65, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under Section 10242 of the Code (broker negotiating loan secured by real property shall record trust deed in county where property is located naming lender as beneficiary who shall not be the broker or broker's nominee, or broker who sells or exchanges or who negotiates sale or exchange of promissory note secured by trust deed shall cause proper assignment of trust deed to be executed and recorded) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations), and/or Sections 10176(i) and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code (engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing).

25 ||///

26 || / / /

27 ||///

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Ninth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 66, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation in the course and scope of the activities described in Paragraph 11, above, Respondent IMLC engaged in the business of selling or offering to sell undivided interests in a note or notes secured directly by one or more parcels of real property equivalent to a series transaction and met the definition of a multi-lender within the meaning of Sections 10237 and 10238 of the Code in, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Amount Loaned	Date Funded
Neena Chauhan	1115 Creekside Way #C Ojai, California	Keith Youngswick Joseph Oppedisano	•	7/16/07 7/8/08
Catherina Van Veen	8663 Forsythe Street Sunland, California	Gayle Kern Joseph Oppedisano	\$ 85,000.00 \$ 40,000.00	9/1/07 Unknown
Thomas Rey Ellington	82 Hitchcock Canyon Carmel Valley, California	Paul Velick Michael Goldberg	\$ 55,000.00 \$ 62,000.00	3/18/09 3/18/09
		69		

In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC failed to obtain from the investors the qualifications of income and net worth form in accordance with Section 10238(f) of the Code (requiring broker to obtain from investor a statement that investment does not exceed 10% of investor's net worth or does not exceed 10% of investor's adjusted gross income) and/or failed to retain copies of said forms for three years or failed to make copies available to the Commissioner's representative after notice in violation of Section 10148 of the Code (licensed broker shall retain for three years

all listings, deposit receipts, canceled checks, trust records, documents executed or obtained by broker in connection with transactions requiring a broker license, and shall make such records available after notice to the Commissioner or his designated representative for examination, inspection, and copying) in all of, but not limited to, the transactions set forth in Paragraph 68, above.

In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC failed to file with the Department of Real Estate within 30 days after the first multi-lender transaction the multi-lender notice as required by Section 10238(a) of the Code (requiring broker to file with Department the multi-lender notice in the form prescribed and containing the information set forth in the section within 30 days after the first transaction or within 30 days of a material change in the information).

In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC failed, pursuant to Section 10238(1) of the Code (requiring multi-lender broker to provide the disclosure set forth in Section 10232.5 of the Code), to provide to the investors the LPDS containing the information required by Section 10232.5 of the Code (broker must provide disclosure to lender containing property address, estimated fair market value, type of construction, borrower income and credit, note terms, property encumbrances, other anticipated loans, loan servicing provisions, broker's commissions, joint beneficiary arrangements) and/or failed to retain for three years, or make available to the Commissioner's representative after notice, copies of said LPDS forms in violation of Section 10148 of the Code.

In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC failed to sell the notes or interests subject to a written agreement that obligated a licensed real estate broker or a person exempted from the

requirement to hold a real estate broker license to act as agent for lenders or note purchasers to service the note or deed of trust, including receipt and transmission of payments and the institution of foreclosure, when it caused, permitted or allowed the loan servicing to be undertaken by Respondent NTC at a time when Respondent NTC was neither licensed by the Department as a real estate broker nor exempt from licensure as a real estate broker, all in violation of Section 10238(k) of the Code (notes or interests sold pursuant to this section shall be subject to written agreement obligating a licensed real estate broker or a person exempted from licensure under Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law, Business and Professions Code, Sections 10130 et seq., to be servicer of notes and trust deeds). 73 In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in

Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC failed to sell the notes or interest subject to and failed to provide to the lenders a written agreement for loan servicing as required by Section 10238(k) of the Code for servicing notes and trust deeds that contained pursuant to Section 10238(k) of the Code the following terms:

- (a) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(1)(A) of the Code, terms stating that payments received on the notes would be immediately deposited to a trust account maintained in accordance with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2830.1 et seq. of the Regulations;
- (b) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(1)(B) of the Code, terms stating that payments received on the notes would not be commingled with the assets of the servicing agent or used for any other transactions for which the funds were received;
- (c) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(2) of the Code, terms stating that payments received on the notes shall be transmitted to the lender within 25 days after receipt by the agent;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

	7
	8
•	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	

(d) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(4) of the Code, terms stating that the servicing agent shall file a request for notice of default upon any prior encumbrances and promptly notify the note purchasers or lenders of any default on prior encumbrances or notes subject to the servicing agreement; and,

(e) Pursuant to Section 10238(k)(5) of the Code, terms stating that the servicing agent shall promptly forward copies to each note purchaser or lender any notice of trustee sale filed on behalf of the lender's or purchaser's behalf and any request for reconveyance of the deed of trust.

In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC lowered the rate of interest due and the monthly amount payable under the promissory notes to the lenders without any written agreement with said lenders, or failed to make such new written agreements available to the Commissioner's representative after notice and/or failed to retain a copy for three years any such new written loan servicing agreements lowering the interest rate, and/or sold undivided interest in notes secured directly or collaterally by real property that did have identical terms for each investor in, but not limited to, the following transactions:

Borrower	Property	Lender/ Investor	Note Rate	Lowered to	Date Lowered
Neena	1115 Creekside Way #C	Keith Youngswick	14.5%	10%	5/09
Chauhan	Ojai, California	Joseph Oppedisano	14.5%	5%	1/09
Catherina	8663 Forsythe Street	Gayle Kern	13%	N/A	N/A
Van Veen	Sunland, California	Joseph Oppedisano	12.5%	N/A	N/A

In so acting as a multi-lender mortgage loan broker and agent as set forth in Paragraph 68, above, Respondent IMLC failed to include in the loan transaction documents, as required under Section 10238(i) of the Code, any terms requiring that a default upon any interest

or note is a default upon all interests or notes and that holders of more than 50 percent of the recorded beneficial interest of the notes or interests may govern the actions to be taken on behalf of all holders in accordance with Section 2941.9 of the Civil Code in the event of default or foreclosure.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 68 through 75, inclusive, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent IMLC under the following provisions:

- (a) As alleged in Paragraphs 68 through 75, inclusive, above, under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license);
- (b) As alleged in Paragraph 69, above, under Section 10238(f) of the Code and/or Section 10148 of the Code all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations);
- (c) As alleged in Paragraph 70, above, under Section 10238(a) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As alleged in Paragraph 71, above, under Section 10238(l) of the Code
 and/or Section 10148 of the Code all in conjunction with Section
 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) As alleged in Paragraph 72, above, under Section 10238(k) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (f) As alleged in Paragraph 73, above, under Sections 10238(k)(1), (k)(1)(B),
 (k)(2), (k)(4), and (k)(5) of the Code all in conjunction with Section
 10177(d) of the Code;

///

(g) As alleged in Paragraph 74, above, under Sections 10233 and 10238(k) of the Code and/or Section 10148 of the Code, and/or Section 10238(g) of the Code (notes or interests of purchasers shall be identical in their underlying terms, including right to direct foreclosures, rights to rate of interest) all in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and/or Section 10176(i) and/or Section 10177(j) of the Code (engaged in any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing); and,

(h) As alleged in Paragraph 75, above, under Section 10238(i) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Tenth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 76, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, on or about July 11, 2007, Respondent IMLC solicited and negotiated a loan for \$65,000.00 to Neena Chauhan (hereinafter "Borrower Chauhan"), to be secured by Borrower Chauhan's real property at 1115 Creekside Way #C, Ojai, California, subject to monthly interest only payments of \$704.17 and a balloon payment due and payable at maturity, when Respondent IMLC knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Borrower Chauhan was additionally obligated to pay \$863.00 per month to another lender holding a senior lien on her property, that she was subject to real property tax impounds of \$227.00 per month, and that that her monthly income was \$2,100.00 per month. In the course and scope of soliciting and negotiating said loan on or about July 11, 2007, Respondent IMLC accepted a loan application for Borrower Chauhan that falsely stated her income was \$6,000.00 per month

when Respondent IMLC knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Borrower Chauhan was not married and that the income information contained in the loan application included the income of her brother who was not a loan applicant, did not have an interest in the borrower's property, and who would not become obligated under the terms of the loan.

On or about July 16, 2007, Respondent IMLC induced Keith Youngswick to loan \$38,486.01 to Borrower Chauhan as part of the \$65,000.00 loan set forth in Paragraph 78, above, by concealing from said lender the fact, as Respondent knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Borrower Chauhan's income was \$2,100.00 per month and that her monthly mortgage debt to income ratio was 85.4%.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation, on or about July 11, 2007, Respondent IMLC induced Borrower Chauhan to borrow the \$65,000.00 set forth in Paragraph 78, above, by providing to Borrower Chauhan a written loan contract that stated that she would be able to draw funds from the loan proceeds during the draw period by "writing a check using one of the checks" that Respondent would furnish. In truth and in fact, Respondent IMLC would not and did not furnish checks to Borrower Chauhan, would and did require the borrower to personally request draws, which Respondent IMLC would not promptly disburse to Borrower Chauhan, and Respondent would and did delay disbursements to said borrower.

At all times herein mentioned herein, when soliciting and negotiating the loan set forth in Paragraph 78, above, Respondent IMLC knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Borrower Chauhan had insufficient income to comply with the repayment terms of said loan.

5

27 1///

The acts and omissions of Respondent IMLC described in Paragraphs 78, 79, 80 and 81, above, constitute misrepresentation, fraud, deceit, and dishonest dealing.

The facts alleged in Paragraphs 78, 79, 80 and 81, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and licensing rights of Respondent IMLC under the following provisions:

- (a) As to Paragraphs 79 and 80, above, under Section 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial misrepresentation);
- (b) As to Paragraph 80, under Section 10176(b) of the Code (making any false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce);
- (c) As to Paragraphs 78, 79, 80 and 81, under Section 10176(i) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing);
- (d) As to Paragraphs 78, 79, 80 and 81, under Section 10177(j) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing); and/or,
- (e) As to Paragraphs 78, 79, and 80, under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license).

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Eleventh, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 83, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

27

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage activities described in Paragraph 11, above, Respondent IMLC solicited and/or negotiated for loans to be secured by the borrowers' real property on the following terms, including, but not limited to, the following transactions:

1.) Real Property: 1115 Creekside Way #C, Ojai, California

Borrower: Neena Chauhan

Purpose of Property: Borrower's primary residence

<u>Purpose of Loan</u>: Home equity line of credit.

Loan Amount: \$65,000.00.

Closing Date: 7/17/07.

Date of Promissory Note: 7/11/07

Interest Rate: 13.0% per annum (initial rate)

Points & Fees: Outside broker fee of \$3,000.00, origination points of

\$3,900.00 plus \$1,495.00 processing fee, \$1,395.00 underwriting fee,

\$280.00 administrative fee, \$850.00 document preparation, \$140.00

funding fee, \$75.00 wire fee, and \$295.00 appraisal review fee for total

fees of \$11,430.00 or 17.58% of principal amount of loan.

Fully Amortized Installments: No.

Interest Only Installments: Yes. (\$704.17/month)

Balloon Payment: Yes.

Term: 60 months or 5 years.

Mortgage Debt to Gross Monthly Income Ratio: 85.4% (including senior

loan of \$1,090/month)

2.) Real Property: 1900 Ferrera Way, Oxnard, California

Borrower: Alberto & Alicia Canchola

<u>Purpose of Property</u>: Borrower's primary residence

		·
1		Purpose of Loan: Home equity line of credit.
2		Loan Amount: \$22,000.00.
3		Closing Date: 3/20/08.
4		Date of Promissory Note: 3/14/08
5		Interest Rate: 15.5% per annum.
6		Points & Fees: Outside broker fee of \$2,500.00, origination points of
7		\$4,000.00 plus \$1,020.00 processing fee, \$1,110.00 underwriting fee,
8		\$120.00 administrative fee, \$220.00 application fee, \$975.00 document
9		preparation, \$180.00 document review, \$80.00 funding fee, \$100.00 e-
10		mail fee, \$75.00 courier fee, \$75.00 wire fee, and \$130.00 warehouse fee
11		for total fees of \$10,585.00 or 48.11% of principal amount of loan.
12		Fully Amortized Installments: No.
13		<u>Interest Only Installments</u> : Yes. (.0004247 x \$22,000 x 30 =
14		\$280.27/month)
15		Balloon Payment: Yes.
16		Term: 24 months or 2 years.
17		Mortgage Debt to Gross Monthly Income Ratio: 46.3% (including senior
18		loan of \$1,481/month)
19	3.)	Real Property: 407 - 2nd Street, Fillmore, California
20		Borrower: Neil D. Hartstein
21		Purpose of Property: Borrower's primary residence
22		Purpose of Loan: Home equity line of credit.
23		Loan Amount: \$60,000.00.
24		Closing Date: 4/18/08.
25	·	Date of Promissory Note: 4/15/08
26	•	Interest Rate: 15.5% per annum.

Points & Fees: Outside broker fee of \$3,000.00, origination points of \$6,500.00 plus \$130.00 processing fee, \$1,050.00 underwriting fee, \$150.00 administrative fee, \$75.00 application fee, \$1,020.00 document preparation, \$130.00 document review, \$130.00 funding fee, \$100.00 e-mail fee, \$55.00 courier fee, \$75.00 wire fee, \$120.00 appraisal review, and \$40.00 demand fee for total fees of \$12,575.00 or 20.96% of principal amount of loan.

Fully Amortized Installments: No.

Interest Only Installments: Yes. $(.0004247 \times $60,000 \times 30 =$

\$764.38/month)

Balloon Payment: Yes.

Term: 48 months or 4 years.

Mortgage Debt to Gross Monthly Income Ratio: 50.3% (including senior loan of \$1,249.22/month)

4.) Real Property: 8663 Forsythe Street, Los Angeles, California

Borrower: Catharina M. Van Veen

Purpose of Property: Borrower's primary residence

Purpose of Loan: Home equity line of credit.

Loan Amount: \$125,000.00.

Closing Date: 9/13/07.

Date of Promissory Note: 9/6/07

Interest Rate: 15.25% per annum.

Points & Fees: Outside broker fee of \$6,000.00, origination points of \$10,000.00 plus \$1,320.00 processing fee, \$1,510.00 underwriting fee, \$125.00 administrative fee, \$75.00 application fee, \$1,150.00 document preparation, \$535.00 document review, \$220.00 funding fee, \$100.00 e-mail fee, \$50.00 courier fee, \$75.00 wire fee, and \$325.00 appraisal

1	review fee for total fees of \$21,485.00 or 17.19% of principal amount of
2	loan.
3	Fully Amortized Installments: No.
4	<u>Interest Only Installments</u> : Yes. (.00041781 x \$125,000 x 30 =
5	\$1,566.78/month)
6	Balloon Payment: Yes.
7	Term: 60 months or 5 years.
8	Mortgage Debt to Gross Monthly Income Ratio: 44.7% (including senior
9	loan of \$3,353.00/month for rental property)
10	5.) Real Property: 4431 Berwick Drive, San Diego, California
11	Borrower: Georginna Vega
12	Purpose of Property: Borrower's primary residence
13	Purpose of Loan: Home equity line of credit.
14 ·	<u>Loan Amount</u> : \$85,000.00.
15	<u>Closing Date</u> : 10/16/08.
16	<u>Date of Promissory Note</u> : 10/3/08.
17	Interest Rate: 13.99% per annum.
18	Points & Fees: Outside broker fee of \$3,500.00, origination points of
19	\$8,500.00 plus \$990.00 processing fee, \$990.00 underwriting fee,
20	\$180.00 administrative fee, \$95.00 application fee, \$800.00 document
21	preparation, \$250.00 document review, \$100.00 e-mail fee, \$50.00
22	courier fee, \$75.00 wire fee, \$150.00 appraisal review fee, and \$80.00
23	warehouse fee for total fees of \$15,760.00 or 18.54% of principal amount
24	of loan.
25	Fully Amortized Installments: No.
26	Interest Only Installments: Yes. (\$990.96/month)
27	Balloon Payment: Yes.

<u>Term</u>: 60 months or 5 years.

Mortgage Debt to Gross Monthly Income Ratio: 45.04 %

The loans set forth in Paragraph 85, above, are "covered loans" within the meaning of Section 4970 of the Financial Code in that, pursuant to said Section: 1.) the loan is secured by real property located in California used, intended to be used, or occupied as the principal dwelling of the consumer as a one-to-four residential unit; 2.) the principal balance did not exceed the then current maximum loan limit for a single family mortgage established by the Federal National Mortgage Association; 3.) the interest rate on each loan was more than 8 percentage points above the yield on Treasury securities having comparable periods of maturity on the 15th of the month immediately preceding the month in which the application for the extension of credit was received by the creditor; and/or, 4.) the total points and fees payable by the consumer at or before the closing for a mortgage or deed of trust will exceed 6 percent of the total loan amount.

The loans set forth in Paragraph 85, above, were covered loans within the meaning of Section 4973(m)(1) of the Financial Code (originator shall not avoid or attempt to avoid the application of this division by structuring a loan transaction as an open-end credit plan for the purpose of evading this division when the loan would have been a covered loan if the loan had been structured as a closed end loan).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage activities described in Paragraphs 85, 86 and 87, above, Respondent IMLC originated the loans with a term of five years or less having periodic payments that when aggregated did not fully amortize the principal balance due as of the maturity date of the loan in violation of Section 4973(b)(1) of the Financial Code

(covered loan with term of five years or less may not provide at origination for regular periodic payments that do not fully amortize principal balance as of maturity date).

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage activities described in Paragraphs 85, 86 and 87, above, Respondent IMLC had no reasonable belief that the borrowers could make the scheduled payments on the loans in violation of Section 4973(f) of the Financial Code (originator shall not make or arrange a covered loan unless at the time of consummation, the originator reasonably believes the consumers will be able to make the scheduled payments based upon current incomes and obligations other than equity in the dwelling, including the consumer's total monthly debts and the amount owed under the loan do not exceed 55% of gross monthly income),

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage activities described in Paragraphs 85, 86 and 87, above, Respondent IMLC failed to provide to the borrowers a copy of the "Consumer Caution and Home Ownership Counseling Notice," in violation of Section 4973(k)(1) of the Financial Code (requiring "Consumer Caution and Home Ownership Counseling Notice" be provided to borrower no later than three business days prior to signing loan documents containing the statutorily specified advice and information regarding risks, costs, taxes, and credit counseling), and/or failed to retain for a period of three (3) years, and/or failed to provide the Department's representative a copy of said "Consumer Caution and Home Ownership Counseling Notice" in violation of Section 10148 of the Code.

Within the three-year period prior to the filing of this Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage activities described in Paragraphs 85, 86 and 87, above, Respondent IMLC originated the loans by financing points and fees in excess

of \$1,000.00, or 6 percent of the original principal balance, exclusive of points and fees, whichever was greater in violation of Section 4979.6 of the Financial Code.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent IMLC as alleged in Paragraphs 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the following provisions:

- (a) As described in Paragraphs 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91, above, under Sections 4975 (a)(1) and (a)(2) of the Financial Code (directing that a violation of Financial Code §§ 4973, 4979.6 or 4979.7 is a violation of the broker's licensing law and authorizing licensing agency to suspend the license for not less than six months and not more than three years);
- (b) As described in Paragraphs 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's licenses and license rights under Sections 10176(i) and/or 10177(j) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or a different character than specified in this section, which constitutes fraud or dishonest dealing);
- (c) As described in Paragraphs 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90 and 91, above, under Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license); and/or,
- (d) As described in Paragraph 90, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's licenses and license rights under Section 10148 of the Code (broker shall retain for three years copies of all documents and records executed or obtained by broker in connection with any transaction requiring a real estate license and shall make such available to the Commissioner's representative upon notice) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation

4

6

5

8

9

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27 ||///

111

of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations).

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

93

There is hereby incorporated in this Twelfth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 92, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

94

At all times herein mentioned, from April 13, 2009, through June 30, 2009.

Respondent PROBER was responsible, as the designated broker officer of Respondent IMLC. for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees and of the corporate activities requiring a real estate license. Respondent PROBER failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the loan servicing and mortgage brokering activities of Respondent IMLC and its employees. In particular, Respondent PROBER participated in, permitted, ratified, and/or caused, from April 13, 2009, through June 30, 2009, inclusive, the conduct described in the Third and Fourth Causes of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps to insure, including, but not limited to, the proper handling of trust funds, proper trust fund record keeping, proper review of trust fund records and accounts, that Account #1 was designated as a trust account in the name of the broker as trustee, prevention of holding investor funds for more than 25 days without an agreement, and prevention of trust fund shortages and commingling, proper supervision of employees, and to insure the implementation of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation and its employees with the Real Estate Law (Business and Professions Code Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq.) and the Commissioner's Regulations (Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations).

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent PROBER as described in Paragraph 94, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent PROBER under the provisions of Section 10159.2 of the Code (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonable supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; etc.) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation).

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Thirteenth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 95, inclusive, of the Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

At all times herein mentioned, from March 8, 2007, through August 7, 2007, Respondent KAHN was responsible, as the designated broker officer of Respondent IMLC, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees and of the corporate activities requiring a real estate license.

Respondent KAHN failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the loan servicing and mortgage brokering activities of Respondent IMLC and its employees. In particular, Respondent KAHN participated in, permitted, ratified, and/or caused, from March 8, 2007, through August 7, 2007, inclusive, the conduct described in the Third Cause of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps to insure, including, but not limited to, the proper

1 | h 2 | a 3 | a 4 | t 5 | c 6 | F 7 | F

handling of trust funds, proper trust fund record keeping, proper review of trust fund records and accounts, that Account #1 was designated as a trust account in the name of the broker as trustee, and prevention of trust fund shortages and commingling, proper supervision of employees, and to insure the implementation of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation and its employees with the Real Estate Law (Business and Professions Code Sections 10000 et seq. and Sections 11000 et seq.) and the Commissioner's Regulations (Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations).

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent KAHN as described in Paragraph 97, above, constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent KAHN under the provisions Section 10159.2 of the Code (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of the Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonable supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; etc.) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation).

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION

PRIOR PROCEEDINGS

Effective December 8, 2004, in Case No. H-2979 SD, pursuant to Stipulation and Agreement, the real estate broker license of Respondent KAHN was suspended for one hundred twenty (120) days, with sixty (60) days stayed pursuant to terms and conditions, subject to stay for a period of two years, upon payment of audit costs of \$5,783.15 plus follow-up audit costs, a monetary penalty of \$100.00 per day for a total penalty of \$6,000.00, upon terms and conditions

that said stay shall become permanent if no further cause for disciplinary action occurs within two (2) years of the effective date of the Decision. Respondent's broker license was suspended for violating Section 10177(h) of the Business and Professions Code and Section 2725 of the Commissioner's Regulations for failure, as designated broker officer of corporate real estate broker licensee FIRST LA JOLLA LENDERS, INC., to properly supervise the licensed activities of said corporation and thereby permitting or causing said corporate licensee to violate Sections 10145, 10159.5, 10229(a), 10229(e), 10229(g)(3), 10229(k), 10232.2, 10232.5, 10232.25, and 10240 of the Code and Sections 2731, 2831, 2831.1, 2831.2, 2832, and 2834 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

Effective November 6, 2006, in Case No. H-3472 SD, pursuant to Stipulation and Agreement, the real estate broker license of Respondent KAHN was suspended for one hundred twenty (120) days, with sixty (60) days stayed pursuant to terms and conditions, subject to stay for a period of two years, upon payment of audit costs of \$4,452.00 plus follow-up audit costs, a monetary penalty of \$100.00 per day for a total penalty of \$6,000.00, upon terms and conditions that said stay shall become permanent if no further cause for disciplinary action occurs within two (2) years of the effective date of the Decision. Respondent's broker license was suspended for violating Sections 10145, 10232.4 and 10240 of the Code and Sections 2831 and 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code, and violating Sections 10176(a) and 10176(g) of the Code.

Effective August 7, 2007, the individual real estate broker license and the broker/officer license of Respondent KAHN was indefinitely suspended for failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and Agreement in Case No. H-3472 SD, as set forth in Paragraph 100, above, for failure to enroll in, complete, and pass the examination for, the Professional Responsibility Course.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

JOSEPH ATU

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Diego, California,