Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789

JAN - 8 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of RONALD KEITH COLE,

OAH No. N-2002090443

No. H-3699 SAC

Respondent.

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

11

12

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

It is hereby stipulated by and between RONALD KEITH COLE (hereinafter "Respondent"), by and through his attorney, J. Anne Rawlins; and the Complainant, acting by and through David A. Peters, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed July 2, 2002 in this matter:

All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (Government Code Section 11500 et seq.), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

H-3699 SAC

STIPULATION OF RONALD KEITH COLE

- 3. On July 15, 2002, Respondent filed his Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws his Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. The Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondent chooses not to contest these allegations, but to remain silent and understands that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a prima facie basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

26 | ///

27 | ///

H-3699 SAC

5. It is understood by the parties that the Real
Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as
her Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and
sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights
as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the
Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation
and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent
shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the
Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be
bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be cause for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Ι

The conduct of Respondent RONALD KEITH COLE, as described in the Accusation, constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 10176(a)

H-3699 SAC

and 10176(i) of the Business and Professions Code and Section

10177(d) of the Business and Professions Code in conjunction

with Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code.

ORDER

Ι

The real estate broker license and all license rights of Respondent RONALD KEITH COLE under the Real Estate Law are revoked; however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if Respondent makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for the restricted license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this Decision. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- A. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- B. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the

H-3699 SAC

Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate

Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

- C. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of the restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- D. Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent/fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- E. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's license until Respondent passes the examination.

27 | ///

H-3699 SAC

F. Respondent shall, prior to the issuance of the
restricted license and as a condition of the issuance of said
restricted license, submit proof satisfactory to the
Commissioner of payment of restitution in the amount of
\$1,500.00 to Jenny Nicolay.
G. Any restricted real estate salesperson license
issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation
by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the
restricted license.
112612 10 in At

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my counsel. and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

1) /15/02 DATED

RONALD PRITTY COLD

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

RONALD KRITH COLE Respondent

H-3699 SAC

- 6 -

STIPULATION OF RONALD KETTH COLE

1	
1	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement in as
2	to form and content and have advised my client accordingly.
3	
4	Name 13, 2002 J. Anne Rawlins
5	Attorney for Respondent
6	* * *
7	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for
8	Settlement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as
9	her Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock
10	noon on JANUARY 29, 2003
11	IT IS SO ORDERED Scenter 13, 2002.
12	PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN
13	Real Estate Commissioner
14	Huli Williah
15	Justin Minour
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

STIPULATION OF RONALD KEITH COLE

||H-3699 SAC

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE SEP 3 @ 2002 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RONALD KEITH COLE,

Case No. H-3699 SAC

OAH No. N-2002090443

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, 560 J STREET, SUITE 340/360, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 on NOVEMBER 20&21, 2002, at the hour of 9:00 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Dated: SEPTEMBER 30, 2002

DAVID A. PETERS, Counsel

1 DAVID A. PETERS, Counsel (SBN 99528) Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187000 JUL - 2 2002 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTA 4 (916) 227-0781 (Direct) -or-5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 No. H-3699 SAC RONALD KEITH COLE, 12 ACCUSATION Respondent. 13 14 15 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of 16 Accusation against RONALD KEITH COLE dba All American Financial 17 Escrow Div, All American Mortgage, All American Realty, Applied 18 Capital Group, and Valley Home Loans (hereinafter "Respondent"), 19 is informed and alleges as follows: 20 21 FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION Т 22 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real 23 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 24 Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity. 25 111 26

- 1 -

27

1

2 3

4

6

7

R

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

///

111

Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"), as a real estate broker.

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent was performing acts requiring a real estate license for or in expectation of a compensation.

IV

On or about July 9, 1999, Jason Youngberg and Aime Youngberg (hereinafter "the Buyers") submitted an offer, by and through Respondent, to purchase certain real property commonly known as 6300 El Dorado Street, El Dorado, California (hereinafter "the Subject Property") owned by Jenny Nicolay (hereinafter "the Seller"). Said offer in the form of a Residential Purchase Agreement and Receipt for Deposit provided that a \$1,000.00 deposit was being held uncashed until acceptance and would be deposited with the escrow holder within three (3) business days after acceptance.

On or about July 21, 1999, the Seller made a counter offer to the Buyers through Respondent incorporating the deposit terms contained in the offer described in Paragraph IV above and adding additional terms.

VI

On or about July 22, 1999, the Buyer accepted the counter-offer described in Paragraph V above. The \$1,000.00 deposit was a material inducement to Sellers to agree to sell the Subject Property to the Buyer.

VII

On or about July 9, 1999, Respondent, in order to induce the Seller into selling the Subject Property, falsely represented to the Seller the material fact that Respondent had received a \$1,000.00 deposit from the Buyer and that said deposit was being held by Respondent pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement. In truth and in fact Respondent did not receive or hold said deposit.

VIII

Beginning on or about July 9, 1999 and continuing thereafter Respondent failed to disclose to the Seller the material fact well known to the Respondent, but unknown to the Seller that Respondent had not received and forwarded the \$1,000.00 deposit from the Buyer to escrow as required pursuant to the purchase contract on the Subject Property.

IX

The acts and omissions of Respondent set forth above constitute the making of substantial misrepresentations, and fraud and dishonest dealing, and are cause under Sections 10176(a), and 10176(i) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate

1 In the alternative, the acts and omissions of Respondent 2 set forth above constitute negligence or incompetence in performing acts for which a real estate license is required, and 3 are cause under Section 10177(g) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent 5 6 under the Real Estate Law. 7 SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION 8 X 9 There is hereby incorporated into this second. 10 separate and distinct Cause of Accusation, all of the 11 allegations contained in Paragraphs I, II, and III of the First 12 Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein 13 fully set forth. 14 XΙ 15 On or about June 21, 2002, a representative of the 16 Real Estate Commissioner, after service of a subpoena duces 17 tecum on Respondent, required that records, papers, books, 18 accounts and documents executed or obtained in connection with transactions for which a real estate license is required be made 19 20 available for examination and inspection. Respondent failed and refused to make said records available for inspection and/or 21 22 failed to retain said records. 23 111 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111

XII

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent described above in this Second Cause of Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent's license and/or license rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10148 of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California, this ______ day of July, 2002.