

By anny Mayor

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of

No. H-3505 SD

JAMES DAVID SANFORD,

Respondent.

DISMISSAL

Respondent, JAMES DAVID SANFORD, having withdrawn his application filed on or about April 1, 2005, for a real estate broker license, the Statement of Issues against Respondent JAMES DAVID SANFORD herein filed on May 9, 2006, is DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this // day of July , 2006.

JEFF DAYI

Real Estate Commissioner

TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0781 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 In the Matter of the Application of No. H-3505 SD 12 JAMES DAVID SANFORD, STATEMENT OF ISSUES 13 Respondent. 14 15 The Complainant, J. CHRIS GRAVES, a Deputy Real Estate 16 Commissioner of the State of California, for Statement of Issues 17 against JAMES DAVID SANFORD (hereinafter "Respondent"), is 18 informed and alleges as follows: 19 20 Respondent made application to the Department of Real Estate of the State of California for a real estate broker 21 license on or about April 1, 2005. 23 II

24

25

26

27

111

- 1 -

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Statement of

Issues in his official capacity and not otherwise.

Complainant, J. CHRIS GRAVES, a Deputy Real Estate

III

In response to Question 25 of said application, to wit:
"Have you ever been convicted of any violation of law?",
Respondent answered "Yes" and disclosed the convictions set forth
in Paragraphs V, VI, VII, and VIII below.

IV

On or about June 17, 1991, in the Superior Court, County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 484-488 of the California Penal Code (Petty Theft), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.

V

On or about March 28, 1997, in the Superior Court, County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 243(e) of the California Penal Code (Battery on Spouse), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.

VI

On or about November 12, 1998, in the Superior Court, County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 23152(a) of the California Vehicle Code (Driving Under the Influence), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California

Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.

VII

On or about June 15, 2001, in the Superior Court,

County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of

Section 14601.5(a) of the California Vehicle Code (Driving with a

Suspended License), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears

a substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10,

California Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions,

or duties of a real estate licensee.

VIII

On or about July 3, 2001, in the Superior Court, County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of a violation of Section 23152(a) of the California Vehicle Code (Driving Under the Influence with a Prior), a crime involving moral turpitude which bears a substantial relationship under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations, to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.

IX

On or about January 7, 2002, after proceeding pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act in which Respondent was given fair notice of the charges, an opportunity for a hearing, and other due process protections, Respondent had an unrestricted insurance license revoked, granting the right to a restricted license, by the California Department of Insurance upon an express finding in violation of Sections 1668(b), 1668(e), 1668(h), and 1738 of the Insurance Code for acts, which if done

- 3 -

by a real estate licensee would be grounds for the suspension or revocation of a California real estate license under Section 10177(a) of the Code.

Х

On or about March 15, 2004, Respondent's restricted license issued by the California Department of Insurance was revoked upon an express finding in violation of Sections 1668(h) of the Insurance Code for acts, which if done by a real estate licensee would be grounds for the suspension or revocation of a California real estate license under Section 10177(a) of the Code.

XI

The crimes of which Respondent was convicted, as alleged in Paragraphs IV through VIII, constitute cause for denial of Respondent's application for a real estate license under Sections 480(a) and 10177(b) of the California Business and Professions Code.

XII

Respondent's failure to reveal the conviction set forth above in Paragraph IV of said application constitutes the procurement of a real estate license by fraud, misrepresentation, or deceit, or by making a material misstatement of fact in said application, which failure is cause for denial of Respondent's application for a real estate license under Sections 480(c) and 10177(a) of the California Business and Professions Code.

26 | \\\

27 | \\\

XIII

The events described in Paragraphs IX and X, constitute cause for denial of Respondent's application for a real estate license under Sections 480(a) and 10177(f) of the Code.

wherefore, the Complainant prays that the aboveentitled matter be set for hearing and, upon proof of the charges
contained herein, that the Commissioner refuse to authorize the
issuance of, and deny the issuance of, a real estate broker
license to Respondent, and for such other and further relief as
may be proper under other provisions of law.

 $\overline{\ }$

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at San Diego, California,

this 7 day of May, 2006