F JUN 1 3 2002

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

8x athleen Contraras

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

13 PAMELA MAE NIED,

Respondent.

NO. H-3440 SAC

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On August 13, 1999, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent.

On October 24, 2001, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license and that it would

not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate salesperson license be issued to Respondent, if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate salesperson license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED:

, 2002

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

BEFORE THE

AUG 2 6 1999

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Py Gen aund

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

NO. H-3440 SAC

PAMELA MAE NIED,

OAH NO. N-1999050241

Respondent.

DECISION

The Proposed Decision dated July 30, 1999, of the Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings is hereby adopted as the Decision of the Real Estate Commissioner in the above-entitled matter.

The Decision suspends or revokes one or more real estate licenses on grounds of the conviction of a crime.

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license or to the reduction of a suspension is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's <u>Criteria of Rehabilitation</u> are attached hereto for the information of respondent.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon

September 15 , 1999.

IT IS SO ORDERED August 13 , 1999.

JOHN R. LIBERATOR Acting Real Estate Commissioner

John R Liberator

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

PAMELA MAE NIED,

Case No. H-3440 SAC

OAH No. N-1999050241

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

Administrative Law Judge Catherine B. Frink, State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, heard this matter in Sacramento, California on July 8, 1999.

David B. Seals, Counsel, represented complainant.

There was no appearance by or on behalf of respondent.

Evidence was received, the hearing was closed, and the matter was submitted on July 8, 1999.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

1. The complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, filed the Accusation in his official capacity.

The Accusation was served on Pamela Mae Nied ("respondent") by certified mail on April 20, 1999. The green postal return receipt indicates that respondent accepted delivery on April 29, 1999. On May 12, 1999, the Department of Real Estate ("the Department")

received a signed notice of defense filed on behalf of respondent. The Notice of Defense lists respondent's mailing address as follows:

23448 Amber Court Auburn, California 95602

The Notice of Hearing on Accusation was served on respondent by First Class mail at the address listed on the Notice of Defense on May 27, 1999.

Despite proper service of the Accusation and Notice of Hearing in accordance with Government Code sections 11505 and 11509, respondent failed to appear at hearing and was not otherwise represented. Therefore, the matter proceeded as a default pursuant to Government Code section 11520.

- 2. Respondent is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code as a real estate salesperson.
- 3. On November 21, 1997, in the Superior and Municipal Courts of the State of California, County of Placer, respondent was convicted of violation of Penal Code section 487(a), Grand Theft of Personal Property, a misdemeanor.

The circumstances underlying the conviction are that, between April 15, 1996, and August 30, 1996, respondent willfully and unlawfully took money and personal property in the amount of \$8,125 from Pacific Capital Investments.

- 4. The crime of which respondent was convicted involved moral turpitude and was substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate licensee under 10 CCR section 2910(a)(1), the fraudulent taking, obtaining, appropriating or retaining of funds or property belonging to another person; and 10 CCR section 2910(a)(8), the doing of any unlawful act with the intent of conferring a financial or economic benefit upon the perpetrator or with the intent or threat of doing substantial injury to the person or property of another.
- 5. As a consequence of her conviction, respondent was placed on informal probation for three years, subject to various terms and conditions. Respondent was ordered to serve 60 days in jail, alternative sentencing authorized. Restitution was not ordered, in that respondent had paid at least \$10,500 in restitution to the victim prior to sentencing.

The evidence did not establish the extent to which respondent has complied with the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent's probation is due to expire on November 21, 2000.

6. There was no evidence of mitigation, extenuation or rehabilitation offered by or on behalf of respondent.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Clear and convincing evidence to a reasonable certainty established cause for discipline of respondent's license and/or license rights pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 10177(b) by reason of Findings 3 and 4, in that respondent was convicted of a crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a real estate licensee.
- 2. The matters set forth in Findings 5 and 6 are considered in making the Order below.

ORDER

All licenses and license rights of respondent Pamela Mae Nied under the Real Estate

Law are revoked pursuant to Legal Conclusion 1.

Dated: <u>July 30, 1999</u>

CATHERINE B. FRINK
Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Hearings

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ESTATE

Counsel

	DEPARTMENT OF REAL
In the Matter of the Accusation of	Case No. H-3440 Shelly
PAMELA MAE NIED	OAH No. N 1999050241
Respondent	
NOTICE OF HEARING	ON ACCUSATION
To the above named respondent:	
You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before	ore the Department of Real Estate at
The Office of Administrative Hearing	gs, 560 J Street, Suite 340/360,
Sacramento, California 94814	
on THURSDAYJuly 8, 1999 or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Acchearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law jud (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.	ge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten
You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in poperatment may take disciplinary action against you based up affidavits, without any notice to you.	be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You at you at public expense. You are entitled to represent erson nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the
You may present any relevant evidence and will be g testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of sul production of books, documents or other things by applying	bpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the
The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. does not proficiently speak the English language, you must profinterpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 114	ovide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The
Dated: MAY 27, 1999	DAVID B. SEALS Counsel

DAVID B. SEALS, Counsel (SBN 69378) Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 APR 2 0 1999 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 (916) 227-0789 Telephone: DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE (916) 227-0792 (Direct) -or-5 6 8 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of NO. H- 3440 SAC 12 PAMELA MAE NIED. ACCUSATION 13 Respondent. 14 15 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California for cause of Accusation 16 17 against PAMELA MAE NIED (hereinafter "Respondent") is informed and 18 alleges as follows: 19 20 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 21 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in 22 his official capacity. 23 II 24 Respondent is licensed and/or has license rights under 25 the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") as a real

27

estate salesperson.

III

On or about November 21, 1997, in the Superior and Municipal Courts of the State of California in and for the County of Placer, Respondent was convicted of violation of Section 487(a) of the California Penal Code (Grand Theft of Personal Property), a crime involving moral turpitude which is substantially related under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations to the qualifications, functions or duties of a real estate licensee

IV

The facts alleged above constitute cause under Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code); and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this 21% day of March, 1999.

THARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner