MAY 2 3 2001

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Spin Any

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of
CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS,

NO. H-3335 SAC

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

Respondent.

On May 4, 1998, a Decision was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent or about May 28, 1998, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent since that time.

24 ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

On February 17, 2000, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.

1 1 / / /

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 ///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

б

cc: Christopher Alexander Giapapas 32245 Callesito Fadrique Temecula, CA 92592

2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall become effective immediately.

DATED: May 15, 2001

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Laurie a. Zin

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of GEORGE TROY STANLEY,

No. H-3335 SAC
OAH No. N1998030068

Respondent.

ORDER DENYING RECONSIDERATION

On April 29, 1998, a Decision adopting the Stipulation and Agreement as to Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY was rendered in the above-entitled matter. The Decision of April 29, 1998 is to become effective as to Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY on June 25, 1998.

On May 26, 1998, Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY petitioned for reconsideration of the Decision of April 29, 1998 as to Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY only.

I have given due consideration to the petition of Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY. I find no good cause to reconsider the Decision of April 29, 1998 as to Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY only, and reconsideration is hereby denied.

///



IT IS HEREBY ORDERED

6/24

1998.

JIM ANTT, JR.

Real Estate Commissioner

In tuil

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

MAY 2 6 1998

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

2 Kathleen Contraras

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of GEORGE TROY STANLEY,

NO. H-3335 SAC

Respondent.

ORDER STAYING EFFECTIVE DATE

On May 5, 1998, an Order was rendered in the aboveentitled matter to become effective May 26, 1998.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Order of the Commissioner of May 5, 1998, is stayed for a period of thirty (30) days.

The Order of the Commissioner of May 5, 1998, shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on June 25, 1998.

DATED: May 26, 1998

JIM ANTT, JR.
Real Estate Commissioner
balein 7.(1)

Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



Jaurie A. Zin

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS, GEORGE TROY STANLEY, and DARLA A. MAYER,

Respondents.

DRE No. H-3335 SAC

OAH No. N1998030068

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondents

GEORGE TROY STANLEY (herein "STANLEY") and DARLA A. MAYER (herein "MAYER") and the Complainant, acting by and through James L.

Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on January 29, 1998 in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"):

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 2. Respondents have received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

- 3. On February 2, 1998, Respondents each filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notices of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Subject to the limitations set forth below, Respondents hereby admit that the factual allegations in the Accusation applicable to Respondents are true and correct and that the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights

as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

I

The acts and omissions of Respondents GEORGE TROY STANLEY and DARLA A. MAYER described in Paragraphs IX and XI of the Accusation constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents STANLEY and MAYER under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 11018.1(a) of the Code.

26 ///

27 ///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 3-95)

I

following terms:

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 IREV. 3-95) All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent GEORGE TROY STANLEY under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of ten (10) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, the ten (10) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition that Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$200.00 for each day the suspension is stayed, for a total monetary penalty of \$2,000.00, and upon condition that no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter. Any stay granted pursuant to this paragraph shall be subject to the

- Said monetary penalty payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 2. The Commissioner may, if a final subsequent determination is made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred during the two (2) year period following the effective date of the Decision in this matter, vacate and set aside the stay and order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit,

prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.

3. If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no order vacating the stay is made pursuant to subparagraph 2, above, the stay granted pursuant to this paragraph shall become permanent.

II

MAYER under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of ten (10) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, the ten (10) day suspension shall be stayed upon condition that Respondent pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Code at the rate of \$200.00 for each day the suspension is stayed, for a total monetary penalty of \$2,000.00, and upon condition that no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within two (2) years from the effective date of the Decision in this matter. Any stay granted pursuant to this paragraph shall be subject to the following terms:

- Said monetary penalty payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
- 2. The Commissioner may, if a final subsequent determination is made, after hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary action occurred during the two (2) year period following the effective date of the Decision in this matter,

vacate and set aside the stay and order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension, in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Order.

3. If Respondent pays the monetary penalty and if no order vacating the stay is made pursuant to subparagraph 2, above, the stay granted pursuant to this paragraph shall become permanent.

april 16, 1998

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

* *

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

10

11

12

2

6

7

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

24

25 ///

111

26 ///

27 ///



	,			
1 2	4-13-98 Press Trans			
3	DATED CEORGE TROY STANLEY Respondent			
4	4-13-98 Darla a. Mayer			
5 6	DATED DARLA A. MAYER Respondent			
7	* * *			
8	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement			
9	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision			
10	and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on			
11	May 26, 1998.			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED, 1998.			
13	JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner			
14	In lind A			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19 20				
20				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
۵1				

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)
H-3335 SAC 95 28391

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916)227-0789 3 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 4 5 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of DRE No. H-3335 SAC CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS, OAH No. N1998030068 12 GEORGE TROY STANLEY, and DARLA A. MAYER, 13 STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT Respondents. 14 15 It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent 16 CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS (hereinafter "Respondent"), 17 individually and by and through Curtis C. Sproul, Esq., 18 Respondent's attorney of record herein, and the Complainant, acting by and through James L. Beaver; Counsel for the Department 20 of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and 21 disposing of the Accusation filed on January 29, 1998 in this 22 matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"): 23 All issues which were to be contested and all 24



25

26

27

H-3335 SAC

evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent

at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be

held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative

- Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be 1 submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement. 3
 - 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- On February 19, 1998, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 11 Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notices of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 21 Subject to the limitations set forth below, Respondent hereby admits that the factual allegations in the 22 Accusation applicable to Respondent are true and correct and that 23 the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide 24 further evidence to prove such allegations. 25
- /// . 26

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

27 ///



 It is understood by the parties that the Real 				
Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his				
decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and				
sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights				
as set forth in the "Order" set forth below. In the event that				
the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation				
and Agreement in Settlement, it shall be void and of no effect,				
and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding				
on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall				
not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.				

6. 11 The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and 12 Agreement in Settlement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger 13 or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the 14 Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were 15 not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this 16 proceeding. 17

<u>DETERMINATION</u> OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and 19 waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending 20 Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the 21 following Determination of Issues shall be made: 22

23

The acts and omissions of Respondent CHRISTOPHER 24 ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS described in Paragraphs VIII and X of the 25 Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the 26 licenses and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of



27

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 2801.5 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations and Section 11018.2 of the Code. 3 ORDER Ι 5 All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent 6 CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate broker 8 license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within ninety 10 (90) days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant 11 to this Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted 12 license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate 13 fee therefor. 14 The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be 15 subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the 16 Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, 17 conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 18 10156.6 of that Code: 19 The restricted license issued to Respondent may be 20 suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to 23 Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee. 24 The restricted license issued to Respondent may be 25 suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

- Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate
 Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate
 Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 3. Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the 9 10 effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most 11 recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, 12 taken and successfully completed the continuing education 13 requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law 14 for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to 15 satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension 16 of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such 17 The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the 18 opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure 19 Act to present such evidence. 20
- 5. Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.



1	6. Any restricted real estate broker license issued to
2	Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by
3	Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted
4	license.
5	A Comment
6	Cyril 5 1998 DATED DATED DAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel
7	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
8	*/* *
9	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and have
10	discussed its terms with my attorney and its terms are understood
11	by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that
12	I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative
13	Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506,
L4	11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly,
15	intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the
L6	right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in
17	the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to
18	cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in
19	defense and mitigation of the charges.
05	3-31-98 (Justo William)
21 . :	DATED CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS
22	Respondent
23	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to form
24	and content and have advised my client accordingly.
25	1-2-98 (het (1)
26	DATED CURTIS A. SPROUL
27	Attorney for Respondent

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

H-3335 SAC

STIPULATION OF CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on <u>May 28</u> 1998. IT IS SO ORDERED 1998. JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner 20.





BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTAPE ARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS, GEORGE TROY STANLEY, and DARLA A. MAYER,

Respondent

will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

Case No. <u>H-3335 SAC</u>

OAH No. N1998030068

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the
Office of Administrative Hearings, 560 J Street, Suite 340/360,
Sacramento, CA 95814
on Tuesday and Wednesday, April 28th & 29th, 1998, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: March 12, 1998

EPARTMENT OF REAL/ESTATE

Counsel

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel (SBN 60543) 1 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 **2** · Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0789 -or- (916) 227-0788 (Direct) 4 ' 5 . 6. 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 -In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 NO. H-3335 SAC CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS, 12 | GEORGE TROY STANLEY, and ACCUSATION DARLA A. MAYER, 13 Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 16 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 17 against CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS, GEORGE TROY STANLEY, and 18 DARLA A. MAYER (hereinafter "Respondents"), is informed and 19 alleges as follows: 20 I 21 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 22 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in 23 his official capacity. 24 II 25 At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were and now 26 are licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

1 (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code)
2 (hereinafter "the Code").

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents CHRISTOPHER ALEXANDER GIAPAPAS (hereinafter "GIAPAPAS") and GEORGE TROY STANLEY (hereinafter "STANLEY") were and now are licensed by the California Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") as real estate brokers.

III

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent STANLEY engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate sales brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent sold and offered to sell, bought and offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers and purchases of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase and sale of real property.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent DARLA A. MAYER (hereinafter "MAYER") was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson in the employ of Respondent STANLEY.

VI

At all times mentioned herein Respondent GIAPAPAS was and now is the owner or subdivider or agent of the owner or

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95) subdivider of subdivided lands as defined in Sections 11000, 11003, and 11004.5 of the Code.

VII

Said subdivided lands are known as or commonly called Tract No. 479, "Final Map of Connor Estates", Phase 1, Calaveras County, California (hereinafter "said Subdivision").

VIII

Between on or about March 1, 1996 and on or about August 2, 1996, Respondent GIAPAPAS solicited prospective purchasers, offered for sale and sold lots, units or parcels in said Subdivision, and in the course of such activities offered for sale and sold the lots tabulated below in said Subdivision to the purchasers tabulated below:

DATE	PURCHASER	<u>Lots</u>
03/01/96	Sonja Mannos	Lot 76
04/06/96	Donald & Kim Smith	Lot 47

IX

Between on or about March 1, 1996 and on or about August 2, 1996, in course of the activities described in Paragraphs IV and V, above, and in course of the transactions described in Paragraph VIII, above, Respondents STANLEY and MAYER solicited and obtained an offer by Sonja Mannos to purchase Lot 76 in said Subdivision, solicited and obtain an offer by Donald and Kim Smith to purchase Lot 47 in said Subdivision, and negotiated and arranged consummation of the purchase of said lots by said purchasers.

///



Х

Respondent GIAPAPAS sold and offered for sale lots, units, or parcels in said Subdivision, as described in Paragraph VIII, above, without having first obtained a subdivision public report issued by the Department expressly authorizing the sale of said lots, units or parcels by Respondent GIAPAPAS, in violation of Section 2801.5 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the Regulations") in conjunction with Section 11018.2 of the Code.

ΧI

In course of the transactions described in Paragraphs VIII and IX, above, Respondents STANLEY and MAYER entirely failed to provide the purchasers, Mannos and Smith, with a copy of a subdivision public report issued by the Department expressly authorizing the sale of lots, units or parcels in said Subdivision by Respondent GIAPAPAS, and knowingly caused, suffered and permitted Respondent GIAPAPAS to fail to provide such purchasers with such a subdivision public report, in violation of Section 11018.1(a) of the Code.

XII

The acts and omissions of Respondent GIAPAPAS described in Paragraphs VIII and X, above, constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent GIAPAPAS under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 2801.5 of the Regulations and Section 11018.2 of the Code.

COURT PAPER STATE OF GALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

XIII

The acts and omissions of Respondents STANLEY and MAYER
described in Paragraphs IX and XI, above, constitute cause for the
suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of
Respondents STANLEY and MAYER under Section 10177(d) of the Code
in conjunction with Section 11018.1(a) of the Code.
WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hoaring he conduct a

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG
Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California, this 20th day of January, 1998.

2 .

