1	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Fatata			
2	Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 137007	FILED		
3	Sacramento, CA 95813-7007	11220		
4	Telephone: (916) 576-8700	SEP 0 2 2020		
5	(916) 576-7847 (Direct)	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
6	Fax: (916) 263-3767	By B.//10/00/09		
7				
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	* * *			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of			
12) DC DE ALTY DIG. 1 D AD GVI D TO THE			
13	STABERG,	No. H-3335 FR		
14	Respondents.			
15	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Supervising Special Investigator of the			
16	State of California, for cause of Accusation against DS REALTY INC., and DARCY RENEE			
17	STABERG (collectively "Respondents"), are informed and allege as follows:			
18	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS			
19	1			
20	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Supervising Special Investigator of the			
21	State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity.			
22		2		
23	Respondents are presently licens	ed and/or have license rights under the Real		
24	Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code).			
25	/// ·			
26	<i> </i>			
27	///			

At all times mentioned, Respondent DS REALTY INC. (DS) was and is licensed by the State of California Department of Real Estate (Department) as a real estate broker corporation.

From on or about December 3, 2018 to April 16, 2020, the corporate powers, rights and privileges of DS were forfeited pursuant to the provisions of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

At all times mentioned, Respondent DARCY RENEE STABERG (STABERG) was and is licensed by the Department individually as a real estate broker, and as the designated broker officer of DS. As said designated broker officer, STABERG was responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees, and employees of DS for which a license is required.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of DS, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with DS committed such acts or omissions while engaged in furtherance of the business or operation of DS and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

At all times mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of:

Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate resale brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents sold and offered to sell, bought and offered to buy,

solicited prospective sellers and purchasers of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase and resale of real property; and

Section 10131(b) of the Code in the operation and conduct of a property management business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent leased or rented and offered to lease or rent, and placed for rent, and solicited listings of places for rent, and solicited for prospective tenants of real property or improvements thereon, and collected rents from real property or improvements thereon.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 7, inclusive, is incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.

On or about September 3, 2019, through September 16, 2019, an audit was conducted of the records of STABERG. The auditor herein examined the records for the period of August 1, 2017, through July 31, 2019.

While acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraph 7, STABERG accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of owners and tenants in connection with the leasing, renting, and collection of rents on real property or improvements thereon, as alleged herein, and thereafter from time-to-time made disbursements of said trust funds.

The trust funds accepted or received by Respondents as described in Paragraph 10 were deposited or caused to be deposited by STABERG into trust accounts which were maintained by STABERG for the handling of trust funds, and thereafter from time-to-time STABERG made disbursements of said trust funds, identified as follows:

2	
3	
4	
5	

27 ///

///

ACCOUNT # 1			
Bank Name and Location: Bank of Sierra			
	1103 West Visalia Road		
	Exeter, CA 93221		
Account No.:	XXXXX8870		
Entitled:	D&S Investments & Property Management LLC		

ACCOUNT # 2		
Bank Name and Location: Bank of Sierra		
	1103 West Visalia Road	
	Exeter, CA 93221	
Account No.:	XXXXXX8830	
Entitled:	D&S Investments & Property Management LLC	

In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 7, STABERG:

- (a) caused, suffered, or permitted the balance of funds in Account #1 to be reduced to an amount which, as of June 30, 2019, was approximately \$19,892.79 less than the aggregate liability of Account #1 to all owners of such funds in violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of Title 10, Chapter 6, of the California Code of Regulations (Regulations);
- (b) caused, suffered, or permitted the balance of funds in Account #2 to be reduced to an amount which, as of June 30, 2019, was approximately \$16,181.86 less than the aggregate liability of Account #2 to all owners of such funds in violation of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations;

11

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations;

As to Paragraph 11(c), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in

1	As to Paragraph 11(d), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
2	conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831 of the Regulations;		
3	As to Paragraph 11(e), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
4	conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;		
5	As to Paragraph 11(f), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
6	conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations;		
7	As to Paragraph 11(g), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
8	conjunction with Section 10145(d) of the Code; and		
9	As to Paragraph 11(h), under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g) of the Code in		
10	conjunction with Section 10159.5 of the Code and Section 2731 of the Regulations.		
11	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
12	14		
13	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 13, inclusive, above are		
14	incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.		
15	15		
16	Beginning on or about December 30, 2018, through April 16, 2020, DS, while suspende		
17	by the Secretary of State, in course of the real estate resale brokerage activities described in		
18	Paragraph 7, negotiated and arranged the purchase and sale of real property, including but not		
19	necessarily limited to:		
20	Property Owner PROPERTY ADDRESS		
21	Ken and Yuko H. 413 E. Maple Street, Exeter		
22	Andrew A. 1539 S. Burke, Visalia		
23	Martin B. 331 E. Washington Avenue, Tulare		
24	Kathleen J. 5416 W. Orchard, Visalia		
25			
26			

///

1 16 2 The facts alleged in Paragraph 15 are grounds for the suspension or revocation of 3 the licenses of DS under Section 2742(c) of the Regulations in conjunction with 10177(d) of the 4 Code. 5 17 6 Respondent STABERG failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of 7 DS in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above to occur. 8 18 9 The acts and/or omissions of STABERG described in Paragraph 17, constitute 10 failure on the part of STABERG, as designated broker-officer for DS, to exercise reasonable 11 supervision and control over the licensed activities of DS as required by Section 10159.2 of the 12 Code. 13 19 14 The facts described in Paragraphs 17 and 18 constitute cause for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of STABERG under Section 10177(g) and/or 15 Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code in conjunction with Section 16 17 10177(d) of the Code. 18 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 19 20 20 Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, above are 21 incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein. 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 ///

27

///

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

buyer.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

On or about October 2017 STABERG, acting on behalf of DS, represented buyer Kendall N. (buyer) in the purchase of the two unit duplex located at 718 and 720 S. Jacob in Visalia (property). During the purchase both STABERG and buyer were informed that the tenant residing at 720 S Jacob was in a one year lease at the rental rate of \$775 a month and was only four months into the lease. STABERG falsely represented to the buyer that she could increase the tenant's rent after buyer purchased the property.

22

On or about November 1, 2017, STABERG agreed to manage 720 S. Jacob for

23

On or about January 2017, STABERG, acting on behalf of buyer, attempted to improperly increase the rent by sending a notice that rent was going to increase from \$775 to \$900 a month.

24

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged in the Third Cause of Action constitute grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under Sections 10176(a) and/or 10177(g) of the Code.

COST RECOVERY

25

The acts and/or omissions of STABERG as alleged above, entitle the Department to reimbursement of the costs of its audit pursuant to Section 10148(b) (audit costs for trust fund handling violation) of the Code.

26

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this

part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing discipline on all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), for the cost of the investigation and enforcement of this case as permitted by law, for the cost of the Department's audit as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under the provisions of law.

BRENDA SMITH

Supervising Special Investigator

Dated at Fresno, California,

this 24 day of Angust, 2020

DISCOVERY DEMAND

Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Government Code, the Department of Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.