FEB 18 2006

DEPARTMENT OF KEAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

.20

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-3289 SAC) RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL,)

Respondent.

Responden

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On December 16, 1997, in Case No. H-3289 SAC, a

Decision was rendered revoking the real estate broker license of

Respondent effective January 13, 1998, but granting Respondent

the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker

license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to

Respondent on March 3, 1998, and Respondent has operated as a

restricted licensee since that time.

On May 27, 2004, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

27 | | / / /

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED:	1-19.06

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

F | E | D

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Shelly Ely

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE,

Respondent.

No. H-3289 SAC

///

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On December 16, 1997, an Order was rendered herein revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on January 13, 1998, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent.

On February 4, 1999, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate salesperson license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate salesperson license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate salesperson license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED: ______, 1999.

John R. Liberator Acting Real Estate Commissioner

John R Hento

Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

DEC 2 3 1997

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 🕹

18

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE,

Respondents.

NO. H-3289 SAC

OAH NO. N-1997070279

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent

LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE (hereinafter "DUPREE"), individually and by
and through Howard L. Churchill, Esq., attorney of record herein
for Respondents DUPREE, and the Complainant, acting by and through
James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as
follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the
Accusation filed on June 16, 1997 in this matter (hereinafter "the
Accusation"):

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

H-3289 SAC

- 1 -

STIPULATION OF LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE Respondent has received, read and understands the

Respondent DUPREE, pursuant to the limitations set

Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this 5

2.

4.

proceeding.

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

E OF CALIFORNIA 113 (REV. 3-95) 95 28391

3. On June 30, 1997, Respondent filed a Notice of
Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the
purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the
Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws
said Notices of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent
understands that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondent
will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner
to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing
held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that
Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in
connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence
in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to
cross-examine witnesses.

Without admitting the truth of the allegations 5. pertaining to him contained in Paragraphs IV through XII of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that she will not interpose a

forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining

to him in Paragraphs I through III of the Accusation are true and

correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to

provide further evidence of such allegations.

defense thereto. Respondent stipulates that, for the purposes of these proceedings and no other proceedings except any proceedings hereafter to which the Department may be a party, the Department may issue findings and determinations of issues that the acts and/or omissions of Respondent as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action as set forth herein.

- No additional documentary, testimonial, or other 8 evidence, except that which is necessary to establish Complainant's jurisdiction, shall be required to be presented by Complainant at any hearing in this proceeding in order to prove the Accusation as above stipulated.
 - It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondents' real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
 - Except for any cause of accusation against 8. Respondent DUPREE pursuant to the provisions of Section 10177.5 of the Code arising out of the transaction described in Paragraphs IV through XII of the Accusation, the Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21 |

22

23

24

25

any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

Ι

The acts and omissions of Respondent DUPREE described in Paragraphs IV through XII, inclusive, of the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent DUPREE under the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code"):

- (a) As to Paragraphs VIII and IX under Section 10177(g) of the Code; and
- (b) As to Paragraphs X through XII, inclusive, under Section 10176(a) of the Code.

ORDER

I

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days from the



26

27

H-3289 SAC

- 4 -

STIPULATION OF LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be

effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order,

subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

- Any restricted real estate license issued to 1. Respondent pursuant to this Decision shall be suspended for thirty (30) days from the date of issuance of said restricted license.
- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be 2. suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be 3. suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until:

- (a) One (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision; and
- DUPREE arising out of the transaction described in Paragraphs IV through XII of the Accusation, including but not limited to Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No. C96-03303, is terminated by final judgment or dismissal as to Respondent DUPREE, and any final money judgment in favor of Anne M. Angus and against Respondent DUPREE resulting from any such civil action is fully satisfied.
- 5. Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
 - (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and
 - (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
- 6. Respondent shall, within nine months from the effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most

recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence. 9

- Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the 7. issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.
- 8. Any restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.

BEAVER, EPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

24

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am

13 (REV. 3-95)

H-3289 SAC

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have

discussed it with my attorney, and its terms are understood by me

STIPULATION OF LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges. Respondent I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to form and content and have advised my client accordingly. L. CHÜRCHILL Attorney for Respondent

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

<u>January 13, 1998</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED

JIM ANTT, JR.

Real Estate Commissioner

26

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

TD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

H-3289 SAC

STIPULATION OF LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE D'apartment of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



Laurie a. Zian

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

2

3

6

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE.

Respondents.

NO. H-3289 SAC

OAH NO. N-1997070279

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL (hereinafter "RUSSELL"), individually and
by and through Carol L. Lerner, Esq., attorney of record herein
for Respondent RUSSELL, and the Complainant, acting by and through

James L. Beaver, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as
follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the

Accusation filed on June 16, 1997 in this matter (hereinafter "the
Accusation"):

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95),

H-3289 SAC

- 1 -

STIPULATION OF RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On July 2, 1997, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notices of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that Respondent understands that by withdrawing said Notices of Defense Respondent will thereby waive Respondent's right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that Respondent will waive other rights afforded to Respondent in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- Respondent RUSSELL, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations pertaining to Respondent in Paragraphs I through III of the Accusation are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- Without admitting the truth of the allegations 5. pertaining to Respondent contained in Paragraphs IV through XII of the Accusation, Respondent stipulates that Respondent will not

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 (

18

19 |

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

interpose a defense thereto. Respondent stipulates that, for the purposes of these proceedings, and no other proceedings except any proceedings hereafter to which the Department may be a party, the Department may issue findings and determinations of issues that the acts and/or omissions of Respondent as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action as set forth herein.

- 6. No additional documentary, testimonial, or other evidence, except that which is necessary to establish Complainant's jurisdiction, shall be required to be presented by Complainant at any hearing in this proceeding in order to prove the Accusation as above stipulated.
- Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.
- 8. Except for any cause of accusation against
 Respondent RUSSELL pursuant to the provisions of Section 10177.5
 of the Code arising out of the transaction described in Paragraphs
 IV through XII of the Accusation, the Order or any subsequent
 Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this
 Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger

or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the
Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were
not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions are

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

T

The acts and omissions of Respondent RUSSELL described in Paragraphs IV through XII, inclusive, of the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent RUSSELL under the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code"):

- (a) As to Paragraphs VIII and IX, under Section 10177(g) of the Code; and
- (b) As to Paragraphs X through XII, inclusive, under Section 10176(a) of the Code.

ORDER

I

All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent RENWICK
PENROSE RUSSELL under the Real Estate Law are revoked; provided,
however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued
to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and
///

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H-3289 SAC

- 4 -

STIPULATION OF RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL

3 4

6

7

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

3 (REV. 3-95)

Professions Code if, within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order:

- Respondent makes application for the restricted (a) license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor; and
- Respondent deposits with the Department a duly (b) executed surety bond in the sum of \$6,665.00 in a form acceptable to the Real Estate Commissioner to secure satisfaction of any money judgment entered in favor of Anne M. Angus and against Respondent in Contra Costa County Superior Court Case Number C96-03303. A surety bond substantially in the form attached hereto as Appendix "A" shall be acceptable to the Real Estate Commissioner.

The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Sections 10156.6 and 10156.8 of that Code:

- Respondent shall maintain the surety bond described above in full force and effect, and shall not, without the express written consent of the Department, cause, suffer or permit the surety bond to be released or exonerated, until the purpose for which the bond was given is fully satisfied.
- 2. The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

- The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- Respondent shall, within nine (9) months from the 5. effective date of the Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- Respondent shall, within six (6) months from the issuance of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional

Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

7. Any restricted real estate broker license issued to Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted license.

10

11

12

9

1

2

6

7

Movembin 26,199

DATED

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed it with my attorney, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

25

24

26

20

27

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

H-3289 SAC

NOVEMBER 18,1997

DATED

- 7 -

STIPULATION OF RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL

Respondent

1	I have reviewed the Stipulation and Agreement as to
2	form and content and have advised my client accordingly.
3	$\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}$
4	NOVEMBER 18, 1997 DATED CAROL L. LERNER
5	Attorney for Respondent
6	* * *
7	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement
8	is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision
9	and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on
10	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED
12	JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner
13	
14	In tend 1
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	,
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

APPENDIX "A"

SURETY BOND [B&P C §10156.8]

	Bond Number: # Premium: \$
KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS	S:
That we, RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELI	L as Principal, and
ally Doulig Unio Ainne M. Antilin 4x39 Hagle W	ess in the State of California as Surety, are firmly held yay, Concord, California, 94521, as Obligee, in the earth of 100ths Dollars (\$6.665.00), for the payment
WHEREAS:	
(a) The Principal and the Obligee are part of the State of California, County of Contra Costa, and	rties to a civil action now pending in the Superior Cou Case Number C96-03303 (herein "the Civil Action");
(b) This bond is executed, issued, furnis compliance with Section 10156.8 of the California	hed and otherwise given on behalf of the Principal, in Business and Professions Code.
NOW THEREFORE, the condition of the obsatisfy any money judgment entered in the Civil Act within thirty (30) days after such judgment becomes otherwise it shall remain in full force and effect.	oligation is such, that, if the said Principal shall fully ion in favor of the Obligee and against the Principal final, then this obligation shall be null and void;
Surety, for value received, does hereby waiv Code Section 2845 to require that Obligee proceed in obligation, but reserves to itself any right under said against Principal and Surety in any such action.	e the right granted to Surety under California Civil adependently against Principal to enforce this Section 2845 to require that Obligee proceed jointly
corporate sear and the name of the said Surery is here	nature of said Principal is hereto affixed and the eato affixed and attested by its duly authorized
RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL Principal	Name of Surety By Signature of Surety

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE,

Case No. H-3289 SAC OAH No. N-1997070279

Respondent

SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are he	reby n	otified	that a hear	ing will be held t	pefore the De	partment of	Real Estate at	the
Office of	E Adı	ninis	trative	e Hearings,	1970 Br	oadway,	Second Flo	oor,
Oakland,	CA	9461	2	·				
or as soon therea hearing, you mus	ifter as st notif iis noti	the ma y the pi ice is se	tter can be residing ad rved on yo	heard, upon the ministrative law ou. Failure to not of the hearing.	Accusation se iudge of the C	rved upon y office of Adr	ou. If you object	t to the place

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

By

Dated: <u>October 28, 1997</u>

DEPARTM OF REAL ESTATE

OCT 1 0 1997

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE ENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE,

Case No. <u>H-3289 SAC</u>

OAH No. N-1997070279

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at the

Office of Administrative Hearings, 560 J Street, Suite 300,

Sacramento, CA 95814

on Monday through Friday, December 15 - 19, 1997, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: October 10, 1997

JAMES L. BEAVER

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTA

Counsel

F [[E]] SEP 1 2 1997

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE,

Case No. H-3289 SAC

OAH No. N-1997070279

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at __the Office of Administrative Hearings, 501 J Street, Suite 220

(Second Floor Hearing Rooms), Sacramento, CA 95814

on Monday through Friday, December 15th - 19th, 1997, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

Dated: September 12, 1997

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Counsel

JAMES L. BEAVER, Counsel Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and 12 LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE. 13 Respondents. 14 15 17 18 19 20

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

NO. H-3289 SAC

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL and LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE (hereinafter "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:

Respondents are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code").

ΙI

The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity.

111

21

22

23

24

25

26



~~

At all times herein mentioned Respondent RENWICK PENROSE RUSSELL (hereinafter "Respondent RUSSELL") was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned Respondent LYNETTE LOUISE DUPREE (hereinafter "Respondent DUPREE") was and now is licensed by the Department as a real estate salesperson.

V

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised and assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a real estate resale brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents sold and offered to sell, bought and offered to buy, solicited prospective sellers and purchases of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated the purchase and sale of real property.

VI

On or about June 16, 1994, in course of the real estate brokerage activities described in Paragraph V, above, Respondents accepted employment by Anne M. Angus (hereinafter "Buyer"), to act exclusively as Buyer's agents in negotiating Buyer's purchase of residential real property, and the residential structure situated thereon, at 4839 Eagle Way, Concord, Contra Costa County, California (hereinafter "subject property").



VII

1
-

Ź

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

_

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 IREV. 3-95)

95 28391

Between on or about June 16, 1994 and June 29, 1994, in course of the employment described in Paragraph VI, above, Respondents:

- (a) Negotiated and arranged a sales agreement, whereby Buyer agreed to buy the subject property, which included a provision requiring the Seller thereof to provide Buyer, at Seller's expense, prior to close of escrow, a current written inspection report by a registered structural pest control operator covering all structures on the subject property, and to pay for all work recommended in said structural pest inspection report;
- (b) Undertook to provide Buyer the structural pest inspection report described above, and to procure completion of the work recommended therein at Seller's expense;
- (c) Undertook to provide Buyer, at Seller's expense, prior to close of escrow, a civil engineer's report on the structural condition of the interior and exterior of the structures on the subject property; and
- (d) Arranged for escrow to close consummating the sale and purchase on or about June 29, 1994.

· VIII

During the three-year period preceding the filing of this Accusation, in the course of the activities described in Paragraphs VI and VII, above, Respondents:

(a) Knew or should have known, but failed to warn Buyer that the scope of the structural pest inspection report and resulting corrective work provided by Seller to Buyer in course of

the transaction did not conform to the terms of the sales agreement and did not cover all the structural components of the residence that the owner thereof is obligated to maintain, repair and replace; and

(b) Caused, suffered and permitted escrow to close consummating Buyer's purchase of the subject property before providing Buyer the civil engineer's report on the structural condition of the interior and exterior of the residence.

IX

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraph VIII, above, demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing acts for which such Respondents are required to hold a real estate license.

Х

During the three-year period preceding the filing of this Accusation, in the course of the activities described in Paragraphs V through VIII, above, Respondents represented to Buyer that the owner of the subject property is responsible only for the maintenance, repair and replacement of the interior of the residence (herein "the representation").

·XI

The representation was false. In fact, as Respondents knew or should have known in the exercise of reasonable diligence, the subject property was and now is a unit in a planned development, as defined in Civil Code Section 1351(k) (and not a unit in a condominium project, as defined in Civil Code Section 1351(f)), in which the owner thereof is responsible for the



maintenance, repair and replacement of the entire residential structure situated thereon, subject to the obligation of the community association serving the subject property to paint, repair, replace and care for roofs, gutters, downspouts, exterior building surfaces, such wall and fence surfaces surrounding patio areas as are exposed to the elements, and any plantings on the subject property outside the residence.

XII

Respondents made the representation without a reasonable basis for believing that the representation was true. Respondents made the representation, Respondents knew the representation was material to a decision by the Buyer to complete the purchase of the subject property. Buyer completed the purchase of the subject property in reliance on the representation.

XIII

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- As to Paragraphs VIII and IX under Section 10177(g) (a) of the Code; and
- As to Paragraphs X through XII, inclusive, under Section 10176(a) of the Code.

111 24

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

/// 25

/// 26

///



WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California this 16th day of June, 1997.

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)
95 26391