DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



DEPARIMENT OF KEAL ESTATE

By D. Contresas

BEFORE THE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

27

10

3

5

7

Я

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

MITCHELL I. FINE,

Respondent.

NO. H-3177 SD

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between MITCHELL I.

FINE (hereafter Respondent), and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation in this case filed on April 8, 2005.

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

Case No. H-3177 SD

-1-

MITCHELL I. FINE

- 2. Respondent has received, read and understands the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in these proceedings.
- 3. On April 22, 2005, Respondent filed a Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code, for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense he will thereby waive his rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing, such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondent, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admits that the factual allegations in the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as the decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent, and as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and

Case No. H-3177 SD

Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in these proceedings.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions, and waivers, and for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determinations of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent MITCHELL I.

FINE as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary action against the real estate salesperson license(s) and license rights of Respondent under the provisions of Sections 490 and 10177(b) of the Code.

ORDER

- All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondent
 MITCHELL I. FINE are hereby revoked.
- A restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if he makes application therefor and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee for said license within ninety (90) days from the effective date of the decision.

Case No. H-3177 SD

- C. The restricted license issued to Respondent shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions, and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of that Code:
 - suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate

 Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or

 plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially

 related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real

 estate licensee.
 - The restricted license issued to Respondent may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
 - (3) Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
 - (4) Respondent shall submit with any application for license under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to an new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a

Я

form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:

- of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and,
- (b) That the employing broker will exercise close supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities for which a real estate license is required.
- effective date of this Decision, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence.

 The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- (6) Respondent shall submit proof to the satisfaction of the Commissioner on a quarterly basis of his attendance at a compulsive gambling treatment or recovery program, such as Gamblers Anonymous or other formal program, at

| Case No. H-3177 SD

-5-

MITCHELL I. FINE

1	least once a week during the term of the restricted
2	license.
3	July 12 2005 DEIDRE L. JOHNSON
4	Counsel for the Complainant
5	* * *
6	I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have discussed
7	it with my counsel or understand that I have the right to consult
8	with counsel, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable
9	and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given
10	to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act, and I
11	willingly, intelligently and voluntarily waive those rights,
12	including but not limited to the right of requiring the Commissioner
13	to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which
14	I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and
15	to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.
16	7/6/05 Motel - 1 - 200
17	7/6/05 Muttle In Fine
18	Respondent
19	* * *
20	The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby
21	adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock
22	noon on <u>September 15</u> , 2005.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, 2005.
24	JEFF DAVI
25	Real Estate Commissioner
26	$\frac{1}{2}$
27	

Case No. H-3177 SD

-6-

MITCHELL I. FINE

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel' SBN 66322 2 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of) NO. H-3177 SD 13 MITCHELL I. FINE, ACCUSATION 14 Respondent. 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

The Complainant, J. CHRIS GRAVES, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against MITCHELL I. FINE, is informed and alleges as follows:

MITCHELL I. FINE (hereafter Respondent) is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code) as a real estate salesperson.

ΙI

The Complainant, J. CHRIS GRAVES, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondent in his official capacity and not otherwise.

III

On or about April 30, 2002, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Respondent was convicted of violation of California Penal Code Sections 487(a) (GRAND THEFT), a felony, a crime involving moral turpitude, and a crime which is substantially related under Section 2910, Title 10, California Code of Regulations to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a real estate licensee.

IV

The facts alleged above constitute cause under Sections 490 and/or 10177(b) of the Code for suspension or revocation of all license(s) and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all license(s) and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

 $_{2}$ $\|_{\text{thi}}$

Dated at San Diego, California,

this The day of

, 2005.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner