

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**FILED**

OCT 14 2025

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By J. Taggart

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

\* \* \*

In the Matter of the Accusation of

CAROL GAIDOS HINMAN,

Respondent.

No. H-3151 FR

ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On September 6, 2019, a Decision was rendered in Case No. H-3151 FR revoking the real estate salesperson license of Respondent effective October 23, 2019, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate salesperson license. A restricted real estate salesperson license was issued to Respondent on December 26, 2019, and Respondent has held a restricted licensee since that time.

On May 8, 2024, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate salesperson license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (*Feinstein v. State Bar* (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (*Tardiff v. State Bar* (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).

///

1 I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence submitted in  
2 support thereof.

3 The Department has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California  
4 Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for  
5 reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:

6 **Regulation 2911(14) Change in attitude from that which existed at the time of**  
7 **the conduct in question as evidenced by any or all of the following:**

8 **(a) Testimony of applicant.**

9 Respondent failed to participate in the required interview for the completion  
10 of her petition requirements.

11 Respondent has failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondent has  
12 undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's real estate  
13 salesperson license at this time.

14 Given the violations found and the fact that Respondent has not established that  
15 Respondent has satisfied Regulations 2911(14), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently  
16 rehabilitated to receive a real estate salesperson license.


17 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for  
18 reinstatement of Respondent's real estate salesperson license is denied.

19 This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

NOV 03 2025

20 IT IS SO ORDERED

21 CHIKA SUNQUIST  
22 REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

23   
24 By: Marcus L. McCarther  
25 Chief Deputy Real Estate Commissioner  
26  
27