	FILED	
1	RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel III (SBN 98275) 0CT 1 4 2015	
2	Bureau of Real Estate P. O. Box 137007 BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
3	Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 By S. Black	
4	Telephone: (916) 263-8670	
5	(916) 263-3767 (Fax) (916) 263-8679 (Direct)	
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of	
12	MATT JAY CRAWFORD,	
13	Respondent.	
14)	
15	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Supervising Special Investigator of the	
16	State of California, for Accusation against Respondent MATT JAY CRAWFORD	
17	(CRAWFORD), is informed and alleges as follows:	
18	1	
19	The Complainant makes this Accusation against Respondent in her official	
20	capacity.	
21	2	
22	At all times herein mentioned, CRAWFORD was and is presently licensed	
23	and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California	
24	Business and Professions Code (the Code) by the Bureau of Real Estate (the Bureau) as a real	
25	estate broker.	
26	///	
27	///	
[

 \checkmark

1		3		
2	A	t all times herein mentioned, CRAWFORD engaged in the business of, acted in		
3		dvertised, or assumed to act as real estate broker within the State of California		
4	11	ng of Section 10131(b) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a		
5		nent business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or		
6	11	compensation, CRAWFORD leased or rented or offered to lease or rent, or		
7		solicited listings of places for rent or solicited for prospective tenants, or		
8	11	e, purchase or exchange of leases on real property, or on a business		
9		llected rents from tenants.		
10		4		
11	Be	ginning on December 23, 2014, and continuing intermittently through January		
12		was conducted at CRAWFORD'S main office located at 3540 Oakdale Rd.,		
13		ifornia, and at the Bureau's main office located at 1651 Exposition Blvd.,		
14	Sacramento, CA 95815, where the auditor examined records for the period of November 1,			
15	2013, through November 30, 2014 (the audit period).			
16	5			
17	While acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraph 3, above, and			
18	within the audit period, CRAWFORD accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or			
19	on behalf of property owners, lessees and others in connection with property management			
20				
21	activities, deposited or caused to be deposited those funds into bank accounts maintained by CRAWFORD, at US Bank, 3501 Tully Rd., Modesto, CA 95357, as described below:			
22	····· , ,	22 Dum, 5501 Tuny Ru., Wouldsto, CA 95557, as described below:		
23	BANK ACCOUNT #1			
24	Account No.:	XXXXXXX7229		
25	Entitled:	Valley Wide Financial Services, Inc. DBA A-1 Best Alternative		
26	L			
27	and thereafter from time to time made disbursement of said trust funds.			

1	6
2	In the course of the activities described in Paragraph 3, in connection with the
3	collection and disbursement of trust funds, it was determined that:
4	(a) CRAWFORD failed to designate Bank Account #1 as a trust account as
5	required by Section 2832 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of
6	Regulations (Regulations);
7	(b) CRAWFORD allowed Ana Merlo and Mario Ruano, persons who were
8	not licensed and did not have surety bonds to be signatories on the trust
9	account, in violation of Section 2834 of the Regulations;
10	(c) The auditor could not conduct an accountability on Bank Account #1
11	because CRAWFORD did not maintain control records, separate
12	beneficiary records and did not perform monthly reconciliations, in
13	violation of Section 10145 of the Code;
14	(d) CRAWFORD failed to maintain control records for Bank Account #1, as
15	required by Section 2831 of the Regulations;
16	(e) CRAWFORD failed to maintain separate beneficiary records for Bank
17	Account #1 as required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;
18	(f) CRAWFORD failed to perform monthly reconciliations of the separate
19	beneficiary records and control records for Bank Account #1, as required
20	by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; and
21	(g) CRAWFORD performed property management activities under the
22	fictitious business name A-1 Best Alternative, which was not a licensed
23	dba with the Bureau, in violation of Section 2731 of the Regulations.
24	7
25	The acts and/or omissions described above constitute violations of Sections 2731
26	(fictitious business name), 2831 (control records), 2831.1 (separate beneficiary records), 2831.2
27	(monthly reconciliations) and 2832 (trust fund designation) of the Regulations and of Section

,

n P

,	
1	10145 (trust fund handling) of the Code and are grounds for discipline under Sections 10177(d)
2	(willful disregard of real estate laws) and/or 10177(g) (negligence/incompetence licensee) of the
. 3	Code.
4	8
5	<u>Audit Costs</u>
6	The acts and/or omissions of CRAWFORD as alleged above, entitle the Bureau to
7	reimbursement of the costs of its audits pursuant to Section 10148(b) (audit costs for trust fund
8	handling violations) of the Code.
9	9
10	Costs of Investigation and Enforcement
11	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued
12	in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the Commissioner may request the
13	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
14	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
15	
16	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the
17	allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing
18	disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, for the
19	cost of the investigation and enforcement of the case as permitted by law, for the cost of the
20	Bureau's audit as permitted by law and for such other and further relief as may be proper under
21	other provisions of law.
22	
23	BICC
24	BRENDA SMITH
25	Supervising Special Investigator
26	Dated at Fresno, California,
27	this day of, 2015.
	- 4 -