FILED

1	JASON D. LAZARK, Counsel (SBN 263714) Bureau of Real Estate P. O. Box 137007	AUG 0 4 2015
2		BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
3	Sacramento, CA 95813-7007	By S. Black
4	Telephone: (916) 263-8670	
5	(916) 263-8684 (Direct)	•
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE	
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	* * *	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of:	No. H-2957 FR
12	HAROLD JOSE ZAPATA,)	ACCUSATION
13) Respondent.)	
14)	
15	The Complainant, BRENDA J. SMITH, acting in her official capacity as a	
16	Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against	
17	HAROLD JOSE ZAPATA ("Respondent"), is informed and alleges as follows:	
18	1.	
19	Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under Part 1 of	
20	Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code ("the Real Estate Law") as a real estate broker	
21	2.	
22	On or about January 8, 2014, the California Department of Business Oversight	
23	("DBO") issued a Desist and Refrain Order against Respondent and Respondent's business	
24	partners for offering and selling securities by means of written and oral communications	
25	containing material misrepresentations and omissions of fact.	
26	///	
27	///	

On or about March 4, 2014, Respondent notified the Bureau of Real Estate ("Bureau") that his main office address was 1526 W Clairage, Hanford, California 93230 ("Respondent's main office address").

4.

On or about January 6, 2015, an investigator for the Bureau ("Bureau Investigator") sent a letter by certified mail to Respondent's main office address indicating the Bureau wished to meet with Respondent to discuss the nature and circumstances of DBO's Desist and Refrain Order described above in Paragraph 2. On or about January 28, 2015, the Bureau received the Bureau Investigator's January 6, 2015 letter to Respondent back with a notification on the outside of the envelope stating "Return to Sender, Unclaimed, Unable to Forward."

5.

On or about March 12, 2015, the Bureau Investigator sent a letter by certified mail to Respondent's main office address indicating the Bureau wished to meet with Respondent to discuss the nature and circumstances of DBO's Desist and Refrain Order described above in Paragraph 2. On or about April 8, 2015, the Bureau received the Bureau Investigator's March 12, 2015 letter to Respondent back with a notification on the outside of the envelope stating "Return to Sender, Unclaimed, Unable to Forward."

6.

On or about March 24, 2015, the Bureau Investigator attempted to locate Respondent's main office address online but was unsuccessful. Instead, the Bureau Investigator located a similar address in Hanford, California located at 1526 W Claridge Court, Hanford, California 93230.

7.

On March 24, 2015, at approximately 1:30 PM, the Bureau Investigator drove to 1526 W Claridge Court, Hanford, California 93230 to interview Respondent. Upon arriving,

residence located in a quiet neighborhood. The Bureau Investigator attempted to make contact with the residents at the address by ringing the doorbell twice but nobody answered. He then looked in the window and noticed the residence was completely empty. The Bureau Investigator then spoke with the owner of the property directly to the east of the residence who informed the Bureau Investigator that Respondent no longer lived at 1526 W Claridge Court, Hanford, California 93230.

the Bureau Investigator noticed that 1526 W Claridge Court, Hanford, California 93230 is a

8.

At no time from March 4, 2014, to the filing of this Accusation has the Bureau received notice that Respondent's main office address has changed from 1526 W Clairage, Hanford, California 93230.

9.

The acts and or omissions of Respondent, as alleged above, violate section 10162 of the Business and Professions Code ("the Code") and title 10, section 2715, California Code of Regulations and are grounds for the revocation or suspension of Respondent's licenses and/or license rights under section 10177(d) of the Code.

COST RECOVERY

10.

The Bureau will seek to recover costs of the investigation and prosecution of this case pursuant to section 10106 of the Code which provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law, for the costs of investigation and

12

13

14

15

11

8

9

10

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

2627

enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. Supervising Special Investigator Dated at Fresno California, on this 30 day of July **DISCOVERY DEMAND** Pursuant to sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Bureau hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Bureau may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.